Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Information Sciences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ins

Ordering based on implications

M. Nesibe Kesicioğlu^{a,*}, Radko Mesiar^{b,c}

^a Department of Mathematics, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, 53100 Rize, Turkey

^b Centre of Excellence IT4Innovations, Division University of Ostrava, IRAFM, 30, dubna 22, 70103 Ostrava, Czech Republic

^c Department of Mathematics and Descriptive Geometry, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovak University of Technology, Radlinského 11, 81 368 Bratislava, Slovakia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 June 2013 Received in revised form 28 October 2013 Accepted 29 December 2013 Available online 7 January 2014

Keywords: Implication Bounded lattice Partial order

ABSTRACT

Implication function I on a bounded lattice L is defined by means of some boundary conditions and monotonicity constraints. On the other hand, each implication function I on Ldefines a special relation which, in some cases, can be a (partial) order on L. We study the properties of I resulting into such (partial) orders. A special attention is given do situations yielding new bounded lattices.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy implications are one of the most important operations in fuzzy logic having a significant role in many applications, viz., approximate reasoning, fuzzy control, fuzzy image processing, etc. (see [1,4,10,11,14]). They generalize the classical implication, which takes values in $\{0,1\}$, to fuzzy logic, where the truth values belong to the unit interval [0,1]. In general situation, since [0,1] is a bounded lattice, like in the case of other logical operators, the problem of introducing implications on a bounded lattice laid bare and Ma and Wu [9] have introduced them at first. Several authors have investigated the implications on a bounded lattice and their relations to the other logical operators [7,12,13,15-17].

In this paper, we introduce an order by means of an implication possessing some special properties on a lattice and discuss some of its properties. The paper is organized as follows. We shortly recall some basic notions in Section 2. In Section 3, we determine the relationship between the order induced by an implication and the order on the lattice. Giving example, we show that a bounded lattice needs not be a lattice with respect to the order induced by an implication. Also, we give an example for an implication making the unit interval [0,1] a lattice with respect to the order induced by it. Moreover, we obtain that such a generating method of an order is independent from the order induced by an adjoint t-norm (*T*-partial order) [6]. We prove that under the conditions required to define implication based order, the considered implication must be an *S*-implication, and so we obtain that the order induced by an implication on the unit interval [0,1] is continuous if and only if the implication based order and the dual of the natural order on [0,1] coincide.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 464 2236126; fax: +90 464 2234019. *E-mail addresses:* m.nesibe@gmail.com (M. Nesibe Kesicioğlu), mesiar@math.sk (R. Mesiar).







^{0020-0255/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.12.047

2. Notations, definitions and a review of previous results

Definition 1 [3]. Let $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded lattice. A binary operation T(S) on L is called a t-norm (t-conorm) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) T(T(a, b), c) = T(a, T(b, c)) (associative law),
- (2) T(a,b) = T(b,a) (commutative law),

(3) $b \leq c \Rightarrow T(a,b) \leq T(a,c)$ (monotonicity),

(4) T(a, 1) = a (S(a, 0) = a) (boundary condition),

where *a*, *b* and *c* are any elements of *L*.

Definition 2 [9]. Let $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded lattice. A decreasing function $N : L \to L$ is called a negation if N(0) = 1 and N(1) = 0. An implication N on L is called strong if it is an involution, i.e., N(N(x)) = x, for all $x \in L$.

On each bounded lattice *L* we have two extremal negations N^+ , $N^- : L \to L$ given by

 $N^-(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ and $N^+(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Obviously, for any negation $N : L \to L$, it holds $N^- \leq N \leq N^+$.

Definition 3 ([1,9]). Let $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded lattice. A binary operator $I : L^2 \to L$ is said to be an implication function, shortly an implication, if it satisfies

(I1) For every elements a, b with $a \leq b$, $I(a, y) \geq I(b, y)$ for all $y \in L$.

(I2) For every elements *a*, *b* with $a \le b$, $I(x, a) \le I(x, b)$ for all $x \in L$. (I3) I(1, 1) = 1, I(0, 0) = 1 and I(1, 0) = 0.

Note that from the definition, it follows that

I(0, x) = 1 and I(x, 1) = 1, for all $x \in L$. Special interesting properties for implications are:

- The exchange principle (EP) I(x, I(y, z)) = I(y, I(x, z)) for all $x, y, z \in L$
- The left neutrality principle (NP) I(1, y) = y, for every $y \in L$
- The contrapositive symmetry to a negation N (CP-N) I(x, y) = I(N(y), N(x)), for every $x, y \in L$
- The left contrapositive symmetry to a negation N (L-CP (N)) I(N(x), y) = I(N(y), x), for every $x, y \in L$

Obviously, for a strong negation N, the left contrapositive symmetry and the contrapositive symmetry coincide.

Definition 4 [1]. Let $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a lattice and *I* be an implication on *L*. The function $N_I : L \to L$ given by

$$N_I = I(x, 0)$$
 for all $x \in L$

is a negation and it is called the natural negation of I.

Definition 5 [7]. Let $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a lattice. An implication $I : L^2 \to L$ is called an S-implication if there exists a t-conorm S and a strong negation N such that for every $x, y \in L$

$$I(x, y) = S(N(x), y).$$

Definition 6 [6]. Let *L* be a bounded lattice, *T* be a t-norm on *L*. The order defined as following is called a *T*-partial order (triangular order) for t-norm *T*:

$$x \preceq_T y : \iff T(\ell, y) = x$$
 for some $\ell \in L$.

From the definition, it follows that $a \leq_T b$ implies that $a \leq b$ for any elements $a, b \in L$.

Definition 7 [8]. Let $T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ be a left-continuous t-norm. The function $I_T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ given by

$$I_T(x,y) = \sup\{z \in [0,1] | T(x,z) \leq y\}$$

is an implication and it is called as a residual implication. Note that the supremum in (1) is the maximum when T is leftcontinuous.

(1)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6858236

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6858236

Daneshyari.com