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#### Abstract

An investigation of the codominance maximum computing time of the continued fractions method (CF) for isolation of the real roots of a squarefree integral polynomial when applied to the two-parameter family of polynomials $A_{a, n}(x)=x^{n}-2\left(a x^{2}-(a+2) x+1\right)^{2}$, with $n \geq 5$ and $a \geq 1$. These polynomials have two roots, $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, in the interval $(0,1)$, with $\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|<a^{-n}$. It is proved that for these polynomials the maximum time required by CF to isolate those two close roots would be codominant with $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$ even if an "ideal" root bound were available and either the Horner method or the Budan method is used for translations. It is proved that if a power-of-two Hong root bound is used by CF to determine translation amounts then the time required to isolate the two close roots is dominated by $n^{6}(\ln a)$ if a multiplication-free Budan translation method is used. Computations reveal that the Hong root bound is surprisingly effective when applied to the transformed polynomials that arise, engendering a minimum efficiency conjecture. It is proved that if the conjecture is true then the time to isolate the two close roots is dominated by $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$. There is also evidence for a maximum efficiency conjecture. The two conjectures together, if true, make it likely that this time is codominant with $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$.


© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is a sequel to Collins and Krandick (2012), where it was proved that the computing time of the continued fractions positive root isolation method (CF) for the polynomials $x^{n}-2\left(x^{2}-3 x+1\right)^{2}$, $n \geq 5$, dominates $n^{5}$. Here we generalize that result, by considering the two-parameter family of

[^0]polynomials $A_{a, n}(x)=x^{n}-2\left(a x^{2}-(a+2) x+1\right), a \geq 1$ and $n \geq 5$. We adopt the notation of Collins and Krandick (2012) for polynomial transformations. Specifically, $\mathrm{T}_{h}(A(x))=A(x+h), \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}(A(x))=x^{n} A(1 / x)$ where $n=\operatorname{deg}(A)$, and $\mathrm{H}_{d}(A(x))=A(d x)$. Also $\mathrm{r}(x)=x^{-1}, \mathrm{t}_{h}(x)=x+h$ and $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}_{1}$. We will use the symbols $\preceq$ and $\succeq$ for dominance, $\sim$ for codominance, as in Collins (1974). Throughout the paper $a \geq 1$ and $n \geq 5$ are implicit hypotheses.

In Section 2 we prove that $A_{a, n}$ is irreducible, that it has two roots, $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, in $(0,1)$, and that all other roots are outside of the circle with center at the origin and radius 1 . We also prove that $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are on opposite sides of $r$, the root of $a x^{2}+(a+2) x-1$ in $(0,1)$, and differ from $r$ by at most $r^{n / 2+1}<a^{-n / 2-1}$. Finally we prove that the continued fraction of $r$ is $[0, a+1, a, a, \ldots]$.

In Section 3 we define an infinite sequence of polynomials $C_{i}$ with $C_{0}=A_{a, n}, \tilde{C}_{i}=\mathrm{R}\left(C_{i}\right), C_{1}=$ $\mathrm{T}_{a+1}\left(\tilde{C}_{0}\right)$ and $C_{i+1}=\mathrm{T}_{a}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)$ for $i \geq 1$, only a finite number of which, $N$, are computed. We show that $N \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor$.

In Section 4 we define two integer sequences, $d_{i}$ and $e_{i}$, and derive expressions for the coefficients of $C_{i}$ in terms of them. For $i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor \mathrm{CF}$ also computes $\mathrm{T}\left(C_{i}\right)$, and we show, using these expressions, that the time CF requires just to perform these translations dominates either $n^{5}(\ln a)$ or $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$, depending on which of three classical translation methods is used.

In Section 5 we use the $d_{i}$ and $e_{i}$ sequences to obtain an upper bound on the coefficients of the $C_{i}$ and also to obtain a linear upper bound on $N$, thereby proving that $N$ is codominant with $n$.

In Section 6 we express the bounds on the $C_{i}$ coefficients as functions of $a$ and $i$ and then apply the results of Sections 4 and 5 to prove that the time for all $\mathrm{T}\left(C_{i}\right)$ translations is codominant with $n^{5} \ln a$.

In Section 7 we analyze the time that would be required to compute each $C_{i+1}$ from $\tilde{C}_{i}$ if one had a fictional "ideal" root bound method that, without cost, delivers the floor function of the least positive root of any polynomial. Applied to each $\tilde{C}_{i}$ the result would be $a$. We prove that the time to compute $C_{i+1}=\mathrm{T}_{a}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq N$ is dominated by $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$ and is codominant with $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$ if the translations are performed by either Horner's method or Budan's method.

In Section 8 we consider CFHLB, the CF method equipped with a subalgorithm that outputs an integer lower bound for the positive roots of any transformed polynomial. Specifically CFHLB utilizes the Hong root bound (Hong, 1998), in a power-of-two version. We prove that the computing time of CFHLB to isolate the two close roots of the polynomials $A_{a, n}$ in $(0,1)$ is dominated by $n^{6}(\ln a)$ provided that multiplication-free Budan translations by powers of two are used and by $n^{6}(\ln a)^{2}$ otherwise.

We exhibit in Section 9 the surprisingly effective performance of the Hong root bound on the transformed polynomials that arise and we base on this evidence a minimum efficiency conjecture that implies a computing time that is dominated by $n^{5}(\ln a)^{2}$ for CFHLB to isolate the two close roots of $A_{a, n}$ in $(0,1)$ provided that the multiplication-free Budan translation method is used. We also find evidence for a maximum efficiency conjecture. The two conjectures together imply that the number of translations needed by CFHLB to compute $C_{i+1}$ from $\tilde{C}_{i}$ is codominant with $\ln a$.

In Section 10 we discuss several problems left unsolved by this paper.

## 2. The two close roots

The continued fractions method separately isolates the roots in $(0,1)$ and the roots in $(1, \infty)$. In this section we prove that $A_{a, n}$ is irreducible, has exactly two roots in $(0,1)$, and that all its other roots are outside of the circle of radius 1 centered at the origin of the complex plane. We prove that the two roots in $(0,1), r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, satisfy $r-h<r_{1}<r<r_{2}<r+h$, where $h=r^{n / 2+1}$ and $r$ is the root of $B_{a}(x)=a x^{2}-(a+2) x+1$ in $(0,1)$. We also prove that the continued fraction of $r$ is $[0, a+1, a, a, a, \ldots]$.

Theorem 1. Let $B(x)=a x^{2}-(a+2) x+1 . B_{a}(x)$ has two positive roots, one in $(0,1)$ and one in $(1, \infty)$.

Proof. $B_{a}(0)=1, B_{a}(1)=-1$ and $B_{a}(\infty)=\infty$.
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