ARTICLE IN PRESS

[Knowledge-Based Systems xxx \(2015\) xxx–xxx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.11.015)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com)

Knowledge-Based Systems

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/knosys

Similarity measure for vague sets based on implication functions

Wenyi Zenga,[∗] , Yibin Zhaoa,b , Yundong Gu^c **Q1**

^a *College of Information Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, PR China* **Q2** ^b *Department of Basic Courses, Institute of Disaster Prevention Science and Technology, Yanjiao, Beijing 065201, PR China* ^c *School of Mathematic and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, PR China*

article info

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 10 April 2015 Revised 10 October 2015 Accepted 21 November 2015 Available online xxx

Keywords: Vague set Fuzzy set Similarity measure Implication function Pattern recognition

1 **1. Introduction**

 Since Zadeh [\[29\]](#page--1-0) first introduced fuzzy set theory, many ap- proaches and theories addressing imprecision and uncertainty have been proposed. Some of these theories, such as Atanassov's intuition- istic fuzzy sets theory [\[1\]](#page--1-0) and Zadeh's generalized theory of uncer- tainty (GTU) [\[30\],](#page--1-0) are extensions of classic fuzzy set theory and treat uncertainty and information from a much broader perspective. An- other well-known generalization of an ordinary fuzzy set is based on vague sets and was introduced by Gau and Buehrer [\[15\]](#page--1-0) in 1993. Since then, the theory of vague sets has attracted the attention of many researchers seeking to address imperfectly defined facts and data, including situations with imprecise knowledge. Some authors have investigated the topic and obtained meaningful conclusions. For ex- ample, Chen [\[8\]](#page--1-0) used vague sets to analyze fuzzy system reliability, Dug and Choi [\[13\]](#page--1-0) and Kuo et al. [\[19\]](#page--1-0) investigated multi-criteria fuzzy decision-making based on vague sets, Ye [\[28\]](#page--1-0) proposed an improved method of multi-criteria fuzzy decision-making based on vague sets, 18 Demirci and Eken [\[11\]](#page--1-0) introduced the theory of vague complement operation, and Gottwald [\[16\]](#page--1-0) investigated mathematical fuzzy logic as a tool to treat vague information, Feng et al. [\[14\]](#page--1-0) proposed a vague- rough set approach for extracting knowledge under uncertain en- vironment. As a powerful tool for describing imprecise data, vague sets theory has been extensively applied in many fields such as pat-tern recognition, machine learning, fuzzy decision-making and so

function, this paper investigates the similarity measure of vague sets (or elements) and proposes new formulas to calculate the similarity measure of vague sets (or elements). A comparison with the existing similarity measures for vague sets and two applications show that our proposed method is reasonable and valid. © 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

The similarity measure of vague sets is an important index in intelligent systems. Based on the implication

on. Moreover, some researchers have investigated the connection 25 between intuitionistic fuzzy sets and vague sets. For more details, 26 please see [\[4\].](#page--1-0) 27

The similarity measure of fuzzy sets is an important component 28 of fuzzy set theory. The similarity measure indicates the degree of 29 similarity between two fuzzy sets. Wang [\[25\]](#page--1-0) first proposed the con- 30 cept of the similarity measure in fuzzy set theory and computational 31 formula for that purpose. Since then, the similarity measure of fuzzy 32 sets has been further investigated and extensively applied in many 33 fields such as fuzzy clustering, image processing, fuzzy reasoning, and 34 fuzzy neural networks [\[9,25\].](#page--1-0) For example, Balopoulos et al. [\[2\]](#page--1-0) in- 35 vestigated similarity measures for fuzzy operators, Hung and Yang 36 [\[17\]](#page--1-0) investigated the J-divergence of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and its 37 application to pattern recognition, and Zeng and Guo [\[31\]](#page--1-0) investi- 38 gated the similarity measure of interval-valued fuzzy sets, Wu and 39 Mendal [\[26\]](#page--1-0) investigated the uncertainty measures for interval type- 40 2 fuzzy sets. Using these applications of the similarity measure, some 41 researchers extended it to vague sets theory. The similarity measure 42 of vague sets indicates the vague sets' degree of similarity. For exam- 43 ple, Chen $[6,7]$ investigated the similarity measure and the weighted 44 similarity measure between vague sets and between elements, re- 45 spectively, Dug and Chul [\[12\]](#page--1-0) improved Chen's [\[7\]](#page--1-0) similarity measure 46 expressions and proposed a new method to calculate the similarity 47 measure between vague sets in 1999, Li et al. [\[21\]](#page--1-0) investigated the dis- 48 tance between vague sets and proposed an improved similarity mea- 49 sure for vague sets, Xu and Wei [\[27\]](#page--1-0) applied the similarity measure 50 between vague sets in fuzzy classification, Zhang and Jiang [\[34\]](#page--1-0) inves- 51 tigated the non-probabilistic entropy of a vague set, Zhang et al. [\[33\]](#page--1-0) 52

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.11.015> 0950-7051/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article as: W. Zeng et al., Similarity measure for vague sets based on implication functions, Knowledge-Based Systems (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.11.015>

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 58805665; fax: +86 10 58808313. *E-mail address:* zengwy@bnu.edu.cn (W. Zeng).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

2 *W. Zeng et al. / Knowledge-Based Systems xxx (2015) xxx–xxx*

53 investigated the framework for comparing two interval sets by inclu-54 sion measures.

 It is well known that fuzzy implication functions play a fundamen- tal role in fuzzy logic, approximate reasoning and applications such as fuzzy control, fuzzy relational equation, fuzzy DI-subsethood (inclu- sion) measure and image processing. Thus the implication functions have been extensively studied by many researchers in theoretical and practical applications. For example, Bustince [\[5\]](#page--1-0) investigated the in- dicator of inclusion grade for interval-valued fuzzy sets based on im-62 plication; De Baets and Kerre $[10]$ investigated fuzzy inclusion and its inverse problem; Mas et al. [\[22\]](#page--1-0) studied the law of importation for two types of implications; Pei [\[23\]](#page--1-0) investigated the unified full im- plication inference algorithms of fuzzy reasoning, Jin et al. [\[18\]](#page--1-0) inves- tigated certainty rule base and its inference method; and Zhou et al. [\[35\]](#page--1-0) characterized intuitionistic fuzzy rough sets based on intuition-68 istic fuzzy implications, Beliakov et al. $[3]$ investigated the properties relating to consensus measures and proposed two general models built component-wise from aggregation functions and fuzzy impli- cations, Zhai et al. [\[32\]](#page--1-0) investigated the semantical and syntactical characteristics of fuzzy decision implications. Until now, the existing similarity measures for vague sets have been defined based on the distance between the vague sets. Considering the importance of im- plication functions, it is necessary to investigate the similarity mea- sure of vague sets from different perspectives. Based on the use of implication function, this paper investigates similarity measures of vague sets, proposes new formulas to calculate the similarity mea- sures of vague sets or elements, performs sensitive analysis of these similarity measures based on implication functions and compares the existing similarity measures of vague sets with our proposed similar- ity measures. Finally, we investigate the classification and decision making based on the similarity measure of vague sets.

 The rest of this work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic ideas about the theory of vague sets. In [Section 3,](#page--1-0) we propose similarity measures between vague sets and between elements based on the implication function, and we make sensitive analysis and comparisons between the existing similarity measures of vague sets and our proposed similarity measures of vague sets. In [Section 4,](#page--1-0) we investigate classification and decision making based on the similarity measure of vague sets. The final section is the conclusion.

93 **2. Basic ideas**

94 Throughout this paper, we use $U, U = {u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_n}$, to de-95 note the discourse set, *V*(*U*) stands for the set of all vague subsets in 96 *U*, and *A* expresses a vague set.

97 Let $L = [0, 1]$ and $[L]$ be the set of all closed subintervals of 98 the interval [0, 1]. Especially for an arbitrary element $a \in [0, 1]$. 99 1], we assume that *a* is the same as [*a*, *a*], namely, $a = [a, a]$. 100 Then, according to Zadeh's extension principle $[29]$, for any $\bar{a} =$ 101 $[a^-, a^+]$, $\overline{b} = [b^-, b^+] \in [L]$, we can popularize some operators such as \forall , \wedge , and *c* to [*L*] and have $\overline{a} \vee \overline{b} = [a^- \vee b^-, a^+ \vee b^+]$, $\overline{a} \wedge \overline{b} =$ $\overline{a}^c = [1 - a^+, 1 - a^-],$ $\overline{a}_c = [1 - a^+, 1 - a^-],$ $\overline{a}_c = [1 - a^+, 1 - a^-],$ $\bigvee_{t\in W}\overline{a_t}=$ 104 $[\bigvee_{t \in W} a_t^-, \bigvee_{t \in W} a_t^+]$ and $\bigwedge_{t \in W} \overline{a_t} = [\bigwedge_{t \in W} a_t^-, \bigwedge_{t \in W} a_t^+]$, where 105 *W* denotes an arbitrary index set. Furthermore, we have *a* = \overline{b} \Longleftrightarrow *a*[−] = *b*[−], *a*⁺ = *b*⁺, \overline{a} ≤ \overline{b} \Longleftrightarrow *a*[−] ≤ *b*[−], *a*⁺ ≤ *b*⁺, and 107 $\bar{a} < \bar{b} \Longleftrightarrow \bar{a} \le \bar{b}$ and $\bar{a} \ne \bar{b}$; there is then a minimal element $\bar{0} = [0, 0]$ 108 and a maximal element $\overline{1} = [1, 1]$ in [*L*].

109 A vague set *A* in *U* is characterized by a truth-membership func-110 tion t_A and a false-membership function f_A , t_A : $U \rightarrow [0, 1]$, f_A : U $111 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $t_A(u_i)$ is a lower bound on the grade of membership of u_i derived from the evidence for u_i , $f_A(u_i)$ is a lower bound 113 on the negation of u_i derived from the evidence against u_i , and 114 $t_A(u_i) + f_A(u_i) \leq 1$. The grade of membership of u_i in the vague set *A* is bounded by a subinterval $[t_A(u_i), 1 - f_A(u_i)]$ of [0, 1]. Simply ex-116 pressed, $A(u_i) = [t_A(u_i), 1 - f_A(u_i)].$

For every $u_i \in U$, if $A(u_i) = [t_A(u_i), 1 - f_A(u_i)]$, then $\pi_A(u_i) = 1 - 117$ $t_A(u_i) - f_A(u_i)$ indicates the vague degree of u_i for the vague set *A*. 118 It expresses the measure of *ui* for the unknown information of the ¹¹⁹ vague set *A*. We call $D(u_i) = t_A(u_i) - f_A(u_i)$ the superior function for 120 the vague set *A* for the element u_i , then $D(u_i)$ indicates the absolute 121 supporting degree of *u_i* for the vague set *A*. 122

When *U* is discrete, $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_n\}$, a vague set *A* can 123 be written as $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [t_A(u_i), 1 - f_A(u_i)]/u_i, u_i \in U, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n;$ 124 when the universe of discourse *U* is continuous, a vague set *A* 125 can be written as $A = \int_U [t_A(u), 1 - f_A(u)]/u, u \in U$. Specifically, $U = 126$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ [1, 1]/*u_i*, $\emptyset = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ [0, 0]/*u_i*, *u_i* ∈ *U*, *i* = 1, 2, ..., *n*. 127

For example, let *U* be the universe of discourse, $U = \{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. 128 A vague set "LARGE" of *U* may be defined by 129

LARGE =
$$
[0.1, 0.2]/6 + [0.3, 0.5]/7 + [0.6, 0.8]/8
$$

+ $[0.9, 1]/9 + [1, 1]/10$

If $A, B \in V(U)$, $u \in A$, and if we let x and v be two vague values such 130 that $x = [t_x, 1 - t_x], y = [t_y, 1 - t_y],$ where $0 \le t_x \le 1 - t_x \le 1$, and 131 $0 \le t_y \le 1 - f_y \le 1$, then the following operations can be founded on 132 **Gau [\[15\].](#page--1-0)** 133

$$
A = B \text{ iff } t_A(u) = t_B(u) \text{ and } f_A(u) = f_B(u), \forall u \in U; A \subseteq B \text{ iff } t_A(u) \le t_B(u) \text{ and } f_A(u) \ge f_B(u), \forall u \in U; \tag{135}
$$

 $x = y$ iff $t_x = t_y$ and $f_x = f_y$; 136

$$
x \leq y \text{ iff } t_x \leq t_y \text{ and } f_x \geq f_y. \tag{137}
$$

Definition 1. Let *x*, *y* and *z* be three vague values such that $x = 138$ $[t_x, 1 - f_x], y = [t_y, 1 - f_y]$ and $z = [t_z, 1 - f_z]$. A real function 139

$$
i:[L] \times [L] \to [0,1]
$$

$$
(x,y) \mapsto i(x,y)
$$

is called the inclusion measure of the vague values *x* to *y*, if *i* satisfies 140 the following properties: 141

(i2) $t_x \le t_y, f_x \ge f_y \Leftrightarrow i(x, y) = 1;$ 143

(i3) If
$$
t_x \le t_y \le t_z
$$
, and $f_x \ge f_y \ge f_z$, then $i(z, x) \le min(i(y, x), i(z, y))$. 144

where $L = [0, 1]$ and $[L]$ is the set of all closed subintervals of the interval [0, 1]. 146

Definition 2. For every $A, B \in V(U)$, a real function 147

$$
I: V(U) \times V(U) \to [0, 1]
$$

(A, B) $\mapsto I(A, B)$

is called the inclusion measure of the vague set *A* to *B* if *I* satisfies the 148 following properties: 149

 $(I2)$ *A* ⊆ *B* ⇔ *I*(*A*, *B*) = 1; 151

(13)
$$
\forall A, B, C \in V(U)
$$
, if $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$, then $I(C, A) \leq \min(I(B, A), I(C, B))$. 152

Definition 3 [\(\[24\]\)](#page--1-0). A real function *R*: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is 153 called a fuzzy implication (or implication function) if it is mono- 154 tone non-increasing in the first argument, i.e. $R(x, z) > R(y, z)$ for 155 $x < y$ and monotone non-decreasing in the second argument, i.e. 156 $R(x, u) < R(x, v)$ for $u < v$, and satisfies the boundary conditions, 157 $R(0, 0) = 1, R(1, 1) = 1$ and $R(1, 0) = 0$. 158

Furthermore, we list some properties related to fuzzy implication. 159

- (1) Neutrality of truth when $R(1, t) = t$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$; 160
- (2) Identity principle when $R(t, t) = 1$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$; 161
- (3) Ordering property when $R(a, b) = 1$, if and only if $a \leq b$. 162

The Lukasiwicz implication function $R_{1u}(a, b) = (1 - a + b) \wedge 1$ 163 satisfies each of these properties in the above. 164

Definition 4. Let *x*, *y* and *z* be three vague values such that $x = 165$ $[t_x, 1 - f_x]$, $y = [t_y, 1 - f_y]$ and $z = [t_z, 1 - f_z]$. A real function 166

Please cite this article as: W. Zeng et al., Similarity measure for vague sets based on implication functions, Knowledge-Based Systems (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.11.015>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6862380>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6862380>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)