

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cep

Oxygen transport by ionic membranes: Correlation of permeation data and prediction of char burning in a membrane-assisted biomass gasification process

Tania Antonini^{a,*}, Katia Gallucci^a, Valentina Anzoletti^a, Stefano Stendardo^b, Pier Ugo Foscolo^a

^a Industrial Engineering Department, University of L'Aquila, Via G. Gronchi, 18-67100 L'Aquila, Italy ^b ENEA, Casaccia Research Centre, 00100 Roma, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 September 2014 Accepted 11 November 2014 Available online 22 November 2014

Keywords: Biomass gasification Air separation Ion transport membranes (ITM) Char combustion

ABSTRACT

This paper addresses the important issue of feeding oxygen to a fluidized bed gasifier in an efficient way, in cases of small to medium scale units (a few MW_{th}), to obtain a syngas free of nitrogen and with relatively high calorific value, without the need to utilize a complex dual fluidized bed system.

To this scope, the application to biomass conversion systems of ion transport membrane (ITM) technology for oxygen separation from air is studied by coupling an oxygen transfer model to a gasification model that considers thermodynamic and kinetic constraints.

Numerical evaluations are performed of char partial combustion with oxygen permeated through the membrane, in the gasifier region close to the tubular ITM surface, as a means to provide the necessary input of heat to biomass gasification, a globally endothermic process.

The results show that the membrane surface needed to provide the required oxygen flow to the gasifier is small enough to be arranged inside the fluidized bed volume, assuring feasibility of an autothermal process. The model is also helpful to optimize the location of the membrane module and evaluate different options. Experimental investigations are needed to check the resistance and durability of ITM materials in the gasifier environment.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The increasing global demand for energy has intensified efforts for the search and development of a renewable and reliable mix of energy sources. In the broad scenario of generation of power and fuels for the transportation sector, the route of advanced biomass gasification systems offers significant environmental benefits and promising technical and economic prospects [1]. Oxygen–steam biomass gasification produces a high quality syngas with a high H₂/ CO ratio, suitable for upgrading syngas to liquid fuels by catalytic chemical syntheses. Biomass gas is also well suited for use in solid oxide fuel cells, internal combustion engines, gas turbines, in general in highly efficient power systems. Maximizing syngas yield, optimizing gas quality, increasing gas purity, and above all increasing the overall process efficiency are the major goals to be achieved in order to promote the utilization of biomass

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: tania.antonini@graduate.univaq.it (T. Antonini).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2014.11.009 0255-2701/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. gasification. Advanced innovative process integration as well as poly-generation concepts aim to achieve these goals.

Biomass gasification is an endothermic reaction process that requires substantial thermal input to operate the reactor autothermally. The gasifying agents can be either air, steam, pure oxygen or their combination. Air is widely used as an oxidant because of its low-cost and availability. However, with air-blown gasifiers the product gas contains around 30-50 vol% N2 and has therefore a low heating value (5–7 MJ/Nm³). To increase the syngas calorific value under these conditions, dual fluidization systems (for example, the Güssing type gasifier, 8 MW_{th} [2]) have been developed that imply the operation of a solid circulation loop and cleaning treatments on two separate gas output streams. In a dual fluidized bed reactor, the bed material (olivine sand, char, ash) is circulated to a risercombustor where char (and additional fuel) is burnt with air to increase properly the temperature of the granular material. When this is returned back to the steam gasifier, it provides the necessary amount of thermal energy, by adjusting properly the solid circulation rate and the temperature gap between gasifier and combustor.

| Nomenclature | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| ER | Equivalent ratio |
| FB | Fluidized bed |
| ITM | Ion transport membrane |
| MIEC | Mixed ionic-electronic conducting membrane |
| PSA | Pressure swing adsorption |
| PVSA | Pressure vacuum swing adsorption |
| SB | Steam to biomass ratio |
| WGS | Water gas shift |
| Symb | ols |
| a | Hydrogen/carbon atomic ratio in biomass |
| ac | Char particle surface per unit bed volume [m ² /m ³] |
| A _m | ITM permeation surface referred to its average |
| | diameter [mm ²] |
| b | Oxygen/carbon atomic ratio in biomass |
| B_i | Blot number $[-]$ |
| C ₀₂ | O_2 volumetric motal concentration [mol/m [*]] |
| C _p | Ω_2 vacancies molar concentration [mol/m ³] |
| D_{02} | O_2 diffusion coefficient $[m^2/s]$ |
| $d_{i,io,o,r}$ | n Characteristic diameters of ITM module [mm] |
| Dv | O ₂ vacancies bulk diffusion coefficient [cm ² /s] |
| Н | Enthalpy [J] |
| $h_{\rm g}$ | Heat transfer coefficient [W/m ² /K] |
| J_{02} | O_2 permeation flux [µmol/cm ² /s] |
| K,K _o レレ | Killetic Collstants [1/S] Mass transfer coefficients [m/s] |
| k_{c}, k_{g} | Thermodynamic equilibrium constant [_] |
| k _{eq} ,we | Forward surface exchange rate constant [cm/atm ^{0.5} / |
| | s] |
| $k_{ m r}$ | Reverse surface exchange rate constant [mol/cm ² /s] |
| $k_{\rm t}$ | Thermal conductivity [W/m/°C] |
| L | Length of ITM module [mm] |
| M | Molecular weight [g/mol] |
| п ₀₂ р | O_2 motal now rate on retentate side [µmoi/s] |
| г ₀₂ О | O_2 partial pressure [attin] Inlet volumetric flow rate $[m^3/s]$ |
| R | Gas-law constant [–] |
| Re | Reynolds number [–] |
| r | Radial coordinate [m] |
| r _c | Char combustion rate [mol/m ³ /s] |
| Sc | Schmidt number [–] |
| t T T | Membrane thickness [mm] |
| I _B ,I _W | Catalyst volume [m ³] |
| V _{cat} | Rate of heat loss [I/s] |
| Voa | Oxygen molar fraction $[-]$ |
| y_{1234} | Stoichiometric coefficients in Eq.id=6#(3) [–] |
| Z | Axial coordinate [m] |
| Greek letters | |
| α I | Methane stoichiometric coefficient in Eq.id=6#(3) |
| δ | Dxygen stoichiometric coefficient in Eq.id=6#(34) |
| $\Delta h_{ m r}$ H | Reaction enthalpy variation [kJ/mol] |
| ε A | Average fluidized bed voidage $[-]$ |
| θ | Contact time [s] |
| λΕ | Enthalpy of vaporization [J/mol] |
| $\rho_{\rm M}$ I | violar density [mol/m ³] |
| χ ₁ (| Linal conversion in the steam gasification reaction [-] |

- χ_2 Methane conversion in the steam reforming reaction
- χ_3 Steam conversion in the WGS reaction [-]

- Additional subscripts and superscripts air Air stream side
- g Gas-phase
- s Solid-phase
- I Retentate side
- II Permeate side

Alternatively, with oxygen-blown biomass gasifiers an air separation unit is needed; in small to medium scale installations (of the order 1 MW_{th}) operating at ambient pressure, air separation is mainly accomplished by selective nitrogen–oxygen sorption systems requiring feed gas compression (pressure swing adsorption, PSA; pressure–vacuum swing adsorption, PVSA), that is compression of a volumetric gaseous stream at least 5 times greater than the oxygen stream effectively utilized in the gasification process. This implies a substantial penalty of the whole energy efficiency: about 20% of power generated by the biomass conversion plant would be needed to provide the required oxygen stream.

Advanced process integration and combination concepts (Fig. 1) have been recently proposed, dealing mainly with hot syngas cleaning and conditioning, on one hand, and innovative systems for oxygen feeding to the gasifier, on the other hand [3–5]. These concepts consider the integration of the necessary catalytic and separation units within the gasifier vessel, to obtain remarkable process intensification and a very compact chemical reactor, as schematized in Fig. 1.

Mixed ionic and electronic conducting (MIEC) membranes operate at high temperature (usually >700 °C) and the driving force is the difference in oxygen partial pressure across the membrane [6], so that it is not needed to pressurize the air feeding stream. The transport mechanism is surface adsorption of oxygen followed by decomposition into ions. Oxygen ions are transported through the membrane by a vacancy transport mechanism that is counterbalanced by the simultaneous flow of electrons in the opposite direction [7,8]. With MIEC membranes, the energy demand for oxygen separation can be substantially reduced compared to cryogenic distillation and pressure swing adsorption, with evident and noticeable advantages especially for small to medium scale systems. These perovskite-type ionic conductors have practical applications in oxygen-ion conducting solid electrodes, solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) and oxygen sensors [9]. Ion transport membrane reactors have been also suggested as a novel technology for several applications including fuel reforming and oxy-fuel combustion, which integrate air separation and fuel conversion, in order to reduce plant complexity and the associated energy penalty [10].

The utilization of ion transport membranes to transfer the required oxygen input to a fluidized bed gasifier is made possible by the recent, substantial improvements in the membrane preparation methodologies on relatively large scale [11] and in the oxygen permeation fluxes in the temperature range comprising that of fluidized bed gasification (850–1000 °C) [12].

The fundamental processes of oxygen transport and gaseous fuels conversion in the immediate vicinity of the membrane have been studied and numerical models have been developed to allow quantitative estimates in specific applications of industrial interest [13]. When a reactive gas, such as methane, is present on the permeate side, it helps increasing the chemical potential gradient across the membrane, by consuming the permeated oxygen, and maintaining the membrane temperature: as a result, oxygen permeation rates are enhanced.

As mentioned above, it is required that the oxygen flow transferred to the gasifier by the ITM module should be enough for Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/686824

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/686824

Daneshyari.com