



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/comgeo](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/comgeo)


## Minimum rectilinear Steiner tree of $n$ points in the unit square

 Adrian Dumitrescu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Minghui Jiang<sup>b</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science, Utah State University, Logan, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 27 March 2015

Accepted 24 October 2016

Available online xxxx

Dedicated to the memory of

Ferran Hurtado: remembering his kindness and graciousness

#### Keywords:

Minimum rectilinear Steiner tree

Integer partition

Packing

Covering

### ABSTRACT

Chung and Graham conjectured (in 1981) that  $n$  points in the unit square  $[0, 1]^2$  can be connected by a rectilinear Steiner tree of length at most  $\sqrt{n} + 1$ . Here we confirm this conjecture for small values of  $n$ , and for some new infinite sequences of values of  $n$  (but not for all  $n$ ). As an interesting byproduct we obtain close rational approximations of  $\sqrt{n}$  from below, for those  $n$ .

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $S$  be a finite set of points in the plane. A *Euclidean Steiner tree* (EST) for  $S$  is a planar straight line graph spanning  $S$ . The *Euclidean Steiner tree problem* asks for the shortest such graph, where the distance between two points  $p_1 = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $p_2 = (x_2, y_2)$  is  $\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$ . The solutions take form of a tree, that includes all the points in  $S$ , called *terminals*, or *sites*, along with possibly some extra vertices, called *Steiner points*. In an optimal solution each Steiner point has degree 3, and any two consecutive incident edges form an  $120^\circ$  angle [10]. Obviously, a Euclidean minimum spanning tree (EMST) for a point set can always serve as a possibly suboptimal Euclidean Steiner tree for the same set.

The *rectilinear Steiner tree problem* asks for the shortest Steiner tree where the distance between two points  $p_1 = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $p_2 = (x_2, y_2)$  is  $|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$ . The solution can be drawn as a *rectilinear Steiner tree* (RST), composed solely of horizontal and vertical edges. The RST problem was first suggested by Hanan [11], who also proved the following structural result regarding optimal solutions. Let  $G(S)$  be the grid induced by the point set  $S$  by drawing a horizontal and a vertical line through each point of  $S$  and retaining only the finite segments between intersection points of these lines (in the axis-aligned bounding box of  $S$ ). Then there exists a shortest RST for  $S$  which uses only segments in  $G(S)$  [11]; see also [16, 17].

The following questions were raised by Few [5] in 1955: *What is the greatest length  $s(n)$  of a minimum Steiner tree, and what is the greatest length  $s_{\square}(n)$  of a minimum rectilinear Steiner tree, of  $n$  points in the unit square  $[0, 1]^2$ ?*

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [dumitres@uwm.edu](mailto:dumitres@uwm.edu) (A. Dumitrescu), [mjiang@cc.usu.edu](mailto:mjiang@cc.usu.edu) (M. Jiang).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comgeo.2017.06.007>

0925-7721/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Few showed that the length of a minimum spanning path of any  $n$  points in the unit square is at most  $\sqrt{2n} + 7/4$  by a constructive proof: lay out about  $\sqrt{n}$  equidistant horizontal lines, and then visit the points layer by layer, with the path alternating directions along the horizontal strips. An upper bound with a slightly better multiplicative constant for a path was derived by Karloff [13]. L. Fejes Tóth [4] had observed earlier that for  $n$  points of a regular hexagonal lattice in the unit square, the length of the minimum spanning path is asymptotically equal to  $(4/3)^{1/4}\sqrt{n}$ , where  $(4/3)^{1/4} = 1.0745\dots$

By adapting the proof for minimum spanning path, Few also showed that the length of a minimum rectilinear Steiner tree of any  $n$  points in the unit square is at most  $\sqrt{n} + 7/4$ , that is,  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 7/4$ . Since  $s(n) \leq s_{\perp}(n)$ , this also yields the bound  $s(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 7/4$ . Chung and Graham [2] reported an improved upper bound of  $s(n) \leq 0.995\sqrt{n}$  (they gave details for an improvement to  $0.99995\sqrt{n}$  only) and a lower bound (by the same example of a regular hexagonal lattice in the unit square) of  $s(n) \geq (3/4)^{1/4}\sqrt{n} + O(1)$ , where  $(3/4)^{1/4} = 0.9306\dots$ . Note that  $(4/3)^{1/4} = (3/4)^{1/4} \cdot 2/\sqrt{3}$ , where  $2/\sqrt{3}$  is the conjectured Steiner ratio in the plane.

In every dimension  $d \geq 3$ , Few [5] showed that the maximum length of a shortest path through  $n$  points in the unit cube is  $\Theta(n^{1-1/d})$ , and that the maximum length of a minimum rectilinear Steiner tree of  $n$  points in the unit cube is  $O(n^{1-1/d})$ . Snyder [18,19] then proved an asymptotically tight bound of  $\Theta(n^{1-1/d})$  for the latter problem, extending the work of Few [5] and Chung and Graham [2]. Among others, some pieces of early work on the geometric variants of the Steiner tree problem (EST or RST) are [3,6–8,12,20,21]. Both variants are known to be NP-complete [9]. More recent developments and other problems in geometric networks can be found in [15].

In this paper we study the *rectilinear* version. Chung and Graham [2] observed that  $s_{\perp}(n) \geq \sqrt{n} + O(1)$  is implied by subsets of points from a suitable square lattice and, in particular,  $s_{\perp}(k^2) \geq k + 1$  for  $k \geq 2$ . They also reported the upper bound  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1 + o(1)$ , in particular,  $s_{\perp}(k^2) \leq k + 1$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

Here we revisit the problem and show that the argument in [2] justifying this upper bound does not stand. Consequently, both the asymptotic upper bound  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1 + o(1)$  and the upper bound  $s_{\perp}(k^2) \leq k + 1$  for  $k \geq 2$  remain without proof. We then revise the argument and obtain an upper bound of  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 5/4 + o(1)$  and establish that indeed,  $s_{\perp}(n) = \sqrt{n} + 1$  for  $n = k^2$ , for  $k \geq 2$ , and so this formula holds for an infinite sequence of values of  $n$ .

The fact that the upper bound  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1 + o(1)$  does not follow from the argument in [2] was also noticed by Brenner and Vygen [1] in their elaborate study of planar networks with respect to various criteria of comparison. They also deduced the upper bound  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 3/2 + o(1)$  [1, Corollary, p. 130] without, however, delving into the gaps of the argument in [2]. After an in-depth discussion of the matter, we give a new approach and a further reduction in the constant additive term in our Theorem 1.

Chung and Graham [2] also conjectured that  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Here we reduce their conjecture to a number-theoretic conjecture regarding integer partitions that we propose. We then verify the new conjecture for small values of  $n$ , and for a *new* infinite sequence of values of  $n$ . In Section 2 we prove the following.

### Theorem 1.

- (i) For every  $n \geq 2$  we have  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 5/4 + o(1)$ .
- (ii) For every  $n \leq 50$  we have  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1$ .
- (iii) We also have:  $s_{\perp}(k^2) \leq s_{\perp}(k^2 + 1) \leq k + 1$ ,  $s_{\perp}(k^2 + 2) \leq k + 1 + (k + 1)^{-1}$ ,  $s_{\perp}(k^2 + k) \leq k + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k+1}$ ,  $s_{\perp}(k^2 + k + 1) \leq k + 1/2$ , and  $s_{\perp}(k^2 + 2k) \leq k + 1 - \frac{1}{2k}$ , for every  $k \geq 2$ ; so in particular, the bound  $s_{\perp}(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1$  also holds for such  $n$ .

We think that our proof method that establishes parts (ii) and (iii) could work for every  $n$ , but so far we have not been able to formulate a general argument. A combinatorial formulation and a relevant conjecture are proposed in Section 4.

## 2. Methods of proof

Our proofs are constructive and bear some similarities to earlier proofs. The first method of proof is geometric; it yields part (i) of Theorem 1. The second method of proof is purely combinatorial; it yields parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1. We next present these two methods.

**Few's method.** In Few's proof [5] (as presented in a simpler but equivalent way by Chung and Graham [2]), the square  $U$  is subdivided into  $s$  strips by  $s - 1$  equally spaced horizontal segments  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{s-1}$  of unit length. Including the lower and upper sides of  $U$  yields  $s + 1$  horizontal unit segments  $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{s-1}, \ell_s$ . Then each point is in some strip (if a point is on the horizontal segment shared by two adjacent strips, associate the point with either strip, arbitrarily). For each point in some strip, take a vertical segment through the point to join it with the two horizontal segments bounding the strip. Take also the left and right sides of  $U$ . Then the  $n$  points are connected by  $s + 1$  horizontal and  $2$  vertical segments of length  $1$ , and  $n$  vertical segments of length  $1/s$ . The total length of these segments is

$$(s + 1) + 2 + n/s = (s + n/s) + 3. \quad (1)$$

In particular, the vertical segment of length  $1/s$  through each point in a strip is the union of two vertical segments joined at the point, one connecting the point to a horizontal segment with an odd index, and the other connecting the point to a horizontal segment with an even index. From these segments we can construct two disjoint Steiner trees for the  $n$  points:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6868507>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6868507>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)