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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is $k$-choosable if it can be colored whenever every vertex has a list of at least $k$ available colors. In this paper, we prove that every planar graph without chordal 6-cycles is 4 -choosable. This extends a known result that every planar graph without 6 -cycles is 4-choosable.
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## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite and undirected, and we follow [2] for the terminologies and notation not defined here. Let $G$ be a graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to $v$, and let $d(v)=|N(v)|$ denote the degree of $v$. A $k$-vertex, a $k^{+}$-vertex or a $k^{-}$-vertex is a vertex of degree $k$, at least $k$, or at most $k$, respectively. We use $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ (or simply $\Delta$ and $\delta$ ) to denote the maximum degree and the minimum degree of $G$, respectively. A $k$-cycle is a cycle of length $k$, and a 3-cycle is usually called a triangle. Two cycles are adjacent (or intersecting) if they share at least one edge (or vertex, respectively). Given a cycle $C$ of length $k$ in $G$, an edge $x y \in E(G) \backslash E(C)$ is called a chord of $C$ if $x, y \in V(C)$. Such a cycle $C$ is also called a chordal $k$-cycle.

A proper coloring of a graph $G$ is a mapping $\phi$ from $V(G)$ to the color set $[k]=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$ for every two adjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$. We say that $L$ is an assignment for the graph $G$ if it assigns a list $L(v)$ of possible colors to each vertex $v$ of $G$. If $G$ has a proper coloring $\phi$ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for all vertices $v$, then we say that $G$ is $L$-colorable or $\phi$ is an $L$-coloring of $G$. The graph $G$ is $k$-choosable if it is $L$-colorable for every assignment $L$ satisfying $|L(v)| \geq k$ for any vertex $v$. The choice number or list chromatic number $\chi_{l}(G)$ of $G$ is the smallest $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-choosable.

The concept of list coloring of a graph was introduced by Vizing [10] and Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [3], respectively. Thomassen [9] showed that every planar graph is 5-choosable. Examples of plane graphs which are not 4-choosable and plane graphs of girth 4 which are not 3-choosable were given by Voigt [11,12]. Since every planar graph without 3-cycles is 3-degenerate and hence is 4-choosable. Wang and Lih [15] showed that planar graphs without intersecting 3-cycles are 4 -choosable. Further, it was proved that every $k$-cycle-free planar graph is 4 -choosable for $k=4$ in [8], for $k=5$ in [7,14], for $k=6$ in [5,7,13], and for $k=7$ in [4]. In 2002, Wang and Lih [15] raised the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Every planar graph without adjacent 3-cycles is 4-choosable.
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Fig. 1. All possible clusters in $G$.
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Fig. 2. The configurations in Lemma 4.

Equivalently, Conjecture 1 states that planar graphs without chordal 4-cycles are 4-choosable. So far Conjecture 1 has remained to be open. However, in this paper, we shall prove the following related result:

Theorem 1. Planar graphs without chordal 6-cycles are 4-choosable.
Clearly, Theorem 1 is an extension to the result in [5,7,13].

## 2. Proof

This section is devoted to show Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a plane graph. For $f \in F(G)$, we use $b(f)$ to denote the boundary walk of $f$ and write $f=\left[v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n}\right]$ if $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are the boundary vertices of $f$ in a cyclic order. For a face $f \in F(G)$, let $d(f)$ denote the degree of $f$, i.e., the number of edges in $b(f)$. If a face is of degree $k$, at least $k$, or at most $k$, we call it a $k$-face, $k^{+}$-face, or $k^{-}$-face. Given a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $T(v)$ denote the set of 3-faces incident with $v$, and let $t(v)=|T(v)|$. For a face $f \in F(G)$, let $m_{3}(f)$ denote the number of 3 -faces adjacent to $f$. A cluster $\mathcal{C}$ is a connected subgraph of $G$ consisting of a set of 3-faces such that any 3-face not in $\mathcal{C}$ is not adjacent to any 3-face in $\mathcal{C}$. We say that a face $f$ is adjacent to a cluster $\mathcal{C}$ if $f$ is adjacent to a 3-face in $\mathcal{C}$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that Theorem 1 is false. Let $G$ be a counterexample to Theorem 1 with fewest vertices. Namely, $G$ is a planar graph without chordal 6-cycles that is not 4-choosable, but $G-v$ is 4-choosable for any vertex $v \in V(G)$. Obviously, $G$ is connected. Embed $G$ into the plane.

We investigate the structural properties of $G$ first. The following lemma holds trivially.
Lemma 2. $\delta(G) \geq 4$.
The literature [6] gives all possible clusters in $G$ and describes certain small faces that can be adjacent to a given cluster.
Lemma 3 ([6]). There are only five possible clusters of 3-faces in G, depicted in Fig. 1.
In Fig. 1 (and also in Fig. 2), solid squares denote two copies of the same vertex, e.g., $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ has only five distinct vertices. Moreover, let $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{5}^{*}$ denote, respectively, two special clusters $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ in Fig. 1 where $d\left(u_{1}\right)=d\left(u_{3}\right)=d\left(u_{5}\right)=4$.

Lemma 4 ([6]). G satisfies the following statements (1)-(9)
(1) $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is adjacent to at most one 4-face forcing an identification as shown in Fig. 2(a).
(2) If a 4-face is adjacent to two 3-faces, then they must be as shown in Fig. 2(b).
(3) Two adjacent 4-faces force an identification as in Fig. 2(c), and there is only one way for them to be adjacent to a 3-face as in Fig. 2(d).
(4) $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ is adjacent to a 4-face in a unique way, as shown in Fig. 2(e).
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