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#### Abstract

For integers $k, r>0$, a $(k, r)$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper $k$-coloring $c$ such that for any vertex $v$ with degree $d(v), v$ is adjacent to at least $\min \{d(v), r\}$ different colors. Such coloring is also called as an $r$-hued coloring. The $r$-hued chromatic number of $G, \chi_{r}(G)$, is the least integer $k$ such that a $(k, r)$-coloring of $G$ exists. In this paper, we proved that if $G$ is a planar graph with girth at least 6 , then $\chi_{r}(G) \leq r+5$. This extends a former result in Bu and Zhu (2012). It also implies that a conjecture on r-hued coloring of planar graphs is true for planar graphs with girth at least 6 . © 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Graphs in this paper are simple and finite. Undefined terminologies and notations are referred to [1]. Thus $\Delta(G), \delta(G)$, $g(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ denote the maximum degree, the minimum degree, the girth and the chromatic number of a graph $G$, respectively. When no confusion on $G$ arises, we often use $\Delta$ for $\Delta(G)$. For $v \in V(G)$, let $N_{G}(v)$ be the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ in $G, N_{G}[v]=N_{G}(v) \cup\{v\}$, and $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. When $G$ is understood from the context, the subscript $G$ is often omitted in these notations.

Let $k, r$ be integers with $k>0$ and $r>0$, and let $[k]=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. If $c: V(G) \mapsto[k]$ is a mapping, and if $V^{\prime} \subseteq V(G)$, then define $c\left(V^{\prime}\right)=\left\{c(v) \mid v \in V^{\prime}\right\}$. A $(k, r)$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a mapping $c: V(G) \mapsto[k]$ satisfying both the following.
(C1) $c(u) \neq c(v)$ for every edge $u v \in E(G)$;
(C2) $\left|c\left(N_{G}(v)\right)\right| \geq \min \left\{d_{G}(v), r\right\}$ for any $v \in V(G)$.
The condition (C2) is often referred to as the $r$-hued condition. Such coloring is also called as an $r$-hued coloring. For a fixed integer $r>0$, the $r$-hued chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi_{r}(G)$, is the smallest integer $k$ such that $G$ has a $(k, r)$ coloring. The concept was first introduced in [10] and [6], where $\chi_{2}(G)$ was called the dynamic chromatic number of $G$. The study of $r$-hued-colorings can be traced a bit earlier, as the square coloring of a graph is the special case when $r=\Delta$.

By the definition of $\chi_{r}(G)$, it follows immediately that $\chi(G)=\chi_{1}(G)$, and $\chi_{\Delta}(G)=\chi\left(G^{2}\right)$, where $G^{2}$ is the square graph of $G$. Thus $r$-hued coloring is a generalization of the classical vertex coloring. For any integer $i>j>0$, any ( $k, i$ )-coloring of $G$ is also a $(k, j)$-coloring of $G$, and so

$$
\chi(G) \leq \chi_{2}(G) \leq \cdots \leq \chi_{r}(G) \leq \cdots \leq \chi_{\Delta}(G)=\chi_{\Delta+1}(G)=\cdots=\chi\left(G^{2}\right)
$$

[^0]http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2015.05.015
0166-218X/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

In [9], it was shown that (3, 2)-colorability remains NP-complete even when restricted to planar bipartite graphs with maximum degree at most 3 and with arbitrarily high girth. This differs considerably from the well-known result that the classical 3-colorability is polynomially solvable for graphs with maximum degree at most 3.

The $r$-hued chromatic numbers of some classes of graphs are known. For example, the $r$-hued chromatic numbers of complete graphs, cycles, trees and complete bipartite graphs have been determined in [5]. In [6], an analogue of Brooks Theorem for $\chi_{2}$ was proved. It was shown in [3] that $\chi_{2}(G) \leq 5$ holds for any planar graph G. A Moore graph is a regular graph with diameter $d$ and girth $2 d+1$. Ding et al. [4] proved that $\chi_{r}(G) \leq \Delta^{2}+1$, where equality holds if and only if $G$ is a Moore graph, which was improved to $r \Delta+1$ in [8]. Wegner [12] conjectured that if $G$ is a planar graph, then

$$
\chi_{\Delta}(G)= \begin{cases}\Delta(G)+5, & \text { if } 4 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 7 \\ \lfloor 3 \Delta(G) / 2\rfloor+1, & \text { if } \Delta(G) \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

A graph $G$ has a graph $H$ as a minor if $H$ can be obtained from a subgraph of $G$ by edge contraction, and $G$ is called $H$-minor free if $G$ does not have $H$ as a minor.

Define

$$
K(r)= \begin{cases}r+3, & \text { if } 2 \leq r \leq 3 \\ \lfloor 3 r / 2\rfloor+1, & \text { if } r \geq 4\end{cases}
$$

Lih et al. proved the following towards Wegner's conjecture.
Theorem 1.1 (Lih et al. [7]). Let $G$ be a $K_{4}$-minor free graph. Then

$$
\chi_{\Delta}(G) \leq K(\Delta(G))
$$

Song et al. extended this result by proving the following theorem. Theorem 1.1 is the special case when $r=\Delta$ of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 (Song et al. [11]). Let G be a $K_{4}$-minor free graph. Then $\chi_{r}(G) \leq K(r)$.
A conjecture similar to the above-mentioned Wegner's conjecture is proposed in [11].
Conjecture 1.3. Let $G$ be a planar graph. Then

$$
\chi_{r}(G) \leq \begin{cases}r+3, & \text { if } 1 \leq r \leq 2 \\ r+5, & \text { if } 3 \leq r \leq 7 \\ \lfloor 3 r / 2\rfloor+1, & \text { if } r \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.4. If $r \geq 3$ and $G$ is a planar graph with $g(G) \geq 6$, then $\chi_{r}(G) \leq r+5$.
When $r \geq 8$, we have $r+5 \leq\lfloor 3 r / 2\rfloor+1$. Thus Theorem 1.4, together with Theorem 1.1 of [3] with $1 \leq r \leq 2$, justifies Conjecture 1.3 for all planar graphs with girth at least 6 . Bu and Zhu in [2] proved the special case when $r=\Delta$ of Theorem 1.4, and so Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of this former result in [2].

## 2. Notations and terminology

Let $G$ denote a planar graph embedded on the plane and $k>0$ be an integer. We use $F(G)$ to denote the set of all faces of this plane graph $G$. For a face $f \in F(G)$, if $v$ is a vertex on $f$ (or if $e$ is an edge on $f$, respectively), then we say that $v$ (or $e$, respectively) is incident with $f$. The number of edges incident with $f$ is denoted by $d_{G}(f)$, where each cut edge counts twice. A face $f$ of $G$ is called a $k$-face (or a $k^{+}$-face, respectively) if $d_{G}(f)=k$ (or $d_{G}(f) \geq k$, respectively). A vertex of degree $k$ (at least $k$, at most $k$, respectively) in $G$ is called a $k$-vertex ( $k^{+}$-vertex, $k^{-}$-vertex, respectively). We use $n_{i}(v)$ to denote the number of $i$-vertices adjacent to $v$.

For two vertices $u, w \in V(G)$, we say that $u$ and $w$ are weak-adjacent if there is a 2-vertex $v$ such that $u, w \in N_{G}(v)$. A 3 -vertex $v$ is a weak 3-vertex if $v$ is adjacent to a 2 -vertex. The neighbors of a weak 3 -vertex are called star-adjacent. If a 5 -vertex is weak-adjacent to five 5 -vertices, we call it a bad vertex. (As an example, see the vertex $v$ in $H_{4}$ of Fig. 2). If a 5 -vertex is adjacent to one weak 3-vertex and is weak-adjacent to four other 5 -vertices, we call it a semi-bad type vertex. As Fig. 2 demonstrates, the vertex $v$ in $H_{5}$ is a semi-bad type vertex.

Let $G$ be a graph with $V=V(G)$, and let $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$ be a vertex subset. As in [1], $G\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V^{\prime}$. A mapping $c: V^{\prime} \rightarrow[k]$ is a partial $(k, r)$-coloring of $G$ if $c$ is a $(k, r)$-coloring of $G\left[V^{\prime}\right]$. The subset $V^{\prime}$ is the support of the partial $(k, r)$-coloring $c$. The support of $c$ is denoted by $S(c)$. If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are two partial $(k, r)$-colorings of $G$ such that $S\left(c_{1}\right) \subseteq S\left(c_{2}\right)$ and such that for any $v \in S\left(c_{1}\right), c_{1}(v)=c_{2}(v)$, then we say that $c_{2}$ is an extension of $c_{1}$. Given a partial $(k, r)$-coloring $c$ on $V^{\prime} \subset V(G)$, for each $v \in V-V^{\prime}$, define $\{c(v)\}=\emptyset$; and for every vertex $v \in V$, we extend the definition of $c\left(N_{G}(v)\right)$ by setting $c\left(N_{G}(v)\right)=\cup_{z \in N_{G}(v)}\{c(z)\}$, and define

$$
c[v]= \begin{cases}\{c(v)\}, & \text { if }\left|c\left(N_{G}(v)\right)\right| \geq r  \tag{1}\\ \{c(v)\} \cup c\left(N_{G}(v)\right), & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$
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