ARTICLE IN PRESS

Discrete Applied Mathematics (



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

On *r*-hued coloring of planar graphs with girth at least 6

Huimin Song^{a,b}, Hong-Jian Lai^{c,*}, Jian-Liang Wu^a

^a School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

^b School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University, Weihai 264209, China

^c Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506-6310, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 January 2015 Received in revised form 5 May 2015 Accepted 10 May 2015 Available online xxxx

Keywords: (k, r)-coloring r-hued coloring Girth Planar graph

ABSTRACT

For integers k, r > 0, a (k, r)-coloring of a graph G is a proper k-coloring c such that for any vertex v with degree d(v), v is adjacent to at least min $\{d(v), r\}$ different colors. Such coloring is also called as an r-hued coloring. The r-hued chromatic number of G, $\chi_r(G)$, is the least integer k such that a (k, r)-coloring of G exists. In this paper, we proved that if G is a planar graph with girth at least 6, then $\chi_r(G) \le r + 5$. This extends a former result in Bu and Zhu (2012). It also implies that a conjecture on r-hued coloring of planar graphs is true for planar graphs with girth at least 6.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Graphs in this paper are simple and finite. Undefined terminologies and notations are referred to [1]. Thus $\Delta(G)$, $\delta(G)$, g(G) and $\chi(G)$ denote the maximum degree, the minimum degree, the girth and the chromatic number of a graph G, respectively. When no confusion on G arises, we often use Δ for $\Delta(G)$. For $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v)$ be the set of vertices adjacent to v in G, $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$, and $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. When G is understood from the context, the subscript G is often omitted in these notations.

Let k, r be integers with k > 0 and r > 0, and let $[k] = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. If $c : V(G) \mapsto [k]$ is a mapping, and if $V' \subseteq V(G)$, then define $c(V') = \{c(v) | v \in V'\}$. A (k, r)-coloring of a graph G is a mapping $c : V(G) \mapsto [k]$ satisfying both the following.

(C1) $c(u) \neq c(v)$ for every edge $uv \in E(G)$;

(C2) $|c(N_G(v))| \ge \min\{d_G(v), r\}$ for any $v \in V(G)$.

The condition (C2) is often referred to as the *r*-hued condition. Such coloring is also called as an *r*-hued coloring. For a fixed integer r > 0, the *r*-hued chromatic number of *G*, denoted by $\chi_r(G)$, is the smallest integer *k* such that *G* has a (k, r)-coloring. The concept was first introduced in [10] and [6], where $\chi_2(G)$ was called *the dynamic chromatic number* of *G*. The study of *r*-hued-colorings can be traced a bit earlier, as the square coloring of a graph is the special case when $r = \Delta$.

By the definition of $\chi_r(G)$, it follows immediately that $\chi(G) = \chi_1(G)$, and $\chi_{\Delta}(G) = \chi(G^2)$, where G^2 is the square graph of *G*. Thus *r*-hued coloring is a generalization of the classical vertex coloring. For any integer i > j > 0, any (k, i)-coloring of *G* is also a (k, j)-coloring of *G*, and so

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_2(G) \leq \cdots \leq \chi_r(G) \leq \cdots \leq \chi_\Delta(G) = \chi_{\Delta+1}(G) = \cdots = \chi(G^2).$$

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: hmsong@sdu.edu.cn (H. Song), hongjianlai@gmail.com (H.-J. Lai).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2015.05.015 0166-218X/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

H. Song et al. / Discrete Applied Mathematics 🛛 (💵 🖿) 💵 – 💵

In [9], it was shown that (3, 2)-colorability remains NP-complete even when restricted to planar bipartite graphs with maximum degree at most 3 and with arbitrarily high girth. This differs considerably from the well-known result that the classical 3-colorability is polynomially solvable for graphs with maximum degree at most 3.

The *r*-hued chromatic numbers of some classes of graphs are known. For example, the *r*-hued chromatic numbers of complete graphs, cycles, trees and complete bipartite graphs have been determined in [5]. In [6], an analogue of Brooks Theorem for χ_2 was proved. It was shown in [3] that $\chi_2(G) \leq 5$ holds for any planar graph *G*. A *Moore graph* is a regular graph with diameter *d* and girth 2d + 1. Ding et al. [4] proved that $\chi_r(G) \leq \Delta^2 + 1$, where equality holds if and only if *G* is a Moore graph, which was improved to $r\Delta + 1$ in [8]. Wegner [12] conjectured that if *G* is a planar graph, then

7.

$$\chi_{\Delta}(G) = \begin{cases} \Delta(G) + 5, & \text{if } 4 \le \Delta(G) \le \\ \lfloor 3\Delta(G)/2 \rfloor + 1, & \text{if } \Delta(G) \ge 8. \end{cases}$$

A graph G has a graph H as a *minor* if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by edge contraction, and G is called H-minor free if G does not have H as a minor.

Define

$$K(r) = \begin{cases} r+3, & \text{if } 2 \le r \le 3\\ \lfloor 3r/2 \rfloor + 1, & \text{if } r \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

Lih et al. proved the following towards Wegner's conjecture.

Theorem 1.1 (Lih et al. [7]). Let G be a K_4 -minor free graph. Then

$$\chi_{\Delta}(G) \leq K(\Delta(G)).$$

Song et al. extended this result by proving the following theorem. Theorem 1.1 is the special case when $r = \Delta$ of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 (Song et al. [11]). Let G be a K₄-minor free graph. Then $\chi_r(G) \leq K(r)$.

A conjecture similar to the above-mentioned Wegner's conjecture is proposed in [11].

Conjecture 1.3. Let G be a planar graph. Then

$$\chi_r(G) \le \begin{cases} r+3, & \text{if } 1 \le r \le 2\\ r+5, & \text{if } 3 \le r \le 7;\\ \lfloor 3r/2 \rfloor + 1, & \text{if } r \ge 8. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. If $r \ge 3$ and *G* is a planar graph with $g(G) \ge 6$, then $\chi_r(G) \le r + 5$.

When $r \ge 8$, we have $r + 5 \le \lfloor 3r/2 \rfloor + 1$. Thus Theorem 1.4, together with Theorem 1.1 of [3] with $1 \le r \le 2$, justifies Conjecture 1.3 for all planar graphs with girth at least 6. Bu and Zhu in [2] proved the special case when $r = \Delta$ of Theorem 1.4, and so Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of this former result in [2].

2. Notations and terminology

Let *G* denote a planar graph embedded on the plane and k > 0 be an integer. We use F(G) to denote the set of all faces of this plane graph *G*. For a face $f \in F(G)$, if *v* is a vertex on *f* (or if *e* is an edge on *f*, respectively), then we say that *v* (or *e*, respectively) is incident with *f*. The number of edges incident with *f* is denoted by $d_G(f)$, where each cut edge counts twice. A face *f* of *G* is called a *k*-face (or a k^+ -face, respectively) if $d_G(f) = k$ (or $d_G(f) \ge k$, respectively). A vertex of degree *k* (at least *k*, at most *k*, respectively) in *G* is called a *k*-vertex (k^+ -vertex, k^- -vertex, respectively). We use $n_i(v)$ to denote the number of *i*-vertices adjacent to *v*.

For two vertices $u, w \in V(G)$, we say that u and w are weak-adjacent if there is a 2-vertex v such that $u, w \in N_G(v)$. A 3-vertex v is a weak 3-vertex if v is adjacent to a 2-vertex. The neighbors of a weak 3-vertex are called *star-adjacent*. If a 5-vertex is weak-adjacent to five 5-vertices, we call it a *bad vertex*. (As an example, see the vertex v in H_4 of Fig. 2). If a 5-vertex is adjacent to one weak 3-vertex and is weak-adjacent to four other 5-vertices, we call it a *semi-bad* type vertex. As Fig. 2 demonstrates, the vertex v in H_5 is a semi-bad type vertex.

Let *G* be a graph with V = V(G), and let $V' \subseteq V$ be a vertex subset. As in [1], *G*[*V'*] is the subgraph of *G* induced by *V'*. A mapping $c : V' \to [k]$ is a *partial* (k, r)-coloring of *G* if *c* is a (k, r)-coloring of *G*[*V'*]. The subset *V'* is the *support* of the partial (k, r)-coloring *c*. The support of *c* is denoted by *S*(*c*). If c_1, c_2 are two partial (k, r)-colorings of *G* such that $S(c_1) \subseteq S(c_2)$ and such that for any $v \in S(c_1), c_1(v) = c_2(v)$, then we say that c_2 is an *extension* of c_1 . Given a partial (k, r)-coloring *c* on $V' \subset V(G)$, for each $v \in V - V'$, define $\{c(v)\} = \emptyset$; and for every vertex $v \in V$, we extend the definition of $c(N_G(v))$ by setting $c(N_G(v)) = \bigcup_{z \in N_G(v)} \{c(z)\}$, and define

$$c[v] = \begin{cases} \{c(v)\}, & \text{if } |c(N_G(v))| \ge r; \\ \{c(v)\} \cup c(N_G(v)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Please cite this article in press as: H. Song, et al., On *r*-hued coloring of planar graphs with girth at least 6, Discrete Applied Mathematics (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2015.05.015

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6872067

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6872067

Daneshyari.com