



Minimum degree, independence number and pseudo $[2, b]$ -factors in graphs

Siham Bekkai*

USTHB, Faculty of Mathematics, PO Box 32 El-Alia Bab Ezzouar, 16111 Algiers, Algeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 April 2012

Received in revised form 18 August 2013

Accepted 24 September 2013

Available online 11 October 2013

Keywords:

Pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor

Independence number

Minimum degree

ABSTRACT

A pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph in which each component C on at least three vertices verifies $2 \leq d_C(x) \leq b$, for every vertex x in C . Given an integer $b \geq 4$, we show that a graph G with minimum degree δ , independence number $\alpha > \frac{b(\delta-1)}{2}$ and without isolated vertices possesses a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor with at most $\alpha - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor$ components that are edges or vertices. This bound is sharp.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, graphs are assumed to be finite and simple. For unexplained concepts and notations, the reader could refer to [1].

Given a graph G , we let $V(G)$ be its vertex set, $E(G)$ its edge set and n its order. The neighborhood of a vertex x in G is denoted by $N_G(x)$ and defined to be the set of vertices of G adjacent to x ; the cardinality of this set is called the degree of x in G . For convenience, we denote by $d(x)$ the degree of a vertex x in G , by δ the minimum degree of G and by α its independence number. However, if H is a subgraph of G then we write $d_H(x)$, δ_H , and $\alpha(H)$ for the degree of x in H , the minimum degree, and the independence number of H respectively. We denote by $d_G(x, y)$ the distance between x and y in the graph G .

A factor of G is a spanning subgraph of G , that is a subgraph obtained by edge deletions only. If S is the set of deleted edges, then this subgraph is denoted $G - S$. If H is a subgraph of G , then $G - H$ stands for the subgraph induced by $V(G) - V(H)$ in G . By starting with a disjoint union of two graphs G_1 and G_2 and adding edges joining every vertex of G_1 to every vertex of G_2 , we obtain the join of G_1 and G_2 , denoted $G_1 + G_2$. For a positive integer p , the graph pG consists of p vertex-disjoint copies of G . In all that follows, we use disjoint to stand for vertex-disjoint.

In [2], we defined a pseudo 2-factor of a graph G to be a factor each component of which is a cycle, an edge or a vertex. It can also be seen as a graph partition by a family of vertices, edges and cycles. Graph partition problems have been studied in lots of papers. They consist of partitioning the vertex set of G by disjoint subgraphs chosen to have some specific properties. In [3], Enomoto listed a variety of results dealing with partitions into paths and cycles. The emphasis is generally on the existence of a given partition. In our study of pseudo-factors, however, we take interest in the number of components that are edges or vertices in a pseudo-factor of G . In [2], we proved that every graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$ and independence number $\alpha \geq \delta$ possesses a pseudo 2-factor with at most $\alpha - \delta + 1$ edges or vertices and that this bound is best possible. Motivated by the desire to know what happens in general cases, we define a pseudo $[a, b]$ -factor (where a and b are two integers such that $b \geq a \geq 2$) as a factor of G in which each component C on at least three vertices verifies

* Fax: +213 21 24 79 07.

E-mail addresses: siham.bekkai@gmail.com, sbekkai@usthb.dz.

$a \leq d_C(x) \leq b$, for every $x \in C$. Clearly, a pseudo $[a, b]$ -factor with no component that is an edge or a vertex is nothing but an $[a, b]$ -factor. Surveys on factors and specifically $[a, b]$ -factors and connected factors can be found in [4,5]. In the present work, we study pseudo $[2, b]$ -factors, we consider the case $b \geq 4$ and obtain an upper bound (in function of δ, α and b) for the number of components that are edges or vertices in a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor of G which minimizes the number of such components. Note that, from a result by Kouider and Lonc [6], we deduce that if $\alpha \leq \frac{b(\delta-1)}{2}$ then G has a $[2, b]$ -factor. Laying down the condition $\alpha > \frac{b(\delta-1)}{2}$, the main result of this paper reads as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let b be an integer such that $b \geq 4$ and G a graph of minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$ and independence number α with $\alpha > \frac{b(\delta-1)}{2}$. Then G possesses a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor with at most $\alpha - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor$ components that are edges or vertices.*

The bound given in Theorem 1 is best possible. Indeed, let b be an integer such that $b \geq 4$ and let H be a graph with nonempty set of vertices and empty set of edges. The graph $G = H + pK_2$, where $p > \frac{b}{2}|H|$, has minimum degree $\delta = |H| + 1$ and independence number $\alpha = p$. We can easily verify that G possesses a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor with $\alpha - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor$ edges and we cannot do better. Also, a simple example reaching the bound of Theorem 1, is a graph G obtained by taking a graph H on n vertices in which every vertex is of degree between 2 and b ($b \geq 4$), then taking n additional independent vertices and joining exactly one isolated vertex to exactly one vertex of H . The graph G has minimum degree $\delta = 1$, independence number $\alpha = n$ and can be partitioned into one component that is H and $n - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor$ vertices (or simply n edges) and we cannot do better.

Combining Theorem 1 with the results of [2] and [6], we obtain

Corollary 1. *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer such that $b \neq 3$. Let G be a graph of minimum degree δ and independence number α and without isolated vertices. Then G possesses a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor with at most $\max(0, \alpha - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor)$ edges or vertices.*

2. Independence number, minimum degree and pseudo $[2, b]$ -factors

First of all, we put aside the case $\delta = 1$ for which we know that we have in G a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor with at most α edges or vertices. Indeed, if we regard a cycle as a component each vertex of which is of degree between 2 and b , then we know that any graph G can be covered by at most α cycles, edges or vertices (see for instance [7]). So the bound $\alpha - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor$ holds for $\delta = 1$.

From now on, we assume that G has minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$. Let F be a subgraph of G such that $2 \leq d_F(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in V(F)$. For the sake of simplifying the writing, such a subgraph F will be called a $[2, b]$ -subgraph of G . Denote by D a smallest component of $G - F$, set $W = G - (D \cup F)$ and choose F in such a manner that:

- (a) $\alpha(G - F)$ is as small as possible;
- (b) subject to (a), the number of vertices of D is as small as possible;
- (c) subject to (a) and (b), the number of vertices in F is as small as possible.

Notice that a subgraph F satisfying the conditions above exists since G contains a cycle (as $\delta \geq 2$). We shall show the following theorem which yields Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *Let b be an integer such that $b \geq 4$. Let G be a graph of minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$ and independence number α such that $\alpha > \frac{b(\delta-1)}{2}$. Then there exists a pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor of G and a $[2, b]$ -subgraph F of this pseudo $[2, b]$ -factor such that $\alpha(G - F) \leq \alpha - \lfloor \frac{b}{2}(\delta-1) \rfloor$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. Let F be a $[2, b]$ -subgraph of G satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c). Denote by u_1, \dots, u_m ($m \geq 1$) the neighbors of D on F and by P_{ij} a path with internal vertices in D joining two vertices u_i and u_j with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $i \neq j$. The proof of Theorem 2 will be divided into several claims. The following one, which will intensively be used, reminds Lemma 1 in [2].

Claim 1. *Let F' be a $[2, b]$ -subgraph of G which contains the neighbors of D in F and at least one vertex of D . Setting $W' = G - (F' \cup D)$, we have $\alpha(W') > \alpha(W)$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Set $D' = D - F'$.

(1) If $D' = \emptyset$, then by the choice of F , we have $\alpha(G - F) \leq \alpha(G - F')$. But $\alpha(G - F) = \alpha(W) + \alpha(D) \geq \alpha(W) + 1$ and $\alpha(G - F') = \alpha(W')$, so $\alpha(W) < \alpha(W')$.

(2) If $D' \neq \emptyset$, then F' gives a component D' smaller than D , so again by the choice of F , we have $\alpha(W) + \alpha(D) = \alpha(G - F) < \alpha(G - F') = \alpha(W') + \alpha(D')$. But as $\alpha(D') \leq \alpha(D)$, we obtain $\alpha(W) < \alpha(W')$. \square

In the next claims, we try to learn more about the degrees in F of its vertices.

Claim 2. *For every i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $N_F(u_i) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_m\} = \emptyset$.*

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose that for some i , $N_F(u_i) \cap \{u_1, \dots, u_m\} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a vertex u_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$ and $j \neq i$) such that $u_i u_j \in E(F)$. Put $e = u_i u_j$, then $(F - e) \cup P_{ij}$ is a $[2, b]$ -subgraph. Indeed, none of the vertices of F changes its degree in $(F - e) \cup P_{ij}$ and the internal vertices of P_{ij} are of degree 2. So taking $F' = (F - e) \cup P_{ij}$ in Claim 1 we obtain $\alpha(W) > \alpha(W)$, which is absurd. \square

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6872444>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6872444>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)