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#### Abstract

Circular DOL-systems are those with finite synchronizing delay. We introduce a tool called graph of overhangs which can be used to find the minimal value of synchronizing delay of a given DOL-system. By studying the graphs of overhangs, a general upper bound on the minimal value of a synchronizing delay of a circular DOL-system with a binary uniform morphism is given.
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## 1. Introduction

Circular codes are a classical notion studied in theory of codes [1]. A set $X$ of finite words is a code if each word in $X^{+}$ has a unique decomposition into words from $X$. If we slightly modify the requirement of uniqueness, we get the definition of a circular code: $X$ is a circular code if each word in $X^{+}$written in a circle has a unique decomposition into words from $X$.

An analogue to codes in the family of DOL-systems are DOL-systems that are injective on the set of all factors of their languages. Circularity is defined as slightly relaxed injectivity: a DOL-system is circular if long enough factors of its language have a unique preimage (under the respective morphism) in the language except for some prefix and suffix bounded in length by some constant. This constant is called a synchronizing delay and it is studied in this paper.

In the case of DOL-systems circularity is connected with repetitiveness. As stated in [2], a non-circular DOL-system is repetitive, i.e., for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a word $v$ such that $v^{k}$ is a factor of the language. In fact, if a DOL-system is not pushy (which is always true if the morphism is uniform and the language is infinite), then circularity is equivalent to non-repetitiveness [3].

As explained by Cassaigne in [4], knowledge of the value of the synchronizing delay can be very helpful when analyzing the structure of bispecial factors in languages of D0L-systems. This idea was further developed by one of the authors in [5], where an algorithm for generating all bispecial factors is given. This algorithm works for circular and non-pushy D0L-systems and its computational complexity depends on the value of the synchronizing delay. This fact and the absence of any known bound on the value of synchronizing delay is the main motivation of the present work.

Unfortunately, it does not seem easy to find such a bound. Therefore we focus on the simplest case: a circular D0L-system with binary $k$-uniform morphism with $k \geq 2$. Using the notion of the graph of overhangs introduced in Subsection 2.2, we prove the following result. The details of the proof are given in Section 3.

[^0]Theorem 1. If the morphism $\varphi$ of a circular D0L-system $(\{a, b\}, \varphi, a)$ is $k$-uniform, then the minimum value of its synchronizing delay, denoted by $Z_{\text {min }}$, is bounded as follows:
(i) $Z_{\text {min }} \leq 8$ if $k=2$,
(ii) $Z_{\min } \leq k^{2}+3 k-4$ if $k$ is an odd prime number,
(iii) $Z_{\min } \leq k^{2}\left(\frac{k}{d}-1\right)+5 k-4$ otherwise,
where number $d$ is the least divisor of $k$ greater than 1 .

## 2. Preliminaries

A finite set of symbols is an alphabet, denoted by $\mathcal{A}$. The set of all finite words over $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{*}$, the empty word is $\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{A}^{+}=\mathcal{A}^{*} \backslash\{\varepsilon\}$. If a word $u \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ is a concatenation of three words $x, y$ and $z$ from $\mathscr{A}^{*}$, i.e., $u=x y z$, the word $x$ is a prefix of $u, y$ its factor and $z$ a suffix. We put $x^{-1} u=y z$ and $u z^{-1}=x y$. The length of the word $u$ equals the number of letters in $u$ and is denoted by $|u| ;|u|_{a}$ denotes the number of occurrences of a letter $a$ in $u$.

A mapping $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}$ is a morphism if for every $v, u \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ we have $\varphi(v u)=\varphi(v) \varphi(u)$. A triplet $G=(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ is a DOL-system, if $\varphi$ is a morphism on $\mathcal{A}$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$. The word $w$ is called an axiom. The language of $G$ is the set $L(G)=$ $\left\{\varphi^{n}(w): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. The set of all factors of elements of $L(G)$ is denoted by $S(L(G))$. The alphabet is always considered to be the minimal alphabet necessary, i.e., $\mathcal{A} \cap S(L(G))=\mathcal{A}$.

A DOL-system $G=(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ is injective on $S(L(G))$ if for every $u, v \in S(L(G)), \varphi(u)=\varphi(v)$ implies that $u=v$. It is clear that if $\varphi$ is injective, then $G$ is injective. If $\varphi$ is non-erasing, i.e., $\varphi(a) \neq \varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then $G$ is a propagating DOL-system, shortly PDOL-system.

Given a DOL-system $G=(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$, we say that a letter $a$ is bounded if the set $\left\{\varphi^{n}(a): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is finite. If a letter is not bounded, it is unbounded. The system $G$ is pushy if $S(L(G))$ contains infinitely many factors containing bounded letters only.

A DOL-system $G$ is repetitive if for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a non-empty word $v$ such that $v^{k}$ is a factor from $S(L(G))$. By [6], any repetitive DOL-system is strongly repetitive, i.e., there is a non-empty word $v$ such that $v^{k}$ is a factor for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $G$ is unboundedly repetitive if there is $v$ containing at least one unbounded letter such that $v^{k}$ is a factor for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

### 2.1. Circular DOL-systems

In [4], a circular DOL-system is defined using the notion of synchronizing point (see Section 3.2 in [4] for details). We give here an equivalent definition employing the notion of interpretation.

Definition 2. Let $G=(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ be a PDOL-system and $u \in S(L(G))$. A triplet $(p, v, s)$, where $p, s \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$ and $v \in S(L(G))$, is an interpretation of the word $u$ if $\varphi(v)=p u s$.

Definition 3. Let $G=(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ be a PDOL-system. We say that two interpretations $(p, v, s)$ and ( $\left.p^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)$ of a word $u \in$ $S(L(G))$ are synchronized at position $k$ if there exist indices $i$ and $j$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{i}\right)=p u_{1} \cdots u_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi\left(v_{1}^{\prime} \cdots v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=p^{\prime} u_{1} \cdots u_{k}
$$

with $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{n} \in \mathscr{A}^{n}, v^{\prime}=v_{1}^{\prime} \cdots v_{m}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{m}$ and $u=u_{1} \cdots u_{\ell} \in \mathcal{A}^{\ell}$ (if $k=0$, we put $u_{1} \cdots u_{k}=\varepsilon$ ). Two interpretations that are not synchronized at any position are called non-synchronized.

We say that a word $u \in S(L(G))$ has a synchronizing point at position $k$ with $0 \leq k \leq|u|$ if all its interpretations are pairwise synchronized at position $k$.

Definition 4. Let $G=(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ be a PDOL-system injective on $S(L(G))$. We say that $G$ is circular if there is a positive integer $Z$, called a synchronizing delay, such that any $u$ from $S(L(G))$ longer than $Z$ has a synchronizing point. The minimal constant $Z$ with this property is denoted by $Z_{\text {min }}$.

By the results from [2,3], non-circular systems are repetitive (and by [6] also strongly repetitive). In fact, a D0L-system injective on $S(L(G))$ is not circular if and only if it is unboundedly repetitive [3], i.e., there exists $v$ containing an unbounded letter such that $v^{k} \in S(L(G))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since this property can be checked by a simple algorithm [7], we can easily verify whether a given DOL-system injective on $S(L(G))$ is circular or not.

The notion of circularity is inspired by the notion of circular code:
Definition 5. A subset $X$ of $\mathscr{A}^{*}$ is called a code over alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ if for any word $v \in X^{+}$there are uniquely given a number $n$ and words $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ from $X$ so that $v=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$.

The set $X$ is a circular code over $\mathcal{A}$ if for all $n, m \geq 1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in X, p \in \mathscr{A}^{*}$ and $s \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$it holds that:

$$
\left(s x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n} p=y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{m} \text { and } x_{1}=p s\right) \Longrightarrow\left(n=m, p=\epsilon \text { and } x_{i}=y_{i} \forall i=1, \ldots, n\right)
$$
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