Accepted Manuscript

Towards Globally Optimal Normal Orientations for Thin Surfaces

Minfeng Xu, Shiqing Xin, Changhe Tu

 PII:
 S0097-8493(18)30092-X

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.cag.2018.06.002

 Reference:
 CAG 2957

To appear in:

Computers & Graphics

Received date:23 January 2018Revised date:21 June 2018Accepted date:25 June 2018

Please cite this article as: Minfeng Xu, Shiqing Xin, Changhe Tu, Towards Globally Optimal Normal Orientations for Thin Surfaces, *Computers & Graphics* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.cag.2018.06.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Computers & Graphics (2018)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Computers & Graphics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cag



Towards Globally Optimal Normal Orientations for Thin Surfaces

Minfeng Xu^a, Shiqing Xin^a, Changhe Tu^a

^a Interdisciplinary Research Center, School of Computer Science and Technology, Shandong University, Qingdao, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Keywords: Consistent normal orientation, thin surface, geometric optimization, surface reconstruction

ABSTRACT

Globally unified normal orientations for unorganized point set are essential for reconstruction, rendering, texture mapping etc. Although there is a large body of literature on dealing with various difficult cases to get consistent normal orientation, effective approaches are not available yet to handle a point cloud with thin surfaces, to our best knowledge. The difficulty lies in that thin structure doesn't have a strong coherence between locations of surface points and their normal orientations. In this paper, we propose a novel approach for computing globally optimal normal orientations to facilitate high-quality surface reconstruction from a point cloud with thin structures. The key idea is to generate an uniform particle set constrained on an offset surface that is topologically identical to the real target surface and further use the particle set to compute and refine the normal vector at each primitive point. Our strategy for inferring the globally consistent orientation is much different from the conventional paradigm that computes unoriented normal vectors and then re-orients them coherently. Experimental results on natural and man-made models with noise and thin parts show that our algorithm outperforms existing approaches on normal consistence and is able to produce a desirable global optimal normal orientations with which a structurally sound thin shape can be finally reconstructed by the well known screened Poisson reconstruction approach.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recent innovation in 3D acquisition technology has enabled digitization of complex 3D objects or scenes, generally in the form of point clouds. Reconstructing the target shape from an unorganized and noisy point cloud is a research-intensive yet challenging topic in computer graphics and computer vision. It is especially difficult to recover the intricate geometric structure when the underlying surface has thin parts or sharp features.

 Globally unified normal orientations for unorganized point
 set are essential for reconstruction, rendering, texture map ping etc. There is a large body of literatures on finding Preprint submitted to Computers & Graphics consistent normal orientations, including surface-based meth-12 ods [16, 15, 17, 20, 23, 31, 7, 33, 22] and volumetric meth-13 ods [36, 25, 34, 10, 35]. Surface based methods assume that 14 the change of normal vectors has a spatial coherence for those 15 adjacent points. However, the assumption may lead to incorrect 16 normal orientations in practice, e.g., for those thin structures of 17 3D objects. As shown in Fig. 1, the two point sets in (a) and (b) 18 are completely identical and the underlying surface they intend 19 to encode is a closed surface with a small thin volume, i.e. the 20 inner loop shown in Fig. 1(b). Unfortunately, since the input 21 point cloud is noisy and not dense enough, if we follow the as-22

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6876737

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6876737

Daneshyari.com