Q1

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Computers & Graphics xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computers & Graphics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cag

Technical Section Sketch recognition with few examples[☆]

Kemal Tugrul Yesilbek*, T. Metin Sezgin

Koc University, Istanbul 34450, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 December 2016 Revised 8 August 2017 Accepted 30 August 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Sketch recognition Learning from few examples Self-learning

ABSTRACT

Sketch recognition is the task of converting hand-drawn digital ink into symbolic computer representations. Since the early days of sketch recognition, the bulk of the work in the field focused on building accurate recognition algorithms for specific domains, and well defined data sets. Recognition methods explored so far have been developed and evaluated using standard machine learning pipelines and have consequently been built over many simplifying assumptions. For example, existing frameworks assume the presence of a fixed set of symbol classes, and the availability of plenty of annotated examples. However, in practice, these assumptions do not hold. In reality, the designer of a sketch recognition system starts with no labeled data at all, and faces the burden of data annotation. In this work, we propose to alleviate the burden of annotation by building systems that can learn from very few labeled examples, and large amounts of unlabeled data. Our systems perform self-learning by automatically extending a very small set of labeled examples with new examples extracted from unlabeled sketches. The end result is a sufficiently large set of labeled training data, which can subsequently be used to train classifiers. We present four self-learning methods with varying levels of implementation difficulty and runtime complexities. One of these methods leverages contextual co-occurrence patterns to build verifiably more diverse set of training instances. Rigorous experiments with large sets of data demonstrate that this novel approach based on exploiting contextual information leads to significant leaps in recognition performance. As a side contribution, we also demonstrate the utility of bagging for sketch recognition in imbalanced data sets with few positive examples and many outliers.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1 1. Introduction

Hand-drawn sketches are ubiquitous in design, arts, education
and entertainment. More recently sketching has also been receiving attention as a natural human-computer interaction modality as
seen from the continually increasing body of work on automated
sketch recognition.

7 Sketch recognition is defined as the task of segmenting a full 8 sketch into individual groups of ink representing domain sym-9 bols, and assigning labels denoting classes. State of the art ap-10 proaches to sketch recognition are predominantly based on ma-11 chine learning technologies. However, the development and eval-12 uation of these algorithms have traditionally been carried out with 13 strong assumptions that do not hold in practice.

For example, it is generally assumed that sufficiently large set of annotated symbols are readily available for training classifiers. In practice, however, such data is generally unavailable. Moving into a new domain requires the designer of the sketch recognition system

* This article was recommended for publication by Prof. J. Jorge

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kyesilbek@ku.edu.tr (K.T. Yesilbek).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2017.08.016 0097-8493/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. to create an annotated data set. This is done either by collecting 18 isolated instances of symbols from users [1-5], or by annotating 19 full sketches [6,7] (i.e., sketches consisting of multiple symbols). 20 Both cases require substantial annotation effort. In this paper, we 21 propose methods for training sketch recognizers using only a few 22 (1-3) labeled examples. We do so by leveraging large sets of un-23 labeled examples. This ability of the proposed framework allows 24 users of the system to define their own classes for an unlabeled 25 data set on-fly, which offers great flexibility. 26

Although our main contribution addresses learning with few 27 examples, our setup also challenges other assumptions in the field. 28 It is generally assumed that recognizers will only be tested on 29 symbols strictly within the domain of interest. This assumption 30 manifests itself through the use of crisp multi-class data sets, or 31 in the form of drawing instructions for users where they are first 32 briefed about the set of available domain objects, and told not to 33 use any symbols outside this restricted set. Hence, evaluation re-34 sults in the literature are all reported in a multi-class classification 35 setting where the knowledge of all classes are available. However, 36 real drawings usually contain a large number of objects, marks, 37 and writing that are irrelevant for the domain, and act as outliers. 38 The learning framework we describe explicitly abstains from crisp 39

Please cite this article as: K.T. Yesilbek, T.M. Sezgin, Sketch recognition with few examples, Computers & Graphics (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2017.08.016

JID: CAG 2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

K.T. Yesilbek, T.M. Sezgin/Computers & Graphics xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

40 data assumptions, and is evaluated with realistic sketch data con-41 taining many outliers.

Our approach is technically a semi-supervised method perform-42 43 ing self-learning. Self-learning refers to using some amount of labeled data to label unlabeled instances, and training a classifier 44 with the extended set of labeled instances. Generally self-learners 45 start with an initial seed set of 10 or more labeled examples per 46 class, and extend the training data. However, we target very few 47 48 examples (1-3 labeled examples). This results in two main challenges. First, with only 1-3 items in the initial list of labeled ex-49 50 amples, it becomes essential that any additional items brought into 51 the list do indeed belong to the correct class. Even a few incorrectly labeled examples can cause catastrophic drops in recognizer 52 53 performance. Second, it is extremely important to ensure that the additional labeled items are not too similar to the existing exam-54 ples. New labeled examples help only if they are diverse and carry 55 56 variations. We show that a context-based selection criterion promotes diversity. The key insight that we bring is to give precedence 57 to candidate examples that not only have the appearance of the 58 class of interest, but also appear in contexts that are typically ob-59 served for the object of interest. This scheme favors diversity. 60

Learning from few examples also poses a data imbalance challenge. The number of positive examples are multiple orders of magnitude smaller than the number of negative and unlabeled examples. We address this issue through bagging (bootstrap aggregation).

Finally, we successfully adopt a Viola–Jones-like filtering scheme to speed up the self-learning process for large data sets. The filtering acts as a conservative rejection mechanism that excludes irrelevant unlabeled instances from the self-learning pipeline.

The focus on learning from very few examples distinguishes our work from others. The context-based self learning method is our main contribution. We demonstrate the utility of this approach through its ability to accurately select diverse examples for training sketch recognizers. Successful incorporation of bagging and conservative rejection serve as two additional contributions.

77 In the rest of the paper, we first put our work into perspective by discussing the related work from the sketch recognition 78 domain. Since the use of realistic data is one of the core con-79 tributions of our work, we describe the in-the-wild sketch data 80 81 set that we use in Section 3. We measure the feasibility of selflearning through many repeated experiments designed to mimic 82 83 what would have happened if the process had started with var-84 ious initial conditions. The Experimental Setup section describes the end-to-end pipeline for self learning, including the details 85 86 of data preparation, and metrics for performance measurement. Section 5 describes the details of our context-based self-learning 87 algorithm, along with three others. We report our findings in the 88 Results section, conclude with a discussion of the main findings, a 89 summary of our contributions and directions for future work. 90

91 2. Related work

The historical progression of interest in sketch recognition 92 started with investigation of knowledge-based and model-based 93 recognition systems with no elements of machine learning [8–11]. 94 95 The focus later shifted to approaches based on machine learning. These methods proved to be superior, and the field enjoyed steady 96 97 progress in feature representations and recognition architectures. It is only recently that the interest has shifted to alleviating the 98 difficulties associated with approaches based on machine learning. 99 Below we discuss how our work fits in this vast body of work on 100 sketch recognition. 101

The early work on sketch recognition focused on building rulebased recognition algorithms. These approaches combined structural descriptions of symbols with efficient matching algorithms 104 and rule-based interpretation architectures for recognition [8–11]. 105 Rather than learning from examples, they use knowledge based 106 object models. For example, Mahoney and Fromherz [8] propose 107 structural descriptions that describe domain objects in terms of 108 connections and constraints defined over line segments, and use 109 sub graph isomorphism for recognition [8]. Sezgin and Davis pro-110 pose automatic generation of recognizer code from structural de-111 scriptions of domain objects [9]. Hammond takes the idea of 112 structural descriptions further by defining a formal symbol rep-113 resentation language [11] and a perceptually inspired method for 114 generating object descriptions from single hand-drawn examples 115 [10]. The work of Veselova and Davis is in the same spirit as ours 116 in the attempt to learn from few examples, however we operate 117 within a machine-learning-based framework, and try to exploit un-118 labeled data. 119

With the development of powerful feature representations 120 for sketches, recognition frameworks based on machine learning 121 gained dominance [2,3,12–14]. These methods were developed and 122 evaluated within the standard train/validate/test machine learning 123 pipeline, and our work aims to address the limitations induced by 124 the assumptions of these systems. These and many others [1,4,5] 125 assume fully labeled training data sets consisting of isolated hand-126 drawn symbols instances. They assume a predetermined set of ob-127 ject categories, and focus on performance indicators measured over 128 isolated symbols or scenes consisting of domain objects only. In 129 contrast, we focus on learning from few examples, while symbols 130 are not isolated (i.e., there exists multiple symbols in a sketch), 131 and exploiting unlabeled data. Most of the work supporting sketch 132 scenes with multiple objects assume that each object is drawn 133 with a single stroke [15,16]. While this assumption both reduces 134 the complexity and increases the success rate of the techniques, it 135 forces users to change their sketching style which affects usability 136 negatively. To address this issue, our system follows a fragment-137 and-combine approach similar to Alvarado and Davis [17]. 138

The most relevant pieces of work to ours are those that try 139 to exploit unlabeled examples [15,18,19]. All these systems as-140 sume a small seed set of labeled examples, and try to extend 141 the number of labeled instances by automatically labeling unla-142 beled examples with the user in the loop. Technically these meth-143 ods are active learning approaches, since they require user su-144 pervision. They starts with a low number of labeled instances, 145 and allow the labeling of the mis-recognized instances [15], or 146 ask for specific instances to be labeled [19] by the user. Unlike 147 these, we do not rely on the user for labeling. We start with very 148 few labeled instances and continue in a fully automated fashion. 149 This makes the problem more challenging, since no user inter-150 vention is possible in case of errors in automatic instance label-151 ing. Furthermore, these approaches mostly assume that the un-152 labeled data is already segmented, an assumption we explicitly 153 avoid. 154

Within the machine learning and computer vision literature, 155 there are plenty of approaches for zero shot learning, one shot 156 learning, and transfer learning [20]. These approaches rely on at-157 tributes that serve as reusable models of object properties. Mod-158 els for new objects are subsequently defined in terms of the pre-159 viously learned attributes [20,21]. Examples of work along these 160 lines in the sketch recognition community include the work of Al-161 varado and Davis [22,23]. They model subparts of domain ob-162 jects using distributions over features and reuse this information 163 to build generative graphical models. These approaches have been 164 disadvantaged by high computational requirements, and lower 165 recognition rates compared to the learning-based approaches that 166 came later (e.g., [3,12,24]). Furthermore, the inherently sparse, and 167 ambiguous nature of sketches renders the tuning process of these 168 generative models an art. 169

Please cite this article as: K.T. Yesilbek, T.M. Sezgin, Sketch recognition with few examples, Computers & Graphics (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2017.08.016

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6876904

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6876904

Daneshyari.com