JID: COMPNW

ARTICLE IN PRESS

[m3Gdc;March 12, 2016;10:5]

Computer Networks xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computer Networks



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/comnet

Review article

Q1

Per-user throughput analysis for secondary users in multi-hop cognitive radio networks

^{Q2} ₀₃ Jun Zheng^{a,b}, Peng Yang^a, Jingjing Luo^a, Qiuming Liu^a, Li Yu^{a,*}

^a School of Electronic Information and Communications, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China ^b School of Science, Zhejiang A & F University, Hangzhou 310013, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 June 2015 Revised 8 February 2016 Accepted 15 February 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Cognitive radio Multi-hop wireless networks Throughput bound

ABSTRACT

Cognitive radio networks (CRNs) allow secondary users (SUs) to opportunistically transmit over the channel to enhance the utilization of spectrum authorized to primary users (PUs). This paper investigates the throughput of SUs in ad hoc multi-hop CRNs. Specifically, we conduct a comprehensive analysis on per-user throughput for SUs, considering multiple factors that influence the SU transmissions, e.g., PU activity, spectrum sensing errors, media access for SUs and hop count. To model these factors, we develop an impairment process, which describes the service unused by SUs. For non-asymptotic analysis, numerical results reveal that the throughput decreases with the increase of average arrival rate of PU, sensing error probability, number of SUs and hop count. For asymptotic analysis, our theoretical results show that the throughput is dominantly impacted by the number of SUs, while independent with the hop count. Our study provides novel insights for the design of multi-hop cognitive radio networks.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1 1. Introduction

04

With the advent of cognitive radio technologies [1], the 2 underutilized spectrum [2] can be exploited by secondary 3 users without interfering with primary users. In CRNs, PUs 4 have priority to use the spectrum, and SUs are allowed to 5 transmit over the spectrum holes left unused by PUs. A 6 CRN usually consists of at least one PU and multiple SUs. 7 When a SU attempts to transmit packets to destination, a 8 multiple amount of intermediate SUs may act as relays for 9 the transmission. As a result, the transmission is accom-10 plished in a multi-hop manner. This multi-hop transmis-11 12 sion occurs typically in cognitive wireless networks, such as cognitive radio ad hoc networks [3] and cognitive wire-13 14 less mesh networks [4]. Since the SUs use the spectrum

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 13871235115.

E-mail addresses: junzheng@hust.edu.cn (J. Zheng), yangpeng@hust.edu.cn (P. Yang), luojingjing@hust.edu.cn (J. Luo), liuqiuming@hust.edu.cn (Q. Liu), hustlyu@hust.edu.cn (L. Yu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2016.02.015 1389-1286/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. opportunistically, and the multi-hop transmission of SUs is 15 influenced by several factors, it is crucial to provide QoS 16 guarantees for SUs. 17

In the literature, a variety of researches have been con-18 ducted on the analysis of QoS performance for single-hop 19 CRNs, such as delay [5–8] and throughput [5,8,9]. However, 20 it is still a big challenge to investigate the performance of 21 multi-hop CRNs, due to the fact that the multi-hop trans-22 mission of SUs is influenced by many factors, including 23 PU activity, spectrum sensing errors, media access and 24 hop count. Recently, studies on the end-to-end delay and 25 throughput of multihop CRNs have attracted growing inter-26 est [10-14]. In [10], a cross-layer MAC is designed to get a 27 near-optimal throughput. In [11], a hybrid MAC is proposed 28 to guarantee the throughput. In [12], an end-to-end delay 29 is derived considering the media access in an ad hoc CRNs. 30 In [13], optimal throughput and average end-to-end delay 31 is obtained under a scheduling policy for multi-hop CRNs. 32 In [14], a performance analysis model, concentrating on 33 PU and SU activities, is constructed. However, to the best 34

Please cite this article as: J. Zheng et al., Per-user throughput analysis for secondary users in multi-hop cognitive radio networks, Computer Networks (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2016.02.015

ARTICLE IN PRESS

105

130

of our knowledge, there is no prior work considering all the aforementioned factors in the performance analysis of multi-hop CRNs. These factors jointly affect the transmissions in multi-hop CRNs and make the multi-hop analysis non-trivial. In view of this, it is critically important to carry out a study providing a comprehensive investigation into the impact of these factors on performance.

In this paper, we aim at analyzing the per-user through-42 43 put of SUs, which is different from the throughput analysis in previous works. Since the per-user throughput cap-44 45 tures the stochastic nature of arrivals of SUs and service 46 provided to SUs. Moreover, the per-user throughput accounts for the impact on the transmission of SUs caused 47 48 by PU activity, spectrum sensing errors, media access and hop count. Furthermore, the network throughput can be 49 50 obtained by a summation of per-user throughput. It is no-51 table that we derive the upper and lower bounds rather 52 than average ones on the per-user throughput. This is due to the fact that the average throughput can only suggest 53 54 the steady-state network performance. In practical, how-55 ever, the packet forwarding is dynamic in multi-hop CRNs. In view of this, the upper and lower bounds can capture 56 the performance more properly. 57

58 We employ stochastic network calculus (SNC) to con-59 duct the throughput analysis. The SNC is a newly de-60 veloped theory for performance analysis, where arrival curve and service curve are defined to bound the cu-61 mulative arrivals and service [15]. Based on these two 62 curves, performance bounds, including backlog and delay 63 64 bounds [15] and throughput bound [16,17], can be ob-65 tained, which means that the performance metrics can 66 violate the bounds with a small probability. By employ-67 ing the SNC, an attempt has been devoted to the delay and throughput analysis of single-hop CRNs with imper-68 fect spectrum sensing in [18,19]. The most attractive fea-69 70 ture of SNC is that an end-to-end service of a multi-hop path with concatenated servers can be derived by the min-71 plus convolution of each server's service curve. It is well 72 known that the difficulty of multi-hop performance anal-73 74 ysis is mainly caused by the correlated transmissions of 75 neighboring links. The min-plus convolution can lead to a 76 clean solution for this problem.

77 In multi-hop CRNs, packets from a SU traverse a sequence of SUs, which act as relays, until the packets are 78 79 successfully received at the destination. Consequently, the per-user throughput can be viewed as the output rate of 80 the destination. The point of departure of our efforts is the 81 end-to-end service provisioned to the packet flow during 82 83 the multi-hop transmission. However, the transmission is 84 influenced by several factors, namely, PU activity, spectrum 85 sensing errors, media access and hop count. PU activity determines the transmission opportunities provided to 86 87 SUs. Spectrum sensing errors ruin the transmission for both PU and SUs. Media access scheme allocates transmis-88 89 sion opportunities among SUs. Hop count represents the distance between source and destination. These factors 90 influence the transmission in different ways, therefore, 91 it is crucial to construct a model considering all these 92 93 factors that jointly influence the transmission. From the perspective of SUs, various impact on the transmission 94 ultimately results in a certain amount of service which 95

cannot be utilized by SUs. Consequently, we introduce 96 an impairment process to model the wasted service for a 97 single-hop transmission, which accounts for all the factors 98 as a whole. Based on the impairment process, service 99 provided to SUs in each hop can be constructed, by which 100 we then derive the end-to-end service using the min-plus 101 convolution. Combined with the arrival process of packet 102 flow, we can deduce the departure process using SNC. 103 Finally, the per-user throughput bounds are obtained. 104

The main contributions are as follows:

- We present a per-user throughput analysis for SUs by considering several factors that influence the multi-hop transmission of SUs, including PU activity, spectrum sensing errors, media access and hop count.
- By introducing the impairment process to model the 110 factors that influence the transmission, we derive 111 the non-asymptotic upper and lower bounds of the 112 throughput, which reveals that the throughput de-113 creases with the increase of average arrival rate of PU, 114 sensing error probability, number of SUs and hop count. 115 Moreover, the asymptotic throughput bounds are ob-116 tained, which shows that the throughput is dominantly 117 influenced by the number of SUs, while independent 118 with the hop count. 119

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In 120 Section 2, we describe the multi-hop CRNs in details. In 121 Section 3, a mathematical preliminary is presented. In 122 Section 4, we first proclaim the arrival process for SUs, 123 and then introduce the impairment process, after which 124 the service process for SUs is obtained. In Section 5, we 125 derive the upper bound and lower bound of the per-user 126 throughput for SUs. In Section 6, a discussion is made on 127 the numerical results. Lastly, we draw the conclusion in 128 Section 7. 129

2. Network model

We consider an overlay CRN consisted of a primary net-131 work and a secondary network, as depicted in Fig. 1. The 132 primary network provides a channel licensed to the pri-133 mary source-destination pair. There are multiple SUs uni-134 formly distributed in the secondary network. In order not 135 to interfere with PU's transmission, the overlay CRN allows 136 SUs to utilize the spectrum when PU is idle. The multi-hop 137 CRN is assumed to be time slotted with slot size fixed to 138 the duration of a packet transmission, and packet size is 139 assumed to be identical for both PU and SUs. Due to the 140 inherently hierarchical nature, SUs can only transmit over 141 the channel when PU is idle. On the other hand, if pack-142 ets from PU arrive within a time slot which is occupied by 143 SUs, the packets will be transmitted in the next time slot. 144

For the primary network, PU transmits over the channel 145 whenever packets arrive at the queue due to its priority 146 to the channel, and only when PU is idle can SUs trans-147 mit. Therefore, the channel occupancy by PU determines 148 transmission opportunities for SUs. To describe the channel 149 occupancy, we assume that packet arrivals of PU follow a 150 Poisson process, which is characterized by average arrival 151 rate. Consequently, during the period of inter-arrivals of 152

Please cite this article as: J. Zheng et al., Per-user throughput analysis for secondary users in multi-hop cognitive radio networks, Computer Networks (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2016.02.015

2

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6882927

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6882927

Daneshyari.com