ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Process Control

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jprocont



Review

Robustness analysis, prediction, and estimation for uncertain biochemical networks: An overview



Stefan Streif^{a,*}, Kwang-Ki K. Kim^d, Philipp Rumschinski^c, Masako Kishida^c, Dongying Erin Shen^b, Rolf Findeisen^c, Richard D. Braatz^b

- ^a Technische Universität Chemnitz, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany
- ^b Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ^c Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany
- ^d Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30308, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 October 2014 Received in revised form 14 March 2016 Accepted 18 March 2016 Available online 19 April 2016

Keywords:
Biochemical reaction network
Complex dynamical system
Estimation
Robustness
Systems and control theory

ABSTRACT

Mathematical models of biochemical reaction networks are important tools in systems biology and systems medicine, e.g., to analyze disease causes or to make predictions for the development of effective treatments. Models are also used in synthetic biology for the design of circuits that perform specialized tasks. Prediction, analysis and design require plausible and reliable models, that is, models must reflect the properties of interest of the considered biochemical networks. One remarkable property of biochemical networks is robust functioning over a wide range of perturbations and environmental conditions. The intrinsic robustness of a network should be reflected into its associated mathematical model. The description and analysis of robustness in biochemical reaction networks are challenging, however, because accounting explicitly for the various types of structural, parametric and data uncertainty in the description of the models is not straightforward. Furthermore, system properties are typically inherently uncertain and often only given by qualitative or verbal descriptions that impede a straightforward and comprehensive mathematical analysis. In the first part of this overview article, network functions and behaviors of interest are formally defined, and different classes of uncertainties and perturbations are consistently described. The second part reviews frequently used mathematical formulations and presents the authors' recent developments for robustness analysis, estimation, and model-based prediction. One biochemical network model is used to illustrate the capabilities of various methods to deal with the different types of uncertainties and robustness requirements.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introduction		
		Challenge 1: Specification of uncertainties and system behaviors	
		Challenge 2: Robustness analysis, estimation, and prediction methods	
		Outline of this article	
	Bioch	emical network models	. 17
	2.1.	Conservation relations	. 18
	2.2.	Discrete-time approximations	. 18
	2.3.	Linearization.	.19

[☆] A preliminary version of this article was presented at the Computer Applications in Biotechnology and 10th International Symposium on Dynamics and Control of Process Systems, Mumbai, India, December 16–20, 2013, see [120].

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: stefan.streif@etit.tu-chemnitz.de (S. Streif), kwangki.kim@ece.gatech.edu (K.-K.K. Kim), philipp.rumschinski@ovgu.de (P. Rumschinski), masako.kishida@ovgu.de (M. Kishida), dongying@mit.edu (D.E. Shen), rolf.findeisen@ovgu.de (R. Findeisen), braatz@mit.edu (R.D. Braatz).

3.	Uncertainty descriptions and robustness specifications			
	3.1.	Model structure uncertainties and model hypotheses	. 20	
	3.2.	Set-based uncertainties	.20	
		3.2.1. Interval uncertainties	20	
		3.2.2. General set-based uncertainties	20	
		3.2.3. Parameter or data relations		
	3.3.	Probabilistic uncertainties	. 20	
	3.4.	Qualitative information on system behavior		
		3.4.1. Steady-state location		
		3.4.2. Stability, instability, and oscillation		
		3.4.3. Adaptation and inverse response		
		3.4.4. Conditional and temporal observations		
	3.5.	Problem statements for robustness analysis.		
4.		rsis of local perturbations and network structures		
٦.	4.1.	Sensitivity analysis		
	1.1.	4.1.1. Local sensitivity analysis and metabolic control analysis		
		4.1.2. Global sensitivity analysis and metabolic control analysis	23	
	4.2.	Bifurcation analysis	.23	
	4.2.	Kinetic perturbations		
	4.4.	Qualitative behavior and its dependence on network structure and feedback loops	.23	
	4.4.	4.4.1. Structural robustness		
		4.4.2. Monotone systems		
5.	Sat b	ased uncertainties and analysisased uncertainties and analysis		
٦.	5.1.	Interval analysis.		
	5.1.	5.1.1. Stability analysis using interval matrices		
	5.2.			
	5.2.	Linear and semidefinite relaxations		
		5.2.2. Uncertainty propagation and parameter estimation		
	- 2	5.2.3. Stability and instability		
	5.3.	Mixed-integer linear relaxations.		
		5.3.1. Inner approximations	. 26	
	- 4	5.3.2. Including qualitative information in robustness analysis		
	5.4.	Methods for continuous-time dynamical systems		
	5.5.	Approaches using skewed structured singular values		
		5.5.1. Bounds on uncertainty propagation		
		5.5.2. Inner approximation		
6.		abilistic uncertainties and analysis		
	6.1.	Approximate analysis using the Fokker-Planck equation		
		6.1.1. Stochastic stability analysis		
		6.1.2. Sensitivity analysis		
	6.2.	Polynomial chaos theory		
		6.2.1. Propagation of probabilistic uncertainty		
		6.2.2. Steady-state probability distributions		
		6.2.3. Stochastic stability		
_		6.2.4. Scalable uncertainty quantification		
7.		ssion and conclusions		
		owledgements		
	Refer	ences	. 31	

1. Introduction

Biochemical reaction networks form the structural basis of most cellular processes such as metabolism, signal transduction, and gene expression. In these networks, many species dynamically interact and are transformed by biochemical reactions to perform and maintain biological functions. Intertwined and possibly redundant feedback and feedforward mechanisms give rise to complex dynamical behaviors while their lack or improper functioning can result in dysfunctions or diseases. To minimize such risks, biological networks must perform their tasks reliably under various changes of the cellular environment and conditions [19,58,74,80]. This property is generally called *robustness* and refers to the persistence of a behavior or the insensitivity of function characteristics in the presence of (external or internal) perturbations [130]. Typical examples of biological behaviors that are robust to environmental changes are oscillations or multistability in the cell cycle, in apoptosis, or in adaptation [121,2]. The readers are

referred to [1] for other examples and mechanisms of cellular regulation.

Robust functioning is of particular interest in synthetic biology or metabolic engineering. One core task in synthetic biology is the design of motifs or building blocks that perform a function robustly when connected into larger networks and under various perturbations of the cellular environment [99]. Function characteristics of interest include certain types of dynamic behavior such as the time derivation of inputs as required for adaptation to constant stimuli, logical combinations of different inputs, oscillatory behavior or multistability [113]. Note that these behaviors are described in a verbal and qualitative manner.

Besides the *robustness of qualitative behaviors* or function characteristics, *quantitative predictions* of system responses have become increasingly important, especially in therapy design and synthetic biology [145]. Often mathematical models are developed and employed to analyze and quantitatively predict, estimate, and control the response of the considered systems with respect to applied

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/688654

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/688654

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>