



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Computers and Mathematics with Applications

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa)Some preconditioners for elliptic PDE-constrained optimization problems<sup>☆</sup>Yi-Fen Ke<sup>a,b</sup>, Chang-Feng Ma<sup>b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Computational Geodynamics of Chinese Academy of Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China<sup>b</sup> College of Mathematics and Informatics & FJKLMAA, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 28 November 2017

Received in revised form 30 December 2017

Accepted 4 January 2018

Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

PDE-constrained optimization

Saddle point matrix

Preconditioner

Eigenvalue

Eigenvector

## ABSTRACT

For the structured systems of linear equations arising from the Galerkin finite element discretizations of elliptic PDE-constrained optimization problems, some preconditioners are proposed to accelerate the convergence rate of Krylov subspace methods such as GMRES for both cases of the Tikhonov parameter  $\beta$  not very small (equal or greater than  $1e-6$ ) and sufficiently small (less than  $1e-6$ ), respectively. We derive the explicit expressions for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the corresponding preconditioned matrices. Numerical results show that the corresponding preconditioned GMRES methods perform and match well with the theoretical results.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the following linear elliptic distributed optimal control problems:

$$\min_{u,f} \frac{1}{2} \|u - u_*\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } -\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_2, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a simply connected polygonal domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with a connected boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\partial\Omega_1$  and  $\partial\Omega_2$  are two parts of  $\partial\Omega$  satisfying  $\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial\Omega_2 = \partial\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_2 = \emptyset$ . Such problems, introduced by Lions in [1], consist of a cost function to be minimized subject to a partial differential equation (PDE) problem posed on the domain  $\Omega$ . Here, the function  $u_*$  is the desired state,  $\beta$  is the Tikhonov regularization parameter,  $u$  is the state variable, and  $f$  is called the control variable.

Recently, based on discretize-then-optimize approach, Rees et al. [2] transformed the PDE-constrained optimization problem (1.1)–(1.3) into a linear system. More concretely, by using the Galerkin finite element method to the weak

<sup>☆</sup> The work is supported by National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents (No. BX201700234), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2017M620878), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11071041) and Fujian Natural Science Foundation (No. 2016j01005).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [macf@fjnu.edu.cn](mailto:macf@fjnu.edu.cn) (C.-F. Ma).

formulation of (1.2) and (1.3), we obtain the following corresponding minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f}} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^T M \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{b} + \|\mathbf{u}_*\|_2^2 + \beta \mathbf{f}^T M \mathbf{f}, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & K \mathbf{u} = M \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{d}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is the mass matrix,  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is the stiffness matrix (the discrete Laplacian),  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  contains the terms coming from the boundary values of the discrete solution, and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the Galerkin projection of the discrete state  $u_*$ . Then, by applying the Lagrange multiplier technique to the minimization problem (1.4), we can obtain the following linear system

$$\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta M & 0 & -M \\ 0 & M & K^T \\ -M & K & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{u} \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{g}, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is a vector of Lagrange multipliers; see [3].

There have existed several preconditioners for the linear system (1.5). For example, in [2] the MINRES method coupled with the block-diagonal preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_D = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & KM^{-1}K^T \end{pmatrix}$$

and the projected preconditioned conjugate gradient (PPCG) method incorporated with the constraint preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -M \\ 0 & 2\beta K^T M^{-1} K M & K^T \\ -M & K & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

in [4], the GMRES methods coupled with the block-counter-diagonal preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_{BCD} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -M \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ -M & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the block-counter-tridiagonal preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_{BCT} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -M \\ 0 & M & K^T \\ -M & K & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

in [5], the GMRES methods coupled with the block-symmetric preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_{BS} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta M & 0 & -M \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ -M & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the block-lower-triangular preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_{BLT} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ -M & K & -\frac{1}{2\beta} M \end{pmatrix};$$

in [6], Rees and Stoll proposed the following ‘ideal’ preconditioner

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{ideal}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ -M & K & KM^{-1}K^T \end{pmatrix};$$

in [7], Pearson and Wathen presented Schur complement approximation with block diagonal and block triangular preconditioners for PDE constrained optimization as follows

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta \tilde{M} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{M} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{S} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{P}}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\gamma \beta \tilde{M} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \tilde{M} & 0 \\ -M & K & \tilde{S} \end{pmatrix},$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6891974>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6891974>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)