



Ordered mesoporous carbon immobilized nano zero-valent iron in bromate removal from aqueous solution



Chun-hua Xu^{a,b,*}, Sheng Lin^a, Xiao-hong Wang^a, Ya-ming Chen^a, Liu-jia Zhu^a, Zhen-hua Wang^c

^a School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shandong Key Laboratory of Water Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China

^b Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control State Key Joint Laboratory, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

^c Shandong Academy of Sciences, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 March 2014

Received in revised form 16 July 2014

Accepted 18 August 2014

Available online 11 September 2014

Keywords:

Bromate

Ordered mesoporous carbon

Zero-valent iron

Removal efficiency

Kinetics

ABSTRACT

The present work aims to investigate bromate removal from water with the application of a material nano Fe⁰/OMC (ordered mesoporous carbon) composite, under various experimental conditions. The effect of temperature, react time, bromate concentration, etc. on bromate removal efficiency was studied. Removal efficiency of bromate by nano Fe⁰/OMC achieved 96.1% with a contact time of 10 min at 298 K. Reduction action dominates the whole process with a little adsorption accompanied, and approximately 90% bromate was restored to bromide. Kinetics models were used to correlate the kinetics experimental data and the kinetics parameters reveal that the kinetics data were well fitted to pseudo-second-order model. The maximum bromate uptake at 298 K was found to be 25.9 mg/g at the initial bromate concentration 10 mg/L, but it changed little with a decrease in temperature. Equilibrium isotherms were analyzed by the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm models. The data fit favorable to the Langmuir isotherms with high-correlation coefficients (0.941–0.998). The present work shows that the nano Fe⁰/OMC composite would be a promising material in the study of drinking water purification.

© 2014 Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bromate ion (BrO₃⁻) has been classified as a Group 2B substance by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and it is frequently formed after ozonation of water containing bromide ion (Br⁻) [1–3]. Bromate is a possible carcinogen and a big threat to human health. An effective technique to remove bromate or prevent the formation from drinking water is urgent [4].

Many researchers have used the biological, photocatalytic/ photoelectrocatalytic or electrochemical techniques to remove bromate [5–7]; and also catalytic hydrogenation, reported recently, is applied in the use of bromate removal [8]. However, zero-valent iron (Fe⁰) is a promising material for the purification of

bromate containing water [9–11]. Nano zero-valent iron has significant reductivity for various pollutants, e.g. chlorinated methanes, decabrominated diphenyl ether, nitroaromatics and heavy metal ions [12–15]. The main advantages of using the iron particles are the mild reaction conditions; and the rapid reaction rate with water contaminants such as bromate, particularly when compared to the conventional physical or chemical methods [16–18]. Although zero-valent iron is widely applied in contaminant remediation, it still has some drawbacks in its utilization. In an aqueous environment, most Fe⁰ particles tend to agglomerate due to inter-particle magnetic and Van der Waals interactions. Agglomeration increases the effective particle size, resulting in precipitation [19,20]. Therefore, the major problems of Fe⁰ are aggregation and fast oxidation with air. To overcome the two technical challenges, offering a support for Fe⁰ particles in/on one certain material might be a viable option. Activated carbon colloids, SBA-15 rods, polyethylene glycol (PEG)/nylon membranes and ordered mesoporous carbon have been used as supports to stabilize Fe⁰ particles [21–24].

* Corresponding author at: School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China.

Tel.: +86 531 88362170; fax: +86 531 88364513.

E-mail address: xuchunhua@sdu.edu.cn (C.-h. Xu).

Researchers chose the porous carbon materials as supports for some nano-metals because they have unique physical characterization and have been used in many fields [25]. Porous carbon can immobilize nano-metal particles to keep them in certain shape. Also, the composite materials may have excellent adsorption capacity because of the large specific area of porous carbon materials. Mackenzie et al. used activated carbon colloids (ACC) and iron salts to synthesize Carbo-Iron[®] colloid (CIC) and investigated its suitability as a dehalogenation reagent [21]. However, the pore size of activated carbon materials is small and the porous structures may collapse in certain condition, which restrict the application of the materials [26]. Ordered mesoporous carbon can comparatively cover the shortage of AC materials, such as highly ordered porous structure, high specific surface area, controllable pore size, etc. [27,28]. Consequently, OMC has shown an excellent application potential in supporting nano-metals. Ling et al. have used Fe⁰/OMC to uptake nitrobenzene [24].

In our previous papers, we reported BrO₃⁻ uptake from aqueous solution on OMC [29] and nano Fe⁰/AC. Considering of the merits of OMC materials, we immobilize nano Fe⁰ onto OMC to synthesize a composite material and use the material in the application of bromate ion removal. Batch experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of various parameters (e.g. temperature, time and initial bromate concentration, etc.) on the removal efficiency of BrO₃⁻. Additionally, the particle structure, morphology, and surface area were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and chemicals

The chemical reagents used, such as GR FeCl₂·4H₂O, C₂H₆O, NaBrO₃, NaBr, NaCl, Na₂CO₃, NaOH and HCl, were obtained from Kermel Chemical Reagent. KBH₄ was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent. Ordered mesoporous carbon (OMC) was synthesized in our laboratory [29]. All chemical reagents were used directly without any pre-treatment. Ultrapure water used for preparing chemical solutions was produced from an ultra-purification system (UPW-I-90T, Chengdu Ultrapure Technology, China).

2.2. Preparation and characterization

The Fe⁰/OMC was synthesized using the impregnation method by excess of solution. In a typical procedure, 1.279 g of FeCl₂·4H₂O was mixed with 1.799 g OMC (Fe/C mass ratio 1/5) in 90 mL 80% ethanol solution for 30 min to obtain a slurry. Then 0.3 g PEG-4000 was slowly added into the slurry as dispersant and the pH value was regulated to 6.0 with 0.1 mol/L NaOH solution. Next, 0.5 mol/L KBH₄ solution (1.735 g KBH₄ dissolved in 64 mL deionized water) was added drop wise (1 drop/s) into the above mixture while stirring vigorously and the solution was stirred for another 30 min after addition of all KBH₄. The resulting black solid was collected by magnetic separation and washed with deoxygenated water and deoxygenated absolute ethanol consecutively. Finally, nano Fe⁰/OMC slurry was dried (approximately 100 °C) using an electric furnace (DK-98-II, Tianjin Taisite Instrument, China) and stored in a N₂-purged chamber before use.

Characterization of solid-phase Fe⁰/OMC was carried out using XRD, BET surface area and porosity analysis.

The XRD patterns were conducted with Cu K α radiation using a Rigaku D/MAX-rA wide-angle goniometer, continuous scans from 10° to 70° and a scanning speed of 8.0°/min.

N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured with the BET and porosimetry analyzer (JW-BK 122W, Beijing, China) at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K). The pore size distribution stem

from the nitrogen sorption branch was processed with the BJH (Barrett–Joyner–Halenda) method.

2.3. Batch experiments for bromate removal

Dissolve 1.18 g NaBrO₃ in 1000 mL ultrapure water to obtain the stock solution of bromate and dilute the stock solution to the desired concentrations during the experiments. Batch adsorption studies were conducted in 250-mL capped conical flasks (Tianjin) containing 100 mL bromate solutions. All the experiments were conducted on a digital freeze water-bath thermostatic rotary shaker (SHA-2(A), Jinnan Instrument Manufacturing, China). Samples were collected and filtrated before to detect the concentrations of BrO₃⁻ and Br⁻. We use 0.1 mol/L NaOH and HCl solutions to attain the desired pH value with a PHS-3C pH meter (Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument, China).

All bromine concentrations were measured using a single-column ion chromatograph, and the details were reported in our previous paper [30]. The total iron levels were measured using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (TAS-990, Beijing Purkinje General Instrument, China).

To investigate the effect of reaction temperature and initial bromate concentration on the removal efficiency of bromate, we conducted two sets of experiments at different time intervals (1, 5, 10, 15, 30, 60 and 120 min). In one set, 1000 μ g/L BrO₃⁻ solutions were treated with 0.35 g/L nano Fe⁰/OMC at different temperatures (288, 298 and 308 K). (Note: the dose of nano Fe⁰/OMC 0.35 g/L was based on the effect of dosage on the bromate removal (see Supplemental data, Fig. S1).) In the other set, different initial concentrations of BrO₃⁻ (1.0, 3.0, 6.0 and 10.0 mg/L) were mixed with same concentration of nano Fe⁰/OMC at 298 K. All the experiments were done in triplicate.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of nano Fe⁰/OMC composite

The wide-angle XRD patterns of the three different samples were shown in Fig. 1. For the pure Fe⁰ and Fe⁰/OMC samples, there was an obvious peak at 45°, then follows a weak diffraction peak at 65°. This is consistent with the results of a previous report by Nurmi et al. [31]. The peak at 45° of nano Fe⁰/OMC is weaker and broader than that of pure Fe⁰. The phenomenon of peak broadening at 45° may be due to the smaller grain size of iron [32] or the lesser percentage of iron on OMC than pure Fe⁰.

N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms and pore size distribution plots obtained for the nano Fe⁰/OMC and OMC composite are shown in Fig. 2. The sample exhibits a type IV isotherm with H3-type hysteresis loop based on the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) classification [33]. The type IV isotherm correlates with the capillary condensation, and the H3-type hysteresis loop at a P/P_0 range of 0.2–0.8 indicates an interconnected porous system and percolating pore connectivity [34]. The pore size distribution (Fig. 2(a)) shows that the pores of all the nanoparticles are below 50 nm. The data show a narrow pore size distribution and a most probable diameter of 2.65 nm for nano Fe⁰/OMC. The slight decrease in the porosity of nano Fe⁰/OMC material may indicate the blocking of some pores in OMC by iron particles [24].

Pristine Fe⁰/OMC particles were found to have a higher specific surface area of 118 m²/g than pure Fe⁰ (Table 1). In comparison with OMC, the BET area of Fe⁰/OMC is smaller. This decrease may be associated with the inlay of iron particles on the OMC surface and the block of pores due to the iron [24]. For Fe⁰ and OMC particles, values of the surface areas were found to be 35 m²/g and

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/691152>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/691152>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)