

Accepted Manuscript

Refined isogeometric analysis for a preconditioned conjugate gradient solver

Daniel Garcia, David Pardo, Lisandro Dalcin, Victor M. Calo

PII: S0045-7825(18)30077-X
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2018.02.006>
Reference: CMA 11781

To appear in: *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*

Received date: 27 July 2017
Revised date: 2 February 2018
Accepted date: 4 February 2018

Please cite this article as: D. Garcia, D. Pardo, L. Dalcin, V.M. Calo, Refined isogeometric analysis for a preconditioned conjugate gradient solver, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2018.02.006>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Refined Isogeometric Analysis for a Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient Solver

Daniel Garcia^{a,*}, David Pardo^{b,a,c}, Lisandro Dalcin^{d,e,f}, Victor M. Calo^{g,h,i}

^aBasque Center for Applied Mathematics, (BCAM), Bilbao, Spain.

^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Statistics, and Operational Research, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Leioa, Spain

^cIkerbasque (Basque Foundation for Sciences), Bilbao, Spain.

^dCenter for Numerical Porous Media, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.

^eConsejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Santa Fe, Argentina.

^fUniversidad Nacional del Litoral, Santa Fe, Argentina.

^gDepartment of Applied Geology, Western Australian School of Mines, Curtin University, Perth, Australia.

^hMineral Resources, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Perth, Australia.

ⁱCurtin Institute for Computation, Curtin University, Perth, Australia.

Abstract

Starting from a highly continuous Isogeometric Analysis (IGA) discretization, refined Isogeometric Analysis (rIGA) introduces C^0 hyperplanes that act as separators for the direct LU factorization solver. As a result, the total computational cost required to solve the corresponding system of equations using a direct LU factorization solver dramatically reduces (up to a factor of 55) [1]. At the same time, rIGA enriches the IGA spaces, thus improving the best approximation error. In this work, we extend the complexity analysis of rIGA to the case of iterative solvers. We build an iterative solver as follows: we first construct the Schur complements using a direct solver over small subdomains (macro-elements). We then assemble those Schur complements into a global skeleton system. Subsequently, we solve this system iteratively using Conjugate Gradients (CG) with an incomplete LU (ILU) preconditioner. For a 2D Poisson model problem with a structured mesh and a uniform polynomial degree of approximation, rIGA achieves moderate savings with respect to IGA in terms of the number of Floating Point Operations (FLOPs) and computational time (in seconds) required to solve the resulting system of linear equations. For instance, for a mesh with four million elements and polynomial degree $p = 3$, the iterative solver is approximately 2.6 times faster (in time) when applied to the rIGA system than to the IGA one. These savings occur because the skeleton rIGA system contains fewer non-zero entries than the IGA one. The opposite situation occurs for 3D problems, and as a result, 3D rIGA discretizations provide no gains with respect to their IGA counterparts when considering iterative solvers.

Keywords: Isogeometric Analysis (IGA), Finite Element Analysis (FEA), refined Isogeometric Analysis (rIGA), solver-based discretization, iterative solvers, Conjugate gradient, Incomplete LU factorization, k-refinement.

1. Introduction

Galerkin-based discretizations such as Finite Element Analysis (FEA) and Isogeometric Analysis (IGA) are commonly employed nowadays to solve numerical problems governed by partial differential equations (PDEs) [2–16]. These methods employ a variational formulation with trial and test functions defined as a linear combination of basis functions to build a discretization of the governing PDEs.

Isogeometric Analysis (IGA) defines the geometry using conventional Computed-Aided Design (CAD) functions and, in particular, non-uniform rational basis spline (NURBS) [2]. These functions represent complex geometries commonly found in engineering design and are capable of preserving exactly the geometry description under refinement as required in the analysis. Moreover, the use of NURBS as basis functions is compatible with the isoparametric

*Corresponding author

Email address: dgarcia@bcamath.org (Daniel Garcia)

URL: www.bcamath.org/dgarcia (Daniel Garcia)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6915515>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6915515>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)