

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computers and Geosciences



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cageo

Research paper

GPU acceleration of time gating based reverse time migration using the pseudospectral time-domain algorithm *



Jiangang Xie^a, Zichao Guo^b, Hai Liu^{b,**}, Qing Huo Liu^{c,*}

^a School of Information and Engineering, The Open University of Guangdong, Guangzhou, 510091, PR China

^b Institute of Electromagnetics and Acoustics, Department of Electronic Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, PR China

^c Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Reverse time migration (RTM) Acoustic waves Pseudospectral time domain (PSTD) method TG-RTM GPU CUDA Seismic imaging

ABSTRACT

We present a Graphics Processing Units (GPU) implementation of time gating based reverse time migration (TG-RTM) which uses the pseudospectral time-domain (PSTD) algorithm to solve the acoustic wave equation. TG-RTM adopts the prior information of surrounding media to strengthen the correlation between the wavefields, thus has advantages in locating the targets over traditional reverse time migration (RTM) methods. The PSTD algorithm adopts fast Fourier transform (FFT) to obtain the spatial derivatives and a perfectly matched layer as an absorbing boundary condition to eliminate the wraparound effect introduced by the FFT periodicity assumption. Under the Nyquist sampling theorem, the spatial sampling density of the PSTD algorithm requires only two points per minimum wavelength. Thus, the PSTD algorithm can solve the time dependent partial differential equations efficiently and save mass computer memory. Compared with traditional RTM based on the finite difference time domain (FDTD) algorithm, the proposed RTM based on the PSTD algorithm can be implemented on a memory-limited GPU and can solve much larger models. To secure a better performance and generality of FFT in GPU, we present a scheme which combines 1D FFT with matrix transpositions instead of using 3D FFT directly. The matrix transpositions use shared memory to improve memory access efficiency. We also apply an efficient FFT scheme which replaces even-sized R2C FFT with a half-sized C2C FFT. For a small amount and balanced memory swapping from computer to GPU, we save the boundaries in lieu of checkpointing scheme when we propagate the source wavefield forward and backward. The proposed RTM has an acceleration ratio of about 80 times by a Tesla K20X GPU card on a desktop computer. The simulation results of 2D and 3D models demonstrate that the proposed RTM is fast and inexpensive.

1. Introduction

Reverse time migration (RTM) (Hemon, 1978; Baysal et al., 1983; Whitmore, 1983; McMechan, 1983) has been considered to be one of the most accurate seismic pre-stack depth migration methods, especially for imaging geologically complex structures. A number of progresses in RTM have been made. Červenỳ presents RTM based on both first order wave equation and second order wave equation in anisotropic media (Červenỳ, 2001). Alkhalifah models P-wave propagation based on the P-wave dispersion relation in transversely isotropic (TI) media (Alkhalifah, 2000), and in vertical orthorhombic media (Alkhalifah, 2003). Qu et al. extend a attenuation term of viscoacoustic wave to VTI wave quasi-differential equations in order to consider both viscosity and anisotropy of media simultaneously (Qu et al., 2016).

RTM consumes vast memory and computation time. To solve this problem, Wu et al. verify the advantages of high order spatial finitedifference operators (Wu et al., 1996). Chen presents three kinds of high-order finite difference (FD) schemes in time (Chen, 2007). Oprsal and Zahradnik propose a rectangular grid with both laterally and vertically variable grid sizes (Opršal and Zahradnik, 1999). The memory and time cost can also be resolved through Fourier or pseudospectral methods (PSM), which calculate the spatial derivatives by the fast Fourier transform (FFT) with a smaller spatial sampling density but yield higher accuracy. Baysal introduces PSM in RTM firstly (Baysal et al., 1983). Fornberg has compared the PSM with the FD method, and indicates the obvious priority of PSM in efficiency and accuracy

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2018.05.006 Received 25 March 2016; Received in revised form 18 April 2018; Accepted 7 May 2018 Available online 09 May 2018

0098-3004/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Author Contributions: Jiangang Xie designed the study, wrote the code, collected the data, and wrote the initial draft of the paper. Zichao Guo proved some code and data for comparison. Hai Liu discussed the results and revised the manuscript. Qing Huo Liu contributed the central idea of study and revised the manuscript.

E-mail addresses: swordshadow@foxmail.com (J. Xie), gzc@xmu.edu.cn (Z. Guo), liuhai8619@xmu.edu.cn (H. Liu), ghliu@duke.edu (Q.H. Liu).

(Fornberg, 1987).

We propose to implement RTM on general purpose GPU by Compute Unified Device Architecture (CUDA). RTM contains lots of inherently data-parallel computing. As a result, it is suitable to run in GPU. In recent years, many researchers implement RTM on GPU. Clapp et al. study different hardware for RTM, and demonstrate the strengths of GPU (Clapp et al., 2010). Micikevicius et al. parallelize 3D two-way RTM on GPU using CUDA (Micikevicius, 2009). Liu et al. accelerate anisotropic RTM by GPU (Liu et al., 2009). Kim et al. apply GPU to speed up stable TTI P-wave RTM (Kim et al., 2013).

Video memory size often becomes a bottleneck of the usage of GPU. In contrast to the CPU cluster, which has hundreds or even thousands of gigabytes memory, GPU has only up to tens gigabytes video memory at present, and cannot solve a large-scale problem. RTM using the pseudospectral time-domain (PSTD) algorithm can reduce this limitation effectively. The PSTD algorithm has been proposed for electromagnetic waves (Liu, 1997, 1999), acoustic waves (Liu, 1998a; Liu and Tao, 1997), and elastic waves (Liu, 1998b). The PSTD algorithm combines PSM (Fornberg, 1987; Karazincir and Gerrard, 2007; Kim et al., 2013) with perfectly matched layer (PML) (Berenger, 1994; Liu and Tao, 1997). The PS method solves the spatial derivatives by FFT, thus achieving greater accuracy with lower spatial sampling density than the conventional FDTD method (Fornberg, 1987). Nevertheless, the wraparound effect arisen from FFT which always assumes to be periodic limits the application of PS method (Cerjan et al., 1985; Liu, 1998a). PML is a ideal way to remove this wraparound effect. The PML can not only work as the absorbing boundary condition (ABC), but also eliminate the periodicity limitation caused by FFT (i.e., wraparound effect), because the PML absorbs passing waves and eliminates reflection at the boundaries of the computation area (Liu, 1998a; Xie et al., 2016). On the basis of the Nyquist sampling theorem, the spatial sample density of the PSTD method requires only two points per minimum wavelength, which is only a half of the eighth-order FDTD method sustaining the same accuracy, and thus it can save lots of video memory and solve bigger problems on a memory-limited GPU (Xie et al., 2016).

The throughput of memory swapping is another bottleneck of GPU. GPU's capability is greatly influenced by large and frequent memory swapping between the GPU and the host computer, because the IO bandwidth is limited in the PCIe bus. (Araya-Polo et al., 2010). Dussaud and Clapp advise to save only servel layers of the source wavefield close to the boundaries, and then loading them in the reverse propagation (Dussaud et al., 2008; Clapp). The boundary saving method can effectively reduce the amount of memory transmission to an extremely low level and save a mass of storage space. We implemented the technique in our RTM in lieu of checkpointing scheme when we propagate the source wavefield.

The cross-correlation imaging condition multiplies the source wavefield and the receiver wavefield at each time step (Claerbout, 1971). However, for complex geological structures, the cross-correlation imaging condition will produce low frequency artifacts (Kaelin and Guitton, 2006; Chattopadhyay and McMechan, 2008). Lots of work have been done to improve the image quality. Claerbout proposes crosscorrelation normalized by the square of the source illumination strength to suppress the artifact close to the source (Claerbout, 1971). Kaelin et al. propose cross-correlation normalized by the square of receiver illumination strength to suppress the artifacts above the strongest reflector and to enhance the deeper reflectors (Kaelin and Guitton, 2006). Yoon et al. develop an angle-domain imaging condition using the Poynting vector to calculate the wave-propagation directions (Yoon and Marfurt, 2006). Yang et al. introduce a time gating function to weight the result of RTM and suppress the artifact in radar imaging (Yang et al., 2015). The time gating based RTM (TG-RTM) uses the prior information of surrounding media to estimate the occurring moment of dominating reflection, and thus has advantages in locating reflectors. In this work, we implement the TG-RTM.

2. The PSTD method for acoustic waves

The acoustic equation of motion and mass conservation equation in a linear, inhomogeneous medium are:

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\nabla p,\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\rho c^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + f_s(\mathbf{r}, t), \tag{2}$$

where ρ is the density, *c* is the sound speed, *p* is the pressure field, **v** is the particle velocity field, $f_s(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the volume source density of pressure injection rate (Pa/s).

For the PSTD method, FFT is adopted to calculate the spatial derivatives. The spatial derivatives operator D_{η} is placed by pseudospectral derivative operator $D_{\eta,PS}$. The operator $D_{\eta,PS}$ on a function $f(\eta)$ for $\eta = x, y, z$ is defined as:

$$D_{\eta,PS}[f(\eta)] = \mathcal{F}_{\eta}^{-1}\{ik_{\eta}\mathcal{F}_{\eta}[f(\eta)]\}$$
(3)

where \mathcal{F}_{η} is the forward FFT, \mathcal{F}_{η}^{-1} is the inverse FFT, and k_{η} is the spatial wave number.

Because the Fourier transform has an infinite order of accuracy for band-limited functions, and because for practical considerations most seismic imaging problem can be approximately treated as band-limited cases, the PSTD algorithm requires only two spatial sampling points per minimum wavelength at the highest frequency (PPW), which is much smaller than the conventional FDTD method (Liu, 1998a). Sustaining the same accuracy, for the first partial derivative wave equations, the eighth-order FDTD needs 3.95 to 4.53 PPW (Wu et al., 1996). Theoretically, the PSTD algorithm needs only a half PPW in each dimension. Thus, in a 3D model, the occupied memory of PSTD is only about 1/8 of that of eighth-order FDTD method (Xie et al., 2016). Hence, RTM using PSTD is especially suitable for a memory-limited GPU.

In our work, we adopt the PML presented by Liu and Tao (Liu and Tao, 1997; Xie et al., 2016) as ABC, which needs less calculation, fewer auxiliary parameters, and simpler implementation than other PML.

3. Implementation of RTM

When imaging by the cross-correlation imaging condition (Claerbout, 1971; Kaelin and Guitton, 2006; Chattopadhyay and McMechan, 2008), the source wavefield S(x, y, z, t) and the receiver wavefield R(x, y, z, t) are multiplied up at each time steps. The source wavefield propagates forward in time from the source location, and the receiver wavefield propagates backward in time from the receiver locations. For a single common-shot gather, the zero-lag cross-correlation imaging condition (Chattopadhyay and McMechan, 2008) is expressed

$$I_{RTM}(x, y, z) = \sum_{t} S(x, y, z, t) R(x, y, z, t),$$
(4)

where x, y, z is the coordinates in space, $I_{RTM}(x, y, z)$ is the image result, and t is time. The image unit is amplitude squared (Chattopadhyay and McMechan, 2008).

TG-RTM (Yang et al., 2015) takes advantage of the surrounding media information to estimate the occurring moment of dominating reflection. A time gating function is applied to the wavefield, and it can enhance the correlation of the two wavefields in time domain.

For a point at (x, y, z), two time thresholds $t_{m1}(x, y, z)$ and $t_{m2}(x, y, z)$ are defined as

$$t_{m1}(x, y, z) = \frac{d(x, y, z)}{v_{max}},$$
(5)

and

$$t_{m2}(x, y, z) = min\left(tcoeff \times \left(t_w + \frac{d(x, y, z)}{v_{min}}\right), t_{max}\right),\tag{6}$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6922101

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6922101

Daneshyari.com