



## Eggshells: A novel bio-filler for intumescent flame-retardant coatings



M.C. Yew<sup>a,\*</sup>, N.H. Ramli Sulong<sup>a</sup>, M.K. Yew<sup>a</sup>, M.A. Amalina<sup>b</sup>, M.R. Johan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Malaya, Lembah Pantai, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

<sup>b</sup> Centre of Advanced Materials, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Malaya, Lembah Pantai, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 26 December 2013

Received in revised form

18 November 2014

Accepted 4 January 2015

Available online 23 January 2015

#### Keywords:

Acrylic resin

Chicken eggshell

Bio-filler

Eco-friendly

Intumescent coating

Flame-retardant

### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to develop intumescent flame-retardant coatings that incorporate chicken eggshell (CES) waste as a novel eco-friendly bio-filler. Three flame-retardant additives, namely, ammonium polyphosphate phase II, pentaerythritol and melamine were mixed with flame-retardant fillers and acrylic binder to synthesize the intumescent coatings. The fire performance of the coatings was evaluated in accordance with 'BS 476: Part 6-Fire Propagation' and 'BS 476: Part 7-Surface Spread of Flame' test standards. It was found that 4 out of 5 of the coated specimens (B, C, D and E) neither showed surface spread of flame nor any afterglow combustion upon fire exposure. The addition of 5.0 wt% and 2.5 wt% eggshell bio-filler into formulations B and E, respectively, improved fire protection due to char formation, with better morphology, height and structure of the protecting shield. The filler compositions of samples D (3.4 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>/3.3 wt% Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/3.3 wt% Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>) and E (2.5 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>/2.5 wt% Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/2.5 wt% Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>/2.5 wt% CES) applied at a thickness of 1.5 ± 0.2 mm achieved the lowest fire propagation index with a value of 4.5 and 5.0, respectively (BS 476 Part 6, Class 0 materials) which indicates excellent fire-stopping properties. The results showed that the coatings were effective in fire protection, with good qualities of water resistance, thermal stability, and adhesion strength. Significantly, coating E (with CES) has proved to be efficient in the protection of plywood against fire.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Intumescent flame-retardant coatings have been widely used for passive fire protection (PFP) in buildings, offshore constructions, ships and aircraft. Intumescent coatings are fire-retardant and fire-resistant materials which have gained wide acceptance for fire protection throughout the world. These coatings are used for PFP in fire-stopping and fire-proofing applications, in order to reduce the devastating cost of fires in terms of life hazard and property damage [1].

Structural steel loses its load carrying capacity in a fire when its temperature exceeds 500 °C. The loss is mainly attributed to its high thermal conductivity, low specific heat, as well as rapid degradation of strength and elastic modulus of steel [2]. Studies on intumescent coatings have flourished since the collapse of the World Trade Center in 2001 [3]. Intumescent coatings are designed to perform under severe conditions and to preserve the integrity of steel in the event of a fire [4–6]. Many researchers have extensively

studied the performance of intumescent coatings in terms of fire protection, mechanical properties and water resistance [7–17]. The formulation of the coating needs to be optimized with regards to its physical and chemical properties in order to effectively retard heat transfer and ignition on steel. Intumescent coatings play a significant role to insulate structural steel by reducing heat transfer and thus prolong the evacuation time for occupants before the critical temperature of steel is reached.

The intumescent coatings are composed of three halogen-free flame-retardant additives: an acid source (e.g. phase II ammonium polyphosphate, APP), a carbon source (e.g. pentaerythritol, PER) and a blowing agent (e.g. melamine, MEL) mixed with flame-retardant fillers and polymer binders. Intumescent coatings expand when exposed to a sufficiently high temperature such as in the event of a fire. The heated coating forms a porous char and produces residues that are swelled by escaping non-combustible gases to establish a protective barrier against oxygen. Combustion residue can be efficiently puffed up in order to produce a tough foam over the surface, which will protect the substrate [18]. More importantly, the use of aluminium hydroxide (Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>) or magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>) in a polymer matrix at 60% filler loading in place of a conventional flame-retardant filler (e.g. clay) is a realistic and promising way to reduce fire propagation and surface

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +60 3 79676884; fax: +60 3 79675318.

E-mail addresses: [yewmingchian@siswa.um.edu.my](mailto:yewmingchian@siswa.um.edu.my), [yewmingchian@gmail.com](mailto:yewmingchian@gmail.com) (M.C. Yew).




Fig. 1. Flowchart of experimental procedure.

**Table 1**  
Compositions of intumescent flame-retardant coatings.

Ingredients	Parts by weight for formulations				
	A	B	C	D	E
APP	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5	18.5
MEL	9.25	9.25	9.25	9.25	9.25
PER	9.25	9.25	9.25	9.25	9.25
TiO <sub>2</sub>	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.4	2.5
Al(OH) <sub>3</sub>	–	5.0	–	3.3	2.5
Mg(OH) <sub>2</sub>	5.0	–	–	3.3	2.5
CES	–	–	5.0	–	2.5
Binder					
Acrylic resin	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0

spread of flame as conventional flame-retardant fillers have low flame-retarding efficiency [19–22]. The performance of intumescent systems is generally dependent on the selection of ingredients and their appropriate combinations.

This study highlights a useful bio-filler derived from CES waste and its potential role in the fire protective coating industry. CES waste is an industrial by-product, and its disposal constitutes a serious environmental hazard. It is known that CES waste consists of about 95% calcium carbonate in the form of calcite and 5% organic materials such as type X collagen, sulphated polysaccharides, and other proteins [23,24]. CES has garnered attention recently because of its reclamation potential. Due to its high thermal stability and mechanical properties, new value can be created in CES waste by incorporating it into commercial products. Although there have been several attempts to use CES components for various applications [25–29], its chemical composition and availability makes CES a potential source for bio-filler reinforced bio-polymer composites, improving their mechanical properties [30]. CES offers other benefits as it is lightweight, inexpensive, eco-friendly, available in bulk quantities and has high thermal stability.

In this experimental work, the efficiency of intumescent coatings on steel was investigated according to the 'BS 476: Part 7–Surface Spread of Flame' and 'BS 476: Part 6–Fire Propagation' test standards. The development and evaluation of intumescent

coatings form the primary focus of this paper, particularly with respect to the water resistance, thermal stability, bonding strength, and small scale fire test of the coatings.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and method

Intumescent flame-retardant coatings were formulated using acrylic resin binder, fillers and flame-retardant additives. The acrylic resin binder was supplied by Shinko Chemical Sdn Bhd. The compositions of the intumescent flame-retardant coatings are presented in Table 1.

The formulations were prepared by mixing the flame-retardant ingredients using a high-speed disperse mixer. The coating was then deposited onto a steel plate using a gun sprayer. The thickness of the intumescent coating was kept constant in order to attain effective fire retardancy. The coated substrates were cured at ambient temperature for 1 week. The fully cured coating samples were considered ready for characterization (see Fig. 1).

### 2.2. Surface spread of flame test

The surface spread of flame test was performed according to the procedure specified in the 'BS 476: Part 7' standard in order to determine the classification of the specimens [31]. The test involves measuring the lateral spread of flame over the surface of a specimen oriented in a vertical position and classifying the system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. The steel plate (dimension: 225 mm × 225 mm × 2.3 mm) coated with intumescent coating (thickness: 1.5 ± 0.2 mm) was exposed to specific heating conditions during the test. The test specimen was mounted vertically and placed at a 90° angle from the radiation panel, and was exposed to a radiant panel over a duration of 10 min. Pilot flame was applied to the bottom corner of the specimen during the first minute of the test. The time required for the flame front to reach the reference marks on the specimen and the extent of flame spread were recorded over a period of 1 min 30 s as well as at the end of

**Table 2**  
Flame spread classification.

Classification of spread of flame				
Classification	Spread of flame at 1.5 min		Final spread of flame (10 min)	
	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen in the sample (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen in sample (mm)
Class 1	165	165 + 25	165	165 + 25
Class 2	215	215 + 25	455	455 + 45
Class 3	265	265 + 25	710	710 + 75
Class 4	Exceeding the limits for class 3			

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/692232>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/692232>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)