ARTICLE IN PRESS

Digital Signal Processing ••• (••••) •••-•••

[m5G; v1.240; Prn:27/07/2018; 15:35] P.1 (1-18)



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Digital Signal Processing

Digital Signal Processing

www.elsevier.com/locate/dsp

Boosted adaptive filters

Dariush Kari^{a,*}, Ali H. Mirza^c, Farhan Khan^c, Huseyin Ozkan^b, Suleyman S. Kozat^c

^a Coordinated Science Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

^b Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Istanbul 34956, Turkey

^c Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Online boosting

Boosted filter

Smooth boost

Adaptive filtering

Ensemble learning

Mixture methods

Keywords:

Available online xxxx

ABSTRACT

We introduce the boosting notion of machine learning to the adaptive signal processing literature. In our framework, we have several adaptive filtering algorithms, i.e., the weak learners, that run in parallel on a common task such as equalization, classification, regression or filtering. We specifically provide theoretical bounds for the performance improvement of our proposed algorithms over the conventional adaptive filtering methods under some widely used statistical assumptions. We demonstrate an intrinsic relationship, in terms of boosting, between the adaptive mixture-of-experts and data reuse algorithms. Additionally, we introduce a boosting algorithm based on random updates that is significantly faster than the conventional boosting methods and other variants of our proposed algorithms while achieving an enhanced performance gain. Hence, the random updates method is specifically applicable to the fast and high dimensional streaming data. Specifically, we investigate Recursive Least Square-based and Least Mean Square-based linear and piecewise-linear regression algorithms in a mixture-of-experts setting and provide several variants of these well-known adaptation methods. Furthermore, we provide theoretical bounds for the computational complexity of our proposed algorithms. We demonstrate substantial performance gains in terms of mean squared error over the base learners through an extensive set of benchmark real data sets and simulated examples.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Boosting is considered as one of the most important ensemble learning methods in the machine learning literature and it is extensively used in several different real-life applications from classification to regression [1,2]. As an ensemble learning method [3,4], boosting combines several parallel running "weakly" performing algorithms to build a final "strongly" performing algorithm [2,5]. This is accomplished by finding a linear combination of weak learning algorithms in order to minimize the total loss over a set of training data commonly using a functional gradient descent [6,7]. Boosting is successfully applied to several different problems in the machine learning literature including classification [7], regression [6,8], and prediction [9,10]. However, significantly less attention is given to the idea of boosting in adaptive signal processing [11,12] framework. To this end, our goal is (a) to introduce a new boosting approach for adaptive filtering, (b) derive several different adaptive filtering algorithms based on the boosting approach, (c) provide

(A.H. Mirza), khan@ee.bilkent.edu.tr (F. Khan), hozkan@sabanciuniv.edu (H. Ozkan), kozat@ee.bilkent.edu.tr (S.S. Kozat).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2018.07.012

1051-2004/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

mathematical guarantees for the performance improvements of our algorithms, and (d) demonstrate the intrinsic connections of boosting with the adaptive mixture-of-experts algorithms [13,14] and data reuse algorithms [15]. Even though in [16] and [17], boosting has been used in limited scenarios of adaptive filtering, this paper provides mathematical guarantees along with an extensive set of experiments to illustrate the details of boosting approach in different adaptive filtering methods.

Although boosting is initially introduced in the batch setting [7], where algorithms boost themselves over a fixed set of training data, it is later extended to the online setting [18]. In the online setting, however, we neither need nor have access to a fixed set of training data, since the data samples arrive one by one as a stream [3,19]. Each newly arriving data sample is processed and then discarded without any storing. The online setting is naturally motivated by many real-life applications especially for the ones involving big data, where there may not be enough storage space available or the constraints of the problem require instant process-ing [20].

For adaptive filtering purposes [12], the online setting is especially important, where the sequentially arriving data is used to adjust the internal parameters of the filter, either to dynami-

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: dkari2@illinois.edu (D. Kari), mirza@ee.bilkent.edu.tr

1

2

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

115

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

D. Kari et al. / Digital Signal Processing ••• (••••) •••-•••

cally learn the underlying model or to track the nonstationary data statistics [13,21].

3 Specifically, we have *m* parallel running constituent filters (CF) 4 [2] that receive the input vectors sequentially. Each CF uses an 5 update method, such as Recursive Least Squares (RLS) or Least 6 Mean Squares (LMS), depending on the target of the applications 7 or problem constraints [21]. After receiving the input vector, each 8 algorithm produces its output and then calculates its instantaneous q error after the observation is revealed. In the most generic set-10 ting, this estimation/prediction error and the corresponding input 11 vector are then used to update the internal parameters of the algo-12 rithm to minimize a priori defined loss function, e.g., instantaneous 13 error for the LMS algorithm. These updates are performed for all 14 of the m CFs in the mixture. However, in the online boosting ap-15 proaches, these adaptations at each time proceed in rounds from 16 top to bottom, starting from the first CF to the last one to achieve 17 the "boosting" effect [22]. Furthermore, unlike the usual mixture 18 approaches [13,14], the update of each CF depends on the previ-19 ous CFs in the mixture. In particular, at each time *t*, after the *k*th CF calculates its error over (\mathbf{x}_t, d_t) pair, it passes a certain weight 20 21 to the next CF, the (k + 1)th CF, quantifying how much error the 22 constituent CFs from 1st to *k*th made on the current (\mathbf{x}_t, d_t) pair. 23 Based on the performance of the CFs from 1 to *k* on the current 24 (\mathbf{x}_t, d_t) pair, the (k+1)th CF may give a different emphasis (impor-25 tance weight) to (\mathbf{x}_t, d_t) pair in its adaptation in order to rectify 26 the mistake of the previous CFs.

27 The proposed idea for online boosting is clearly related to the 28 adaptive mixture-of-experts algorithms widely used in the ma-29 chine learning literature, where several parallel running adaptive 30 algorithms are combined to improve the performance. In the mix-31 ture methods, the performance improvement is achieved due to 32 the diversity provided by using several different adaptive algo-33 rithms each having a different view or advantage [14]. This di-34 versity is exploited to yield a final combined algorithm, which 35 achieves a performance better than any of the algorithms in the 36 mixture. Although the online boosting approach is similar to mix-37 ture approaches [14], there are significant differences. In the online 38 boosting notion, the parallel running algorithms are not indepen-39 dent, i.e., one deliberately introduces the diversity by updating the 40 CFs one by one from the first CF to the *k*th CF for each new sample 41 based on the performance of all the previous CFs on this sample. 42 In this sense, each adaptive algorithm, say the (k + 1)th CF, re-43 ceives feedback from the previous CFs, i.e., 1st to *k*th, and updates 44 its inner parameters accordingly. As an example, if the current 45 (\mathbf{x}_t, d_t) is well modeled by the previous CFs, then the (k+1)th CF 46 performs minor update using (\mathbf{x}_t, d_t) and may give more empha-47 sis (importance weight) to the later arriving samples that may be 48 worse modeled by the previous CFs. Thus, by boosting, each adap-49 tive algorithm in the mixture can concentrate on different parts 50 of the input and output pairs achieving diversity and significantly 51 improve the gain.

52 The linear adaptive filters, such as LMS or RLS, are among the 53 simplest as well as the most widely used regression algorithms in 54 the real-life applications [21]. Therefore, we use such algorithms 55 as the CFs in our boosting algorithms. To this end, we first apply 56 the boosting notion to several parallel running linear RLS-based 57 CFs and introduce three different approaches to use the impor-58 tance weights [22], namely "weighted updates", "data reuse", and 59 "random updates". In the first approach, we use the importance 60 weights directly to produce certain weighted RLS algorithms. In 61 the second approach, we use the importance weights to construct 62 data reuse adaptive algorithms [18]. However, data reuse in boost-63 ing, such as [18], is significantly different from the usual data 64 reusing approaches in adaptive filtering [15]. As an example, in 65 boosting, the importance weight coming from the kth CF deter-66 mines the data reuse amount in the (k+1)th CF, i.e., it is not used

67 for the *k*th filter, hence, achieving the diversity. The third approach uses the importance weights to decide whether to update the con-68 69 stituent CFs or not, based on a random number generated from a 70 Bernoulli distribution with the parameter equal to the weight. The latter method can be effectively used for big data processing [23] 71 due to the reduced complexity. The output of the constituent CFs 72 is also combined using a linear mixture algorithm to construct the 73 74 final output. We then update the final combination algorithm us-75 ing the LMS algorithm [14]. Furthermore, we extend the boosting 76 idea to parallel running linear LMS-based algorithms similar to the 77 RLS case.

We start our discussion by investigating the related work in Section 2 and continue by introducing the problem setup and background in Section 3. We introduce our generic boosted adaptive filter algorithm in Section 4 and provide the mathematical justifications for its performance. Then, in Section 5, three different variants of the proposed boosting algorithm are derived, using the RLS and LMS, which are extended to piecewise linear filters in Section 6. Then, in Section 7 we provide the mathematical analvsis for the computational complexity of the proposed algorithms. The paper concludes with an extensive set of experiments over the well-known benchmark data sets and simulation models widely used in the machine learning literature to demonstrate the significant gains achieved by the boosting notion.

2. Related work

AdaBoost is one of the earliest and most popular boosting 94 methods, which has been used for binary and multiclass classi-95 fications as well as regression [7]. This algorithm has been well 96 studied and has clear theoretical guarantees, and its excellent per-97 98 formance is explained rigorously [24]. However, AdaBoost cannot 99 perform well on noisy data sets [25], therefore, other boosting methods have been suggested that are more robust against noise. In order to reduce the effect of noise, SmoothBoost was introduced in [25] in a batch setting, which avoids overemphasizing the noisy samples, hence, provides robustness against noise. In [18], the authors extend bagging and boosting methods to an online setting, where they use a Poisson sampling process to approximate the reweighting algorithm. However, the online boosting method in [18] corresponds to AdaBoost, which is susceptible to noise. In [26], the authors use a greedy optimization approach to develop the boosting notion to the online setting and introduce stochastic boosting. Nevertheless, while most of the online boosting algorithms in the literature seek to approximate AdaBoost, [22] investigates the inherent difference between batch and online learning, extends the SmoothBoost algorithm to an online setting, and provides the mathematical guarantees for their algorithm. [22] points 114 out that the online weak learners do not need to perform well on all possible distributions of data, instead, they have to perform well 116 only with respect to smoother distributions. Recently, in [27], the 117 authors have developed two online boosting algorithms for clas-118 sification, an optimal algorithm in terms of the number of weak 119 learners, and also an adaptive algorithm using the potential functions and boost-by-majority [28].

In addition to the classification task, the boosting approach has also been developed for the regression [6]. In [29], a boosting algorithm for regression is proposed, which is an extension of Adaboost.R [29]. Moreover, in [6], several gradient descent algorithms are presented, and some bounds on their performances are provided. In [26], the authors present a family of boosting algorithms for online regression through greedy minimization of a loss function. Also, in [30], the authors propose an online gradient boosting algorithm for regression.

In this paper, we propose a novel family of boosted adaptive filters (as an ensemble or combination technique) using the "online Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6951627

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6951627

Daneshyari.com