[Automatica 64 \(2016\) 70–75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2015.10.034)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/automatica)

Automatica

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/automatica

Brief paper Barrier Lyapunov Functions-based adaptive control for a class of nonlinear pure-feedback systems with full state constraints^{\star}

[Yan-Jun Liu](#page--1-0) ^{[1](#page-0-1)}, [Shaocheng Tong](#page--1-1)

College of Science, Liaoning University of Technology, Jinzhou, Liaoning, 121001, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 January 2015 Received in revised form 21 July 2015 Accepted 2 October 2015 Available online 7 December 2015

Keywords: Nonlinear pure-feedback systems Adaptive control Full state constraints Barrier Lyapunov Functions

A B S T R A C T

In this study, an adaptive control technique is developed for a class of uncertain nonlinear parametric systems. The considered systems can be viewed as a class of nonlinear pure-feedback systems and the full state constraints are strictly required in the systems. One remarkable advantage is that only less adjustable parameters are used in the design. This advantage is first to take into account the pure-feedback systems with the full state constraints. The characteristics of the considered systems will lead to a difficult task for designing a stable controller. To this end, the mean value theorem is employed to transform the pure-feedback systems to a strict-feedback structure but non-affine terms still exist. For the transformed systems, a novel recursive design procedure is constructed to remove the difficulties for avoiding nonaffine terms and guarantee that the full state constraints are not violated by introducing Barrier Lyapunov Function (BLF) with the error variables. Moreover, it is proved that all the signals in the closed-loop system are global uniformly bounded and the tracking error is remained in a bounded compact set. Two simulation studies are worked out to show the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In real world, the practical plants are inevitable to contain the uncertainties. Driven by practical requirements and theoretical challenges, the controller design of uncertain systems has become an important research domain [\(Li,](#page--1-2) [Gao,](#page--1-2) [Shi,](#page--1-2) [&](#page--1-2) [Zhao,](#page--1-2) [2014;](#page--1-2) [Li,](#page--1-3) [Pan,](#page--1-3) [&](#page--1-3) [Zhou,](#page--1-3) [2015;](#page--1-3) [Li,](#page--1-4) [Yin,](#page--1-4) [Pan,](#page--1-4) [&](#page--1-4) [Lam,](#page--1-4) [2015\)](#page--1-4). Adaptive control algorithms on nonlinear systems with uncertain parameters have received much attention [\(Krstic,](#page--1-5) [Kanellakopoulos,](#page--1-5) [&](#page--1-5) [Kokotovic,](#page--1-5) [1995;](#page--1-5) [Krstic](#page--1-6) [&](#page--1-6) [Kokotovic,](#page--1-6) [1996;](#page--1-6) [Wang](#page--1-7) [&](#page--1-7) [Lin,](#page--1-7) [2010,](#page--1-7) [2012;](#page--1-8) [Yang,](#page--1-9) [Ge,](#page--1-9) [&](#page--1-9) [Lee,](#page--1-9) [2009;](#page--1-9) [Zhang](#page--1-10) [&](#page--1-10) [Lin,](#page--1-10) [2015;](#page--1-10) [Zhang](#page--1-11) [&](#page--1-11) [Xu,](#page--1-11) [2015\)](#page--1-11). Subsequently, some significant works on adaptive NN control for nonlinear systems with unknown functions were revealed in [Chen,](#page--1-12) [Hua,](#page--1-12) [and](#page--1-12) [Ge](#page--1-12) [\(2014\)](#page--1-12), [Ge](#page--1-13) [and](#page--1-13) [Wang](#page--1-13) [\(2004\)](#page--1-13), [Liu](#page--1-14) [and](#page--1-14) [Tong](#page--1-14) [\(2015\)](#page--1-14) and [Tong,](#page--1-15) [Sui,](#page--1-15) [and](#page--1-15) [Li\(2015\);](#page--1-15) [Tong,](#page--1-16) [Zhang,](#page--1-16) [and](#page--1-16) [Li\(in press\).](#page--1-16) Other notable adaptive control methods were proposed in [He,](#page--1-17) [Ge,](#page--1-17) [How,](#page--1-17) [Choo,](#page--1-17) [and](#page--1-17) [Hong](#page--1-17) [\(2011\);](#page--1-17) [He,](#page--1-18) [Zhang,](#page--1-18) [and](#page--1-18) [Ge](#page--1-18) [\(2014a,b\)](#page--1-18) and [Li,](#page--1-19) [Xiao,](#page--1-19) [Yang,](#page--1-19) [and](#page--1-19) [Zhao](#page--1-19)

 \overrightarrow{x} This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61374113 and 61473139, and Program for Liaoning Excellent Talents in University (LR2014016). The material in this paper was not presented at any conference. This paper was recommended for publication in revised form by Associate Editor Shuzhi Sam Ge under the direction of Editor Miroslav Krstic.

E-mail addresses: liuyanjun@live.com (Y.-J. Liu), jztongsc@163.com (S. Tong).

1 Tel.: +86 4164198736; fax: +86 4164198736.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2015.10.034> 0005-1098/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. [\(2015\)](#page--1-19) for some real systems with uncertain parameters. Adaptive control for a more general class of pure-feedback systems with the uncertainties was studied in [Ge](#page--1-20) [and](#page--1-20) [Wang](#page--1-20) [\(2002\)](#page--1-20), [Ge,](#page--1-21) [Yang,](#page--1-21) [and](#page--1-21) [Lee](#page--1-21) [\(2008\)](#page--1-21), [Krstic](#page--1-5) [et al.](#page--1-5) [\(1995\)](#page--1-5) and [Nam](#page--1-22) [and](#page--1-22) [Araposthathis](#page--1-22) [\(1988\)](#page--1-22). However, the effect of the constraints is omitted in the abovementioned results.

It is a fact that many real systems suffer from the effect of the constraints, such as the temperature of chemical reactor and physical stoppages. Two control approaches were proposed in [Zhou,](#page--1-23) [Duan,](#page--1-23) [and](#page--1-23) [Lin](#page--1-23) [\(2011\);](#page--1-23) [Zhou,](#page--1-24) [Li,](#page--1-24) [and](#page--1-24) [Lin](#page--1-24) [\(2013\)](#page--1-24) for linear discrete-time systems with input constraints subject to actuator saturation. In [Chen,](#page--1-25) [Ge,](#page--1-25) [and](#page--1-25) [Ren](#page--1-25) [\(2011\)](#page--1-25), an adaptive tracking control was constructed for uncertain multi-input and multi-output nonlinear systems subject to non-symmetric input constraints. The effect of input constraints is overcome by introducing an auxiliary design system. But these approaches cannot solve the control problem of the state constraints.

Recently, the utilization of BLF for solving the control problem of nonlinear systems with output and state constraints has been an active area. A survey paper on constraint-handling ways of model predictive control [\(Mayne,](#page--1-26) [Rawlings,](#page--1-26) [Rao,](#page--1-26) [&](#page--1-26) [Scokaert,](#page--1-26) [2000\)](#page--1-26) was well established. It has also employed BLFs to design the adaptive controller for nonlinear strict-feedback systems with the timeunvarying [\(Tee,](#page--1-27) [Ge,](#page--1-27) [&](#page--1-27) [Tay,](#page--1-27) [2009a\)](#page--1-27) and time-varying [\(Tee,](#page--1-28) [Ren,](#page--1-28) [&](#page--1-28) [Ge,](#page--1-28) [2011\)](#page--1-28) output constraints. In [Ren,](#page--1-29) [Ge,](#page--1-29) [Tee,](#page--1-29) [and](#page--1-29) [Lee](#page--1-29) [\(2010\)](#page--1-29),

automatica $\boxed{\nabla}$ IFA

an adaptive neural control was presented for a class of nonlinear systems with unknown functions, immeasurable states and the output constraint. A BLF is effectively used in the output-feedback control. Moreover, the control problem of some practical systemsbased BLF has been coped with, such as a flexible crane system [\(He](#page--1-18) [et al.,](#page--1-18) [2014a,b\)](#page--1-18). Besides the output constraint mentioned in the above works, the state constraints have also been tackled by using BLF. Adaptive control techniques-based on BFLs have been addressed for nonlinear strict-feedback with partial state constraints [\(Tee](#page--1-30) [&](#page--1-30) [Ge,](#page--1-30) [2011\)](#page--1-30) and the full state constraints [\(Liu,](#page--1-31) [Li,](#page--1-31) [&](#page--1-31) [Tong,](#page--1-31) [2014;](#page--1-31) [Tang,](#page--1-32) [2014;](#page--1-32) [Tee](#page--1-33) [&](#page--1-33) [Ge,](#page--1-33) [2012;](#page--1-33) [Tee,](#page--1-34) [Ge,](#page--1-34) [&](#page--1-34) [Tay,](#page--1-34) [2009b\)](#page--1-34). These techniques on state constraints can be only to control a class simple of nonlinear strict-feedback systems. To guarantee the stability of more general class of systems, an adaptive neural control approach was studied in [Kim](#page--1-35) [and](#page--1-35) [Yoo](#page--1-35) [\(2014\)](#page--1-35) for nonlinear pure-feedback systems with full state constraints. However, the adaptive design of this approach is obtained based on the neural weight vector. When the neural network nodes are increased, the number of adjustable parameters will be enormous. Then, the online learning time becomes very large.

In this paper, an adaptive control technique is studied for a class of nonlinear parametric systems. The main contributions of the proposed approach are that:

- (1) Different from the results in [Liu](#page--1-31) [et al.](#page--1-31) [\(2014\)](#page--1-31), [Tee](#page--1-30) [and](#page--1-30) [Ge](#page--1-30) [\(2011\)](#page--1-30) and [Tee](#page--1-34) [et al.](#page--1-34) [\(2009b\)](#page--1-34) which focused on the strict-feedback systems with state constraints, this paper frames a generalization of the results for a more general class of nonlinear purefeedback systems with the full state constraints. At the same time, in contrast to the approach in [Kim](#page--1-35) [and](#page--1-35) [Yoo](#page--1-35) [\(2014\)](#page--1-35), less adjustable parameters are used in the design. It is first to design an adaptive control technique with less adjustable parameters to treat the full state constraints of nonlinear pure-feedback systems.
- (2) In order to control this class of systems, the pure-feedback systems are transformed into a strict-feedback structure with non-affine terms by using the mean value theorem. For the transformed systems, a modified backstepping design based on BLFs is proposed to prevent the violation of the full state constraints and the adaptation laws for the estimations of norms on uncertain parameters are constructed.

Finally, it is proved that all the signals in the closed-loop system are global uniformly bounded and the tracking error is remained in a compact set. The effectiveness of the approach can be shown by working out two simulation examples.

2. System description and preliminaries

Consider the nonlinear pure-feedback systems [\(Ge](#page--1-20) [&](#page--1-20) [Wang,](#page--1-20) [2002\)](#page--1-20)

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}_i = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}), & i = 1, \dots, n-1 \\
\dot{x}_n = f_n(x, u) \\
y = x_1\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

where $x = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]^T \in R^n$, $y \in R$ and $u \in R$ are the states, the output, the input of the systems, respectively; all the states are constrained in the compact sets, i.e., *xⁱ* is required to remain in the set $|x_i| < k_{c_i}$ with k_{c_i} being a positive constant; let $\bar{x}_i = [x_1, \ldots, x_i]^T \in$ R^i ; f_i (\overline{x}_{i+1}), $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and f_n (*x*, *u*) are nonlinear smooth functions and continuously differentiable with respect to the argument x_{i+1} and *u*, respectively. Let $\mu_i(\bar{x}_{i+1}) = \partial f_i(\bar{x}_{i+1}) / \partial x_{i+1}$ and $\mu_n(x, u) = \partial f_n(x, u) / \partial u$.

By using the mean value theorem [\(Ge](#page--1-20) [&](#page--1-20) [Wang,](#page--1-20) [2002\)](#page--1-20), there must exist x_{i+1}^0 and u^0 such that

$$
f_i(\bar{x}_{i+1}) = f_i(\bar{x}_i, 0) + \mu_i(\bar{x}_i, x_{i+1}^0) x_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, ..., n-1
$$
 (2)

$$
f_n(x, u) = f_n(x, 0) + \mu_n(x, u^0) u
$$
 (3)

where x_{i+1}^0 is some point between zero and x_{i+1} , and u^0 is some point between zero and *u*.

In this paper, the nonlinear smooth functions $f_i(\bar{x}_{i+1})$, $i =$ 1, ..., $n - 1$ and $f_n(x, u)$ are uncertain, and they satisfy the following condition

$$
f_i(\bar{x}_{i+1}) = \theta_i^T \gamma_i(\bar{x}_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, ..., n-1
$$
 (4)

$$
f_n(x, u) = \theta_n^T \gamma_n(x, u) \tag{5}
$$

where $\theta_i \in R^m$ is an uncertain constant vector; $\gamma_i(\bar{x}_{i+1}), i =$ 1, ..., $n-1$ and $\gamma_n(x, u)$ are known continuous function vectors.

The design objective of this study is to construct an adaptive state feedback controller *u* such that the tracking error $z_1 = y$ $y_d(t)$ converges to a bounded compact set, all the signals in the closed-loop system are global uniformly bounded and the full state constraints are not violated where y_d (*t*) \in *R* is the reference signal to be known and bounded.

To achieve the control objective, it is necessary to make the following assumptions.

Assumption 1 (*[Ge](#page--1-20)* $\&&$ $\&&$ $\&&$ *[Wang,](#page--1-20) [2002](#page--1-20)*). The functions $\mu_i(\cdot)$, $i =$ 1, ..., *n* are bounded, i.e., there exist the constants $\overline{\mu}_i \ge \underline{\mu}_i > 0$ such that $\underline{\mu}_i \leq |\mu_i(\cdot)| \leq \overline{\mu}_i$. Without losing generality, this paper assumes that $\underline{\mu}_i \leq \mu_i(\cdot) \leq \overline{\mu}_i$.

Assumption 2 (*[Tee](#page--1-30)* $\&e$ $\&e$ $\&e$ *Ge*, [2011](#page--1-30)). It is assumed that y_d (*t*) and its *i*th order derivatives $y_d^{(i)}(t)$, $i = 1, ..., n$ satisfy $|y_d(t)| \leq A_0 < k_{c_1}$ and $\left| y_d^{(i)}(t) \right| \leq A_i$ where A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n are positive constants.

Remark 1. In [Tee](#page--1-30) [and](#page--1-30) [Ge](#page--1-30) [\(2011,](#page--1-30) [2012\)](#page--1-33) and [Tee](#page--1-27) [et al.](#page--1-27) [\(2009a,](#page--1-27) [2011\),](#page--1-28) adaptive output and state constraint control problems were addressed for uncertain nonlinear strict-feedback systems, i.e., f_i (.) is a function of \overline{x}_i . From [\(1\),](#page-1-0) it can be seen that f_i (.) is not only a function of \bar{x}_i , but also a function of x_{i+1} with $u = x_{n+1}$. Thus, the system structure is more general than the previous results.

3. The controller design and stability analysis

Define the tracking error $z_1 = y - y_d(t)$ and the variables $z_i = x_i - \alpha_{i-1}, i = 2, \ldots, n$ where α_{i-1} is a virtual controller to be designed in *Step i*. The detailed backstepping design is given as follows.

Step 1: The time derivative of $z_1 = x_1 - y_d$ (*t*) is

$$
\dot{z}_1 = \dot{x}_1 - \dot{y}_d(t) = f_1(x_1, x_2) - \dot{y}_d(t).
$$
 (6)

Using (2) and (4) , (6) becomes

$$
\dot{z}_1 = \theta_1^T \gamma_1 (x_1) + \mu_1 (x_1, x_2^0) x_2 - \dot{y}_d (t) . \tag{7}
$$

Introduce the variable $z_2 = x_2 - \alpha_1$ and we have

$$
\dot{z}_1 = \theta_1^T \gamma_1 (x_1) + \mu_1 (x_1, x_2^0) (z_2 + \alpha_1) - \dot{y}_d (t).
$$
 (8)

The virtual controller α_1 is designed as

$$
\alpha_1 = -\rho_1 z_1 - \hat{\vartheta}_1 K_{z_1} \Upsilon_1 (x_1) / 2\delta_1^2 - K_{z_1} \Phi_1 / 2 \tag{9}
$$

where ρ_1 and δ_1 are the positive design parameters, $\gamma_1(x_1)$ = $\|\gamma_1(x_1)\|^2$, $\Phi_1 = (\dot{y}_d(t))^2$, $K_{z_1} = z_1/\left(k_{b_1}^2 - z_1^2\right)$, $\hat{\vartheta}_1 > 0$ is the estimation of $\vartheta_1 = ||\theta_1||^2$, $k_{b_1} = k_{c_1} - A_0$ is a positive constant. In the following, $K_{z_i} = z_i / \left(k_{b_i}^2 - z_i^2\right)$, $i \geq 2$ will be used and define a compact set $\Omega_{z_i} := \{|z_i| < k_{b_i}\}\$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ where k_{b_i} is specified later on.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/695322>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/695322>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)