Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





ScienceDirect



Journal of the Franklin Institute 354 (2017) 6651-6671

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfranklin

A case of mitigating non-autonomous time-delayed system with cubic order feedback

Chi-Wei Kuo, C. Steve Suh*

Nonlinear Engineering and Control Lab, Mechanical Engineering Department, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3123, USA

Received 7 December 2016; received in revised form 5 June 2017; accepted 12 August 2017 Available online 24 August 2017

Abstract

Time-delayed feedback of a small magnitude can perturb a system to exhibit complex dynamical responses including route-to-chaos. Such motions are harmful as they negatively impact the stability and thus output quality. A non-autonomous time-delayed oscillator having several higher order nonlinear feedback terms is investigated using a novel concept featuring simultaneous control of displacement in the time-domain and spectral response in the frequency-domain. The concept is explored to formulate a control methodology feasible for the mitigation of the non-autonomous time-delayed feedback system. Because the control concept does not require linearization, the true dynamics of the non-autonomous delayed feedback system is faithfully preserved and properly construed in the control action. The validity of the controller design is demonstrated by evaluating its performance against PID and fuzzy logic in controlling displacement and frequency responses with the most chaotic dynamic response time-delay parameters.

© 2017 The Franklin Institute. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Time-delayed systems are ubiquitous in science and engineering. They are found governing a broad set of physical processes ranging from quantum dot laser [1] to electrical power

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* ssuh@tamu.edu (C.S. Suh).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2017.08.023

0016-0032/© 2017 The Franklin Institute. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nomenclatures		ω_c	unobservable con-
			trol frequency
X	excitation se-	N	length of input se-
	quence		quence
$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, k$	parameters of non-	Т	wavelet transfor-
	autonomous time-		mation matrix
	delayed feedback	$W_1(n), W_2(n)$	adaptive filters
	system		-
	external driving	U(n)	input control sig-
ω_f	•		nal vector
	frequency	X'(n)	compensated out-
τ	time-delay param-		put signal vector
	eter	$\overline{y}(n)$	reconstructed sig-
t	time		nal
W(z)	adaptive filter	$\bar{e}(n)$	error between the
n	time step		desired signal and
e(n)	error		the reconstructed
y(n)	system output		signal
d(n)	desired response	f(n)	difference between
J	performance func-	f(n)	
5	tion		$e(n)$ and $\bar{e}(n)$
		$\mu_1, \ \mu_2$	optimization step
W	adaptive filter co-		sizes
	efficient vector	k_p, k_i, k_d	PID control pa-
P(z)	unknown plant	-	rameters
S(z)	transfer function		
	associated with		
	control mechanism		

transmission [2] to manufacturing chatter. Early interests were in characterizing time-delayed systems subject to the combined action of the time-delay and feedback parameters. Phase portrait and Poincaré section were commonly adopted for the task. For example phase portraits were employed for the reconstruction of the chaotic data from an experiment performed on the Belousov-Zhabotinskii reaction [3] and the study of an autonomous rotary system [4]. Poincaré sections on the other hand were employed in the control of nonlinear ionization waves using time-delayed auto-synchronization [5] and for locating the periodic orbits of a time-delayed system [6]. Other characterization tools were also explored including the determination of the largest Lyapunov exponents for continuous as well as discrete systems [7,8], the calculation of the proper delay time for chaotic time series [9], and the use of fractal dimension for searching for the proper embedding dimension that is also one of the parameters of the Method of Delays [10]. While being widely applied, nonetheless, the tools above are not without limitations. Phase portrait and Poincaré section are not feasible for erratic responses that are innately chaotic [11]. Lyapunov exponents or fractal dimensions are oftentimes insufficient for resolving chaotic responses because different attractors may generate the same Lyapunov numbers [12].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6953224

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6953224

Daneshyari.com