



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ymssp

Similarity and duality of electromagnetic and piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters

Xu Wang^{a,*}, Sabu John^a, Simon Watkins^a, Xinghuo Yu^a, Han Xiao^a,
Xingyu Liang^b, Haiqiao Wei^b

^a School of Aerospace, Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, RMIT University, Bundoora East, Vic 3083, Australia

^b School of Mechanical Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 February 2014

Received in revised form

6 July 2014

Accepted 8 July 2014

Keywords:

Piezoelectric

Electromagnetic

Vibration energy harvesters

Dimensionless

Similarity

Duality

ABSTRACT

A frequency analysis has been conducted to study vibration energy harvesting performance and characteristics of a single degree of freedom vibration energy harvester connected to a single load resistor based on the Laplace transfer method and physical models of a voltage source. The performance and characteristics of electromagnetic and piezoelectric harvesters have been analysed and compared. The main research outcome is the disclosure of similarity and duality of electromagnetic and piezoelectric harvesters for both the energy harvesting efficiency and the normalised resonant harvested power using only two dimensionless characteristic parameters: the normalised resistance and the normalised force factor. The dimensionless resonant harvested power and energy harvesting efficiency analysis allows for a parameter study and optimization of the ambient vibration energy harvesters from macro- to nano-scales and for evaluation of the vibration energy harvester performance regardless of the size and type.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Harvesting energy from the environment is an attractive alternative to battery-operated systems, especially for long-term, low-power consuming and self-sustaining electronic systems. The electromagnetic and piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters have been intensively studied in the literatures where various piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters or electromagnetic vibration energy harvesters were connected to a single load resistor [1,2,4,6–10,13,14,17,20,21,23,25,26,28–30,34,36,41,43,45], as a simplified and usual view in considering a linear circuit is to replace the extraction circuit by its equivalent linear input resistance [43]. The power output has been optimised [2,3,5,7–9,11–13,15–19,22–28,29–35,36–38,41–45] and has been normalised in dimensionless forms [1,12,13,19,21,26,27,31–34,36,41,42,45].

Most research papers have discussed some optimizations of harvested power of the electromagnetic or piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters while limited works have been conducted to compare the power harvesting performance of the electromagnetic and the piezoelectric vibration energy harvesters [25,29] and to normalise resonant harvested power and energy harvesting efficiency for dimensionless analyses [1,12,13,19,21,26,27,31–34,36,42,45].

Williams and Yates [43] analysed the damping dissipated power of a single degree of freedom vibration energy harvester. However, the effects of the force factor of the piezoelectric material and external electric load resistance on the harvested

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 399256028; fax: +61 3 99256108.

E-mail address: xu.wang@rmit.edu.au (X. Wang).

Nomenclature			
A	Piezoelectric material insert surface area	Z_M	Relative displacement amplitude of the mass with respect to the base
B	Magnetic field constant	α	Force factor of the piezoelectric material insert or Equivalent force factor of the electromagnetic transducers
D	Short circuit mechanical damping of the single degree of freedom system	α_N	Normalised force factor
C_0	Blocking capacity of the piezoelectric material insert	η	Resonant energy harvesting efficiency
e	2.718281828	$\eta_{\max}$	Maximum resonant energy harvesting efficiency
e_{33}	Piezoelectric constant	ω	Excitation frequency
F	Excitation force	π	3.1415927
F_M	Amplitude of the excitation force	<i>Subscripts</i>	
$ F $	Amplitude of the excitation force	0	Rectified or blocking capacity of the piezoelectric material insert
f_n	Natural frequency	33	Piezoelectric working mode having the same direction of loading and electric poles
H	Thickness of the piezoelectric material insert disk thickness	C	Damping dissipated
i	Square root of -1	h	Harvested energy
I	Current in the circuit	in	Input
K	Short circuit stiffness of the single degree of freedom (SDOF) system	M	Amplitude or before the inversion process
L_e	Self-inductance of the coil	max	Maximum value
M	Oscillator mass of the single degree of freedom system	m	after the inversion process
P_h	Harvested power	N	Normalised
P_{in}	Input power	<i>Superscripts</i>	
$\frac{P_h}{(M^2 \dot{y} ^2/D)}$	Dimensionless resonant harvested power	-1	Inverse
$\frac{P_{h\max}}{(M^2 \dot{y} ^2/D)}$	Peak dimensionless resonant harvested power	S	Clamped
s	Laplace variable	*	Complex conjugate
T	Period of the excitation force signal	—	Time average
V	Output voltage of the SDOF system	.	The first differential
V_M	Output voltage amplitude of the SDOF system	..	The second differential
$ V $	Modulus or amplitude of the output voltage	<i>Special function</i>	
y	Base excitation displacement	$< >$	Time averaged
$\dot{y}$	Base excitation velocity	$ $	Modulus or absolute value
$\ddot{y}$	Base excitation acceleration	<i>Abbreviations</i>	
Y_M	Base excitation displacement amplitude	SDOF	Single degree of freedom
z	Relative displacement of the mass with respect to the base		
$\dot{z}$	Relative velocity of the mass with respect to the base		
$\ddot{z}$	Relative acceleration of the mass with respect to the base		
$ z $	Amplitude of the relative displacement		

power and the vibration energy harvesting efficiency were not studied. Stephen [34] normalised resonant harvested power using the maximum input power and expressed the normalised power in dimensionless frequency and damping ratio though the energy harvesting efficiency was not normalised and optimised. Stephen did not compare the power harvesting performance difference between the electromagnetic and the piezoelectric harvesters. Shu et al. [31,32] compared and optimised the resonant harvested power of a vibration energy harvester connected with standard and parallel “synchronous switch harvesting on inductor” (SSHI) interface circuits where the oscillator displacement was divided by the static displacement for the normalisation. Wickenheiser et al. [42] studied and optimised the harvested power of a vibration energy harvester connected with a standard interface circuit where the voltage and tip displacement were divided by their short-circuit amplitudes for the normalisation. Poulin et al. [25] compared electromagnetic and piezoelectric harvesters for their duality and similarity using the equivalent circuit and impedance method. It was shown that the equivalent circuits of the electromagnetic and piezoelectric harvesters had an obvious similarity and their power graphs had the same trend. Their equivalent impedances were in a complete duality. However, similarity and duality of the resonant harvested power and energy harvesting efficiency have not been studied, the resonant harvested power and energy harvesting efficiency of

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6956438>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6956438>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)