Environmental Modelling & Software 49 (2013) 40-52

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environmental Modelling & Software

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envsoft

Global sensitivity analysis in wastewater applications: A comprehensive comparison of different methods



Alida Cosenza^a, Giorgio Mannina^{a,*}, Peter A. Vanrolleghem^b, Marc B. Neumann^{b, c, d}

^a Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Ambientale, Aerospaziale, dei Materiali, Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy ^b modelEAU, Département de génie civil et de génie des eaux, Université Laval, 1065 av. de la Médecine, Ouébec, OC G1V 0A6, Canada

² modelEAU, Departement de genie civil et de genie des edux, Universite Laval, 1065 av. de la Medècine, Quebec, QC GTV 0A6, Cana

^c Basque Centre for Climate Change, Alameda Urquijo, 4-4°, 48008 Bilbao, Spain

^d IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48011 Bilbao, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 December 2012 Received in revised form 29 May 2013 Accepted 19 July 2013 Available online 18 August 2013

Keywords: Calibration Global sensitivity analysis MBR modelling Wastewater treatment

ABSTRACT

Three global sensitivity analysis (GSA) methods are applied and compared to assess the most relevant processes occurring in wastewater treatment systems. In particular, the Standardised Regression Coefficients, Morris Screening and Extended-FAST methods are applied to a complex integrated membrane bioreactor (MBR) model considering 21 model outputs and 79 model factors. The three methods are applied with numerical settings as suggested in literature. The main objective considered is to classify important factors (factors prioritisation) as well as non-influential factors (factors fixing). The performance is assessed by comparing the most reliable method (Extended-FAST), by means of proposed criteria, with the two other methods. In particular, similarity to results obtained from Extended-FAST is assessed for sensitivity indices, for the ranking of sensitivity indices, for the classification into important/ non-influential factors and for the method's ability to detect interaction among factors and to provide results in a reasonable time.

It was found that the computationally less expensive SRC method was applied outside its range of applicability (R^2) = (0.3–0.6) < 0.7. Still, the SRC produced a ranking of important factors similar to Extended-FAST. For some variables significant interactions among the factors were revealed by computing the total effect indices S_{Ti} using Extended-FAST. This means that to obtain reliable variance decomposition and to detect and quantify interactions among the factors, the use of the Extended-FAST is recommended. Regarding the comparison between Morris screening and Extended-FAST a poor agreement was found. In particular, the Morris screening overestimated the number of both important and non-influential factors compared to Extended-FAST for the analysed case study.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the field of mathematical modelling sensitivity analysis represents a very powerful tool as it provides information about how the variation in the outputs of the model can be apportioned to the variation of the model (input) factors (Saltelli, 2000). "Factors" is a term widely used in the sensitivity analysis literature and includes model parameters and model input variables. Saltelli (2000) singles out three main classes of sensitivity analysis methods: screening methods, local methods and global methods. Screening methods are economical and qualitative methods. Local methods provide a measure of how the model output is affected by infinitesimal factor changes at a specific location in factor space. Global sensitivity analysis (GSA) provides information on how the model outputs are influenced by factor variation over the whole space of possible input factor values (Homma and Saltelli, 1996; Saltelli et al., 2004).

In the environmental modelling field the majority of sensitivity analysis applications are local. Moreover, often a one-at-a-time approach is used that does not allow identifying interacting factors. In recent years, several GSA techniques have been developed. Among them the most widely used are: (i) global screening methods such as the Morris screening method (Morris, 1991; Campolongo et al., 2007); (ii) variance decomposition methods such as Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Testing (FAST), Extended-FAST and the Sobol indices method (Cukier et al., 1973; Schaibly and Shuler, 1973; Saltelli et al. 1999; Sobol, 2001); and (iii) regression-based methods such as the standardised regression coefficient (SRC) method (Saltelli et al., 2008). GSA may help the



^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: alida.cosenza@unipa.it (A. Cosenza), giorgio.mannina@ unipa.it, mannina@idra.unipa.it (G. Mannina), Peter.Vanrolleghem@gci.ulaval.ca (P.A. Vanrolleghem), marc.neumann@bc3research.org (M.B. Neumann).

^{1364-8152/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.07.009

MBR	Membrane BioReactor
FAST	Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Test
SRC	Standardised Regression Coefficient
GSA	Global Sensitivity Analysis
ASM	Activated Sludge Models
UCT	University of Cape Town
SMP	Soluble Microbial Product
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
VSS	Volatile Suspended Solids
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
NH₄—N	Ammonia nitrogen
NO ₂ -N	Nitrite nitrogen
NO ₃ –N	Nitrate nitrogen
N _{TOT}	Total nitrogen
P _{TOT}	Total phosphorus
COD _{TOT}	Total COD model variable
$S_{\rm NH_4}$	Ammonia nitrogen model variable
S _{NO3}	Nitrate nitrogen model variable
$S_{\rm PO_4}$	Soluble inorganic phosphorus model variable
MLSS	Mixed liquor suspended solid
COD _{SOL}	Soluble modelled COD
CTN	Total nitrogen model variable
у	Model output
xi	ith model factor
b _i	Regression slopes
ε	Random error of the regression model
σ_{x_i}	ith factor standard deviation
σ_y	Model output standard deviation
β_i	ith factor sensitivity index
EE	Elementary Effect
p	Sampling level of Morris screening method
Δ	Factor perturbation
μ	Mean of the EEs function
σ	Standard deviation of the EES function
IF *	Mean of the absolute EEs function
μ r	Sampling repetition for Morris screening method
n	Model factors number
$\frac{n}{Var(V)}$	Total variance of the model output
$\mathbf{Val}(\mathbf{I})$	First order effect index of the <i>i</i> th factor
S _i	Total effect index of the <i>i</i> th factor
NMC	Number of Monte Carlo simulations
SNI	Normalised interaction index
	Spearman's rank correlation index
r_{D}	Pearson correlation index
PF	Position Factor
Rel	Relevance
NS	Number of simulations
PAOs	Phosphorus Accumulating Organisms
Relimport	Relevance of important factors
Rel _{NON-IN}	FUENTIAL Relevance of non-influential factors
$k_{\rm H}$	Maximum specific hydrolysis rate
$\eta_{\rm NO_2}$ Hyd	Correction factor for hydrolysis under anoxic
	conditions
$\eta_{ m FE}$	Correction factor for hydrolysis under anaerobic
	conditions
V	Half saturation parameter for SO for V

List of symbols and abbreviations

Rel	Relevance		
١S	Number of simulations		
PAOs	Phosphorus Accumulating Organisms		
Rel _{IMPORT}	ANT Relevance of important factors		
Rel _{NON-INFLUENTIAL} Relevance of non-influential factors			
Н	Maximum specific hydrolysis rate		
NO3,HYD	Correction factor for hydrolysis under anoxic		
	conditions		
FE	Correction factor for hydrolysis under anaerobic		
	conditions		
Ko (Half saturation parameter for SO_2 for X_H		

- K
- S_{O_2} X_H X_S X_H
- Dissolved oxygen Ordinary heterotrophic organisms Particulate biodegradable organics
- Ordinary heterotrophic organisms

$K_{\rm NO_3}$	Half saturation parameter for S_{NO_3} for X_H
K _x	Half saturation parameter for $X_{\rm S}/X_{\rm H}$
S _F	Fermentable organic matter
SA	Fermentation product (considered to be acetate)
$X_{\rm PAO}$	Phosphorus accumulating organisms model variable
$X_{\rm PP}$	Stored polyphosphates in PAOs
$X_{\rm PHA}$	Storage compound in PAOs
S _{ALK}	Alkalinity (HCO ₃ ⁻)
X_{AUT}	Autotrophic nitrifying organisms
S _{BAP}	Soluble biomass associated products
SUAP	Soluble utilisation associated products
SI	Soluble undegradable organics
XI	Particulate undegradable organics
K _{O,HYD}	Half saturation/inhibition parameter for S_{O_2}
$K_{\rm NO_3,HYD}$	Half saturation/inhibition parameter for S _{NO₃}
$\mu_{ m H}$	Maximum growth rate of $X_{\rm H}$
$q_{\rm FE}$	Rate constant for fermentation/Maximum specific
	Iermentation growth rate
$\eta_{\rm NO_3,H}$	Reduction factor for anoxic growth of $X_{\rm H}$
D _H	Decay falle for X _H
K _F	Hall saturation parameter for formontation of S
K _{FE}	Hall saturation parameter for S
K	Half saturation parameter for S_{A}
K _{NH,H} K _n	Half saturation parameter for S_{NH_4} for X_H
Кр К	Half saturation parameter for S_{PO_4} for X_H
ALK,H	Rate constant for S_{ALK} intake rate
9РНА Прр	Rate constant for storage of polyphosphates
ЧРР Црас	Maximum growth rate of X_{PAO}
	Reduction factor for anoxic growth of X_{PAO}
bpan	Endogenous respiration rate of X_{PAO}
bpp	Rate constant for Lysis of polyphospates
b _{PHA}	Rate constant for respiration of X_{PHA}
K _{PS}	Half saturation parameter for S_{PO_4} uptake
K _{PP}	Maximum ratio of X_{PP}/X_{PAO}
<i>K</i> _{MAX}	Half saturation parameter for X_{PP}/X_{PAO}
$K_{\rm IPP}$	Half inhibition parameter for <i>X</i> _{PP} / <i>X</i> _{PAO}
K _{PHA}	Saturation constant for X_{PHA}/X_{PAO}
K _{O,PAO}	Half saturation parameter for S_{O_2} for X_{PAO}
$K_{\rm NO_3,PAO}$	Half saturation parameter for S_{NO_3} for X_{PAO}
K _{A,PAO}	Half saturation parameter for S_A for X_{PAO}
K _{NH,PAO}	Half saturation parameter for $S_{\rm NH_4}$ for $X_{\rm PAO}$
K _{P,PAO}	Half saturation parameter for S_{PO_4} as nutrient (X_{PAO}
V	growth)
K _{ALK} , PAO	Hall saturation parameter for S _{ALK} for X _{PAO}
μ_{AUT}	Decay rate for X
V _{AUT}	Half saturation parameter for S ₂ for Y ₁₁
К _{О,А} К	Half saturation parameter for S_{0_2} for X_{0_2}
K _{NH,A}	Half saturation parameter for S_{NH4} for X_{AUT}
K _{ALK,A}	Half saturation parameter for S_{ALK} for X_{AUT}
KURAD	Hydrolysis rate coefficient for S_{PAP}
Ku uad	Hydrolysis rate coefficient for Shap
kiat 3	Overall oxygen transfer coefficient aerobic tank
k_{LaT4}	Overall oxygen transfer coefficient MBR tank
$Y_{\rm H}$	Yield for $X_{\rm H}$ growth
f_{X_1}	Fraction of $X_{\rm I}$ generated in biomass decay
Y _{PAO}	Yield for X _{PAO} growth
Y_{PO_4}	Yield for <i>X</i> _{PP} requirement per <i>X</i> _{PHA} stored
Y _{PHA}	Yield for X_{PP} storage per X_{PHA} utilised
Y _A	Yield of X_{AUT} growth per S_{NO_3}
$f_{\rm BAP}$	Fraction of S_{BAP} generated in biomass decay
$f_{\rm UAP}$	Fraction of S _{UAP} generated in biomass decay

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6964203

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6964203

Daneshyari.com