## Accepted Manuscript

Cognitive biases in process hazard analysis

Paul Baybutt

PII: S0950-4230(16)30167-X

DOI: 10.1016/j.jlp.2016.06.014

Reference: JLPP 3248

To appear in: Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries

Received Date: 4 August 2015

Revised Date: 17 May 2016

Accepted Date: 22 June 2016

Please cite this article as: Baybutt, P., Cognitive biases in process hazard analysis, *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jlp.2016.06.014.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## **COGNITIVE BIASES IN PROCESS HAZARD ANALYSIS**

Paul Baybutt Primatech Inc., Columbus, Ohio, USA paulb@primatech.com

## Abstract

Many decisions are made by process hazard analysis (PHA) teams in identifying hazard scenarios and determining if there is a need to reduce the risk of catastrophic accidents. Observations of PHA teams conducting studies indicated that such decisions are not always made rationally.

Cognitive psychologists have studied how people make decisions and the conditions under which those decisions may be unreliable. It has been shown that various cognitive biases influence decisions by people and can hinder rationality. This body of knowledge was researched and correlated with observations of decision making by PHA teams during the performance of studies to explain and understand why PHA teams may make erroneous decisions. The application of cognitive psychology to decision making in PHA has not been addressed previously.

PHA facilitators must understand the impact of cognitive biases on PHA studies because they can seriously impact the quality of study results. Hazard scenarios may be missed, risks estimated incorrectly, and important recommendations for risk reduction omitted. This paper discusses cognitive biases that have been observed during the performance of PHA studies, gives examples of their effects, and provides Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6972991

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6972991

Daneshyari.com