ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Safety Research xxx (2018) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Safety Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsr

Managing active cultural differences in U.S. construction workplaces: Perspectives from non-Hispanic workers

Q6 Q5 Ahmed Jalil Al-Bayati, ^{a,*} Osama Abudayyeh, ^b Alex Albert ^c

Q7 ^a Department of Construction Management, Western Carolina University, Cullowhee, NC 28723, United States

5 ^b Civil and Construction Engineering Department, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008, United States

6 C Dept. of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina State Univ., 2501 Stinson Dr., Raleigh, NC 27607, United States

8 ARTICLE INFO

9 Article history:
10 Received 24 November 2017
11 Accepted 8 May 2018
12 Available online xxxx
18

Q8

7

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Current census reports indicate a growing shift toward workforce diversity in the U.S. construction 18 industry, which is largely the result of increasing participation from the Hispanic community. The data also 19 suggest that the Hispanic workforce suffers a higher rate of fatal injuries compared to their non-Hispanic coun- 20 terparts. Therefore, there is a dire need to develop and utilize new management tools and strategies to accommo- 21 date the differences in language and culture of this incoming labor force. Method: The absence of these tools and 22 strategies poses several challenges including cost overrun, schedule delay, and more importantly, higher work- 23 place injury rates. This study aims to provide a better understanding of the contribution of cultural diversity as 24 a factor that may influence the overall site safety. Results: As a result, this study provides further evidence that 25 indicate that the current findings regarding the influence of active cultural differences are reliable, valid, and 26 needs attention. Furthermore, the study provides sub-analysis results of cultural values among Hispanic workers, 27 which suggest that workers from Mexico are less likely to speak up on safety issues when compared to other 28 Hispanic workers. Therefore, this study has both practical and theoretical implications for managing workforce 29 diversity and related safety performance in the U.S. construction industry. The results of the study can be used 30 by employers and managers to adopt responsive strategies and tools to reduce the likelihood of fatal and nonfatal 31 injuries among Hispanic workers. 32

© 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 33

35

36

Q9 1. Introduction

Effective communication on construction sites is essential to avoid 39 errors that affect, among other things, quality, team integration, and 40 safety. Communication is especially important when it comes to safety 41 42 management and injury prevention (Burke, Clarke, & Cooper, 2011; Törner & Pousette, 2009). Therefore, effective strategies to improve 43 communication levels at the work interface are increasingly becoming 44 important for project success - particularly with the increase in diver-45 46 sity among the construction workforce. Diversity is generally defined as differences among people or groups of people where people within 47 a group may perceive themselves to be different from others in the 48 49 group (Jackson, 1992). The construction workforce in the United 50 States is shifting toward more diversity with an increasing influx of 51 Hispanic workers joining the construction workforce. Current estimates 52 suggest that Hispanic workers account for roughly 30% of the U.S. Q10 construction workforce (Dong, Wang, & Goldenhar, 2016).

54 With the increase in diversity, desirable and undesirable effects have 55 been experienced in construction organizations (Mannix & Neale,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ajalbayati@wcu.edu, (A.J. Al-Bayati), osama.abudayyeh@wmich.edu, (O. Abudayyeh), alex_albert@ncsu.edu (A. Albert).

2005). One of the unwanted effects is that communication has become 56 more challenging with differences in cultural and contextual factors 57 that go beyond language (Al-Bayati, Abudayyeh, Fredericks, & Butt, 58 2017a; Flynn, 2014). For example, evidence suggests that safety 59 information may not freely flow in diverse work groups that include 60 Hispanic and non-Hispanic workers. Accordingly, the data indicate 61 that the fatality rate among Hispanic workers is often higher than 62 other non-Hispanic workers (Al-Bayati et al., 2017a). While evidence 63 also suggests that the non-fatal injury rates among Hispanic workers 64 is higher, there is a growing concern that injury rates among Hispanic 65 workers may be underreported due to financial and legal concerns 66 and the prevalence of temporary work arrangements (Al-Bayati, 67 Abudayyeh, Fredericks, & Butt, 2017b; Flynn, Eggerth, & Jacobson, 68 2015). Among other reasons, cultural differences have been identified 69 as one of the root causes of higher fatality rates among Hispanic 70 workers, besides other possible causes including low education levels, 71 inadequate skill and experience, language proficiency and literacy 72 issues, and immigration status (Jaselskis, Strong, Aveiga, Canales, & Q11 Jahren, 2008; McGlothlin, Hubbard, Aghazadeh, & Hubbard, 2009; 74 Q12 Hurley & Lebbon, 2012; Flynn, 2014; Morrison, 2015). 75 Q13

To overcome communication deficiency, the Occupational Safety 76 and Health Administration (OSHA) requires that employers translate 77 training material or hire bilingual personnel to enhance communication 78

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.05.004

0022-4375/ $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Al-Bayati, A.J., et al., Managing active cultural differences in U.S. construction workplaces: Perspectives from non-Hispanic workers, *Journal of Safety Research* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.05.004 2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

79 with all employees. However, OSHA has no requirement explicitly man-80 dating that employers must manage cultural differences by tailoring safety training and interventions based on the cultural needs beyond 81 82 merely translating the material (O'Connor, Flynn, Weinstock, & Zanoni, 2014). In general terms, culture is a system of shared beliefs, be-83 haviors, and expectations that allows a society to function and maintain 84 85 itself. Cultures are dynamic and are continually reproduced and revised 86 through social interactions as the group and its members adapt to an 87 evolving geographic, historical, and socio-economic context (Flynn, 88 Castellanos, & Flores-Andrade, 2018). Because culture is influenced by 89 one's social position within society there are often sub-cultures and 90 identities that interact with one another at the organizational, group and individual level. Therefore, it is crucial to understand how social 91 92 factors related to the distribution of power - such as race, ethnicity, 93 gender, class, and immigration status - influence culture, which can im-94 pact how workers from different backgrounds approach their work and interactions with co-workers, supervisors, and their subordinates. 95 (NIOSH and ASSE, 2015; Ailon, 2008). To begin addressing this issue, Q14 Al-Bayati et al. (2017a, 2017b) conducted an empirical study using 97 survey instruments and focus group sessions to examine the nature 98 and influence of cultural differences on U.S. construction sites. The find-99 ings revealed three active cultural differences that directly impact safety 100 101 on diverse workplaces: high power distance, collectivism, and uncertainty avoidance. These active cultural difference (ACD) has been 102 shown to substantially affect how safety is practiced and valued at 103 workplaces (Al-Bayati et al., 2017b). However, more research must be Q15 conducted to ensure that the findings regarding active cultural differ-105 106 ences are generalizable and broadly applicable across the construction industry in the United States. If such evidence is obtained, techniques 107 to manage active cultural differences and responsive accident preven-108 tion techniques should be designed and adopted at construction 109 110 workplaces.

111 Although past studies have identified a number of interventions to 112 improve safety performance such as establishing a safety committee, conducting accident investigations, performing safety audits and house-113 keeping efforts, past research has not highlighted the importance of 114 115 managing cultural diversity in the U.S. construction industry. The 116 adoption of such techniques could improve safety performance and the efficiency of traditional safety interventions. The current study 117 seeks to understand the prevalence and nature of ACDs to advance 118 knowledge that can be fundamental to designing new interventions 119 120 that target cultural challenges.

121 2. Active cultural differences in U.S. construction sites

Cultural differences result in different beliefs, behaviors, and expec-122 123 tations between individuals and groups of individuals (Hofstede, Q17Q16 Hofstede, & Minkov, 2010; Hudelson, 2004). These differences can lead to unshared assumptions regarding work, safety, and workplace 125 behavior. If such differences remain unrecognized and unmanaged, 126 they can result in miscommunication among workers and their 127 128 supervisors - which can adversely affect safety performance. Therefore, 129 it is important for construction supervisors to understand the effect of differences in workplace culture on workplace behavior and safety 130 Q19Q18 (Flynn et al., 2018; Ling, Dulaimi, & Chua, 2013; Phua, Loosemore, Teo, & Dunn, 2011). To assess the nature of cultural differences and their Q20 32 133 possible effects, a theory-based framework is necessary. Most of Hispanic construction workers in the United States come from Mexico 134 (Bucknor, 2016; CPWR, 2013). Therefore, the most intuitive approach 135 to assessing cultural differences would be to compare differences 136 between workers from Mexico and the United States. Although the 137 GLOBE project and the study by Hofstede examined cultural values, 138 Hofstede theory focused on the effect of national values, whereas the 139 GLOBE project focused on the effect of organizational values (Wildman, Q21 2015). Hofstede's dimensions are particularly beneficial in illustrating 141 142 the basic differences between Hispanic workers and supervisors of other geographical and cultural decent (Canales et al., 2009). Therefore, Q22this study will use Hofstede theory as departure point to assess the po-tential cultural differences among Hispanic workers and constructionsupervisors.146

According to Hofstede et al. (2010), out of the six national cultural 147 dimensions, there are only three considerable differences between indi-148 viduals representing Mexico and the United States as shown in Fig. 1. 149 Using the Hofstede's model of culture, Al-Bayati et al. (2017b) found 150 that these cultural values (high power distance, collectivism, and 151 uncertainty avoidance) were higher among Hispanic workers than 152 non-Hispanic workers in construction workplaces. Furthermore, the 153 findings of Al-Bayati et al. (2017a) suggest that these cultural 154 differences could impact the safety behavior and site-level safety perfor-155 mance. The following are potential effects that can arise from the lack of awareness of active cultural differences (ACDs): 157

- High Power Distance: Workers in a high-power distance culture may 158 not communicate safety issues with their supervisors. For example, 159 Hispanic workers may not express their feeling and concern. Further-160 more, they may be willing to accept risk-taking behavior while 161 executing planned tasks.
- Collectivism: The main undesirable effect of the collectivistic culture is 163 the non-trusting environment among the workers from one group 164 against workers from another group. On the other hand, this cultural 165 value may help in enforcing site safety, if managed well, since 166 Hispanic workers may tend to take care of each other.
- Uncertainty Avoidance: Workers from a high uncertainty avoidance 168 culture will strive to get detailed instructions from their supervisors. 169 Construction supervisors are not providing comprehensive enough 170 instructions to Hispanic workers as per the findings of Al-Bayati 171 et al. (2017a).

173

194

Construction, by its nature, is a process that requires effective com- 174 munication between all parties for successful project completion. 175 Therefore, effective communication channels and positive interactions 176 are fundamental to success. Negative interactions also called interper- 177 sonal conflicts at work (ICW) may lead to adverse effects including 178 work stress and undesirable behavior (De Raeve, Jansen, van den 179 Brandt, Vasse, & Kant, 2009; Meier, Semmer, & Gross, 2014; Bruk-Lee 180 & Spector, 2006). Nixon, Mazzola, Bauer, Krueger, and Spector (2011) Q24 suggested that ICWs can result from a wide range of causes such as 182 work disagreements and disrespectful actions. The lack of attention to 183 active cultural differences by construction supervisors and workers 184 can lead to culturally based assumptions, misinterpretations, and inter- 185 personal conflicts at U.S. construction sites. For example, Chen, McCabe, 186 and Hyatt (2017) found that interpersonal conflicts can adversely affect 187 safety performance resulting in more injuries, stress, and losses. 188 Therefore, more research examining active cultural differences and 189 recommending national remedies are crucial to improving performance 190 in U.S. construction workplaces. Fig. 1 illustrates the possible positive 191 effects of increasing awareness of active cultural differences based on 192 the findings of Chen et al. (2017) and Al-Bayati et al. (2017b) (Fig. 2). Q25

3. Research goal and design

Since the concept of active cultural differences (ACDs) in relatively 195 new, more empirical research on its existence and its influence is neces-196 sary. In addition, recent studies that investigated ACDs have used non-197 probability samples while collecting data that is extremely common in 198 construction research (Abowitz & Toole, 2010). While non-probability 199 techniques provide valuable insights, the generalization of findings to 200 larger populations is questionable (Fellows & Liu, 2008). To overcome 201 this, Abowitz and Toole (2010) recommend gathering evidence from 202 multiple replication studies that examine the same of similar constructs. 203 Based on this advice, the main objective of the research reported in this 204

Please cite this article as: Al-Bayati, A.J., et al., Managing active cultural differences in U.S. construction workplaces: Perspectives from non-Hispanic workers, *Journal of Safety Research* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.05.004 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6973569

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6973569

Daneshyari.com