ARTICLE IN PRESS

ISR-01473; No of Pages 10

Iournal of Safety Research xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Safety Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsr



Commercial truck crash injury severity analysis using gradient boosting data mining model

Q3 Q2 Zijian Zheng, * Pan Lu, Brenda Lantz

Upper Great Plain Transportation Institute, North Dakota State University, NDSU Dept 2880 P. O. Box 6050, Fargo, ND 58108-6050, United States

ARTICLE INFO

5

39

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

7 Article history:
 8 Received 20 September 2017
 9 Received in revised form 8 February 2018
 10 Accepted 6 March 2018
 11 Available online xxxx
 18

32 Keywords:
33 Truck crash injury severity
34 Commercial truck company
35 Data mining
36 Gradient boosting

ABSTRACT

This study seeks to identify the contributing factors affecting commercial truck crash severity using 2010 to 2016 Q5 North Dakota and Colorado crash data provided by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. To fulfill a 18 gap of previous studies, broad considerations of company and driver characteristics, such as company size and 19 driver's license class, along with vehicle types and crash characteristics are researched. Gradient boosting, a 20 data mining technique, is applied to comprehensively analyze the relationship between crash severities and a 21 set of heterogeneous risk factors. Twenty-five variables were tested and twenty-two of them are identified as 22 significant variables contributing to injury severities, however, top 11 variables account for more than 80% of 23 injury forecasting. The relative variable importance analysis is conducted and furthermore marginal effects of 24 all contributing factors are also illustrated in this research. Several factors such as trucking company affects of 24 ce.g., company size), safety inspection values, trucking company commerce status (e.g., interstate or intrastate), 26 time of day, driver's age, first harmful events, and registration condition are found to be significantly associated 27 with crash injury severity. Even though most of the identified contributing factors are significant for all four levels 28 of crash severity, their relative importance and marginal effect are all different. Findings in this study can be 29 helpful for transportation agencies to reduce injury severity, and develop efficient strategies to improve safety. 30 © 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 31

Carrier Safety Administration, 2014).

1. Introduction

Trucking is a well-known important element for freight movement and economic development. According to a 2012 commodity flow survey, trucks move 73.1% of commodities by value, 71.3% by tons, and 42.0% by ton-miles (USDOT/BTS, 2008). Truck crashes not only interrupt traffic flow, but also cause economic loss. Moreover, truck crashes contribute to a large number of injuries and fatalities due to additional risks, such as a larger vehicle size, heavier weight, and possible hazardous material release. In 2014, the total number of fatalities in truck crashes was 3903 (Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 2014). Compared with the total number of fatalities in strictly passenger car crashes, 28,559, truck crashes do not seem as alarming. However, truck crashes are overall more likely to result in more severe outcomes such as a fatality. In 2014, there were 14 fatalities in large truck crashes per 100 million vehicle miles traveled by large trucks, while only 10.5 fatalities in passenger vehicle crashes per 100 million vehicle miles traveled by passenger vehicles. Additionally, there were 29.4 injury crashes involving large trucks per 100 million vehicle miles traveled by large

commercial trucks.

Previous studies on modeling truck crash severities provide great 68 insights and findings (Lemp, Kockelman, & Unnikrishnan, 2011; Zhu & 69 Srinivasan, 2011). However, some factors are overlooked and not considered in those studies. Intuitively thinking, characteristics of manage-71

Srinivasan, 2011). However, some factors are overlooked and not considered in those studies. Intuitively thinking, characteristics of managenement, organization, culture, strategies, and financial situations in a 72 trucking company should be closely associated with the company's 73 safety performance. For example, safety culture shapes the attitude 74 and behavior of their employees. Building a strong safety culture has a 75 great effect on incident reduction (U.S. Department of Labor). Furthermore, a strong safety culture will result in better trained employees 77 who will react better when they encounter a potential crash situation, 78 and thus may result in a less severe crash outcome. Moreover, sufficient 79 capital and profit promote truck maintenance and technology development, so that equipment is well-performing, which will minimize risk of 81 equipment failure. In return, incident likelihood and crash severity level 82

trucks, compared with 58.5 for passenger vehicles (Federal Motor 59

mance has been a major social concern in the United States for decades. 62

Transportation agencies and other stakeholders must identify the 63

complete picture of factors that contribute to the severity levels of 64

commercial truck collision and provide directions for commercial 65

truck operation policies that will reduce the severe crash rates of 66

The need to improve commercial trucking company safety perfor- 61

E-mail addresses: zijian.zheng@ndsu.edu (Z. Zheng), pan.lu@ndsu.edu (P. Lu), brenda.lantz@ndsu.edu (B. Lantz).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.03.002

0022-4375/© 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Zheng, Z., et al., Commercial truck crash injury severity analysis using gradient boosting data mining model, *Journal of Safety Research* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.03.002

^{*} Corresponding author.

83 84 85

93

102

103

104

105

140

141

142

143

144 145 would be reduced. Although several studies have been carried out to investigate contributing variables to truck crash severity outcomes, the literature review revealed that it is still not clear how some commercial trucking company and driver characteristics impact crash This paper seeks to investigate commercial truck crash severity and

contributing factors, especially trucking company characteristics, through the application of a data mining model to commercial trucking crash data. The paper is organized with a literature review, data description, methodology, results analysis, and conclusions of the research.

2. Literature review

Vehicle crash studies have been completed by a substantial number of researchers focusing on crash frequencies and injury severity (Chen, Zhang, Yang, Milton, & Alcántara, 2016; Dong, Clarke, Richards, & Huang, 2014; Dong, Clarke, Yan, Khattak, & Huang, 2014; Gabauer & Li, 2015; Lu & Tolliver, 2016; Wood, Donnell, & Fariss, 2016; Wu, Zhang, Chen, et al., 2016; Wu, Zhang, Zhu, Liu, & Tarefder, 2016). The majority of them are focused on vehicle crashes in urban road tunnels. Meng and Ou (2012) examined rear-end vehicle crash frequency in urban road tunnels. Wu, Zhang, Chen, et al. (2016), Wu, Zhang, Zhu, et al. (2016) conducted a crash severity study examining the factors of weather condition, class of highway and drug use and their impact on single-vehicle crashes. An integrated study of crash frequency and severity was conducted by Chiou and Fu (2013). Freeway geometrics, traffic characteristics, neighborhood, and freeway facilities were found to significantly contribute to vehicle crash frequency and severity.

As a common understanding, vehicle types such as passenger cars or commercial trucks should have a different impact on crash severity outcomes. There are numerous studies focusing on truck crashes only. Most of them examined one specific influential factor of truck crashes, such as wind speed, driver turnover rate, presence of portable message sign, time of the day, truck configurations, and driver body mass (Anderson et al., 2012; Bai, Yang, & Li, 2015; Braver et al., 1997; Curnow, 2002; Pahukula, Hernandez, & Unnikrishnan, 2015; Staplin & Gish, 2005; Young & Liesman, 2007). The impact of the effective location of message signs in work zones on truck related crash is studied by Bai et al (2003). The impact of effects of time of day on truck related crashes is analyzed by Curnow (2002). Pahukula et al. (2015) conducted a study, and concluded that in clear weather nights, and nights with no illumination result in either no injury crashes or crashes with a severe result. Most of those studies focus on the effects on truck crash frequency but only a limited number of studies contribute to understanding truck crash severities (Campbell, 1991; Khattak, Schneider, & Targa, 2003; Naik, Tung, Zhao, & Khattak, 2016; Uddin & Huynh, 2017; Zou, Wang, & Zhang, 2017). Uddin and Huynh (2017) studied influential factors of crash severity involving hazardous materials trucks. They demonstrate that gender, day of week, rural highway, and illumination are associated with crash injury severity. Naik et al. (2016) investigated the impact of weather conditions on single-vehicle truck crash injury severity. Their results indicated that wind speed, rain humidity, and air temperature have a significant impact on single-vehicle truck crash injury severity. Zou et al. (2017) link truck crash severity with spatial location and time of day. Their results reveal that individual truck crashes are spatially dependent events for single and multi-vehicle crashes. Single-vehicle crashes in the afternoon and at night tend to be less severe, while multi-vehicle crashes at the same time are more

An understanding of the influence of attributes of the trucking company and driver's license on crash injury severity is still unclear with the literature search. Several studies discussed that the little research on trucking company characteristics' impact on crash severity is due to the lack of available company data (Chen, 2008). This research focuses on risk factors for commercial truck crash severity, in particular how company related characteristics affect crash severity, with a more comprehensive truck crash dataset available through the Federal 146 Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA). The detailed information 147 regarding this database is described later in the data description section. 148

The literature search also reveals that most prior studies are based on 149 logit, probit, and their extension statistical models (Charbotel, Martin, 150 Gadegbeku, & Chiron, 2003; Lemp et al., 2011; Wu, Zhang, Chen, et al., 151 2016; Wu, Zhang, Zhu, et al., 2016; Zhu & Srinivasan, 2011). However, 152 these statistical models are all based on certain assumptions. One of the 153 common assumptions is that the effects of contributing factors are 154 assumed identical across different severity levels. These assumptions are 155 inappropriate and do not hold true in most circumstances. Once violated, 156 numerous errors will be generated. In addition, truck crashes are affected 157 by a set of heterogeneous variables (Kumar & Toshniwai, 2015). A good 158 crash injury severity model is expected to be able to extract hidden, 159 valuable information from large, complex datasets. Thus, instead of applying statistical models, the non-parametric gradient boosting (GB) model, 161 a data mining technique, is selected in this study to overcome the shortcomings and achieve more convincing conclusions. The GB model does 163 not have any pre-defined data assumptions like other statistical models 164 do. Moreover, the GB model inherits most of the tree-based data mining 165 models' advantages. It is also superior than most of the tree-based data 166 mining models with its missing data handling techniques, robustness 167 with data noise and resistance to over-fitting (Friedman & Meulman, 168 2003; Salford Systems). The GB model proves its success in crash 169 prediction analysis (Chung, 2013; Saha, Alluri, & Gan, 2015); however, it 170 has never been used in a truck crash injury severity explanatory study. 171 Therefore, the authors decided to adopt a GB model to comprehensively 172 analyze influential factors on truck crash injury severity. 173

3. Data description

In this study, truck crash data was obtained from the Federal Motor 175 Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA). Crash data file, census file, and 176 inspection files from the Motor Carrier Management Information 177 System (MCMIS) are selected for the research. The MCMIS datasets 178 contain 1) records from state police crash reports including information 179 on drivers, crash conditions, environment factors when the crash 180 happened, and crash involved truck conditions; 2) motor carrier corporation variables and operational factors; and 3) motor carrier safety 182 inspection records. This study examines truck crash related data for 183 crashes that occurred in the states of North Dakota and Colorado in the 184 past six years (from 2010 to 2016). The selection of the two states is 185 due to the availability of data, research interest, and data size limitation; 186 however, the research can be extended to national level or include additional states if it is of interest.

174

196

The authors exclude irrelevant, privacy variables and four redundancy 189 variables from the raw data before performing mathematical analysis. 190 Summarized in Table 1, 38 variables are removed from analysis.

The detailed information of the data analyzed in this research is 192 shown in Table 2. In general the data variables can be grouped into 193 the following five (5) categories:

- 1) Trucking company characteristics (e.g., total number of trucks, 195 inspection value, registered date, and location);
- 2) Crash characteristics (e.g., first injury or damaging-producing event, day of week, time of day, and number of injuries);
- 3) Environment characteristics (e.g., road type, light condition, road surface condition, and weather condition);
- Driver characteristics (e.g., age, driver license class, and driver 201 license state); and
- 5) Truck characteristics (e.g., cargo type, configuration, and gross vehicle 203 weight). 204

There are 24 variables selected to be investigated and tested. 205 Twenty-one (21) of them are categorical variables (labeled with "\$" in 206 Table 2), and two (2) of them are numeric variables. In this study, the 207

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6973620

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6973620

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>