### ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Safety Research xxx (2018) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### Journal of Safety Research



07

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsr

# Analysis of hourly crash likelihood using unbalanced panel data mixed logit model and real-time driving environmental big data

#### Q5 Q4 Feng Chen, <sup>a</sup> Suren Chen, <sup>b,\*</sup> Xiaoxiang Ma<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Traffic Engineering and Key Laboratory of Road & Traffic Engineering of the Ministry of Education, Tongji University, 4800 Cao'an Road, Shanghai 201804, China
 <sup>b</sup> Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, United States

#### 6

7

#### ARTICLE INFO

8 Article history:
9 Received 2 May 2017
10 Received in revised form 8 December 2017

11 Accepted 27 February 2018

12 Available online xxxx

36 Real-time driving environment
37 Mixed logit model
38 Refined temporal scale

- 38 Refined temporal scale39 Random parameter
- 40 Big data

#### ABSTRACT

Driving environment, including road surface conditions and traffic states, often changes over time and influences 18 crash probability considerably. It becomes stretched for traditional crash frequency models developed in large 19 temporal scales to capture the time-varying characteristics of these factors, which may cause substantial loss 20 of critical driving environmental information on crash prediction. Crash prediction models with refined temporal 21 data (hourly records) are developed to characterize the time-varying nature of these contributing factors. Unbal- 22 anced panel data mixed logit models are developed to analyze hourly crash likelihood of highway segments. The Q8 refined temporal driving environmental data, including road surface and traffic condition, obtained from the 24 Road Weather Information System (RWIS), are incorporated into the models. Model estimation results indicate 25 that the traffic speed, traffic volume, curvature and chemically wet road surface indicator are better modeled as 26 random parameters. The estimation results of the mixed logit models based on unbalanced panel data show that Q9 there are a number of factors related to crash likelihood on I-25. Specifically, weekend indicator, November indi- 28 cator, low speed limit and long remaining service life of rutting indicator are found to increase crash likelihood, Q10 while 5-am indicator and Number of merging ramps per lane per mile are found to decrease crash likelihood. The 30 study underscores and confirms the unique and significant impacts on crash imposed by the real-time weather, 31 road surface and traffic conditions. With the unbalanced panel data structure, the rich information from real-time 32 driving environmental big data can be well incorporated. 33

© 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. 34

**42** 43

57

58

59

#### 45 1. Introduction

Traffic crashes under adverse driving environments cause a lot of so-46 cial and economic loss in most countries. To develop various prevention 47 strategies, it is critical to first understand the impact of contributing fac-48 tors on crash risk. Most traditional crash frequency studies, however, 49 50 were conducted over extended temporal units with aggregated information (e.g., yearly, monthly). Traffic safety studies that focus on time-51 varying driving environmental data in fine temporal units (e.g., hourly 52 53 or daily) are still rare. Real-time driving environmental data including weather conditions and traffic characteristics may have great influence 54 55 on the crash occurrence, especially for some adverse driving conditions 56 where weather may vary drastically over time.

As a result of adopting extended time scales, it is obvious that some crucial time-varying driving environmental information, such as weather and traffic data, is therefore lost due to data aggregation (Lord

\* Corresponding author.

& Mannering, 2010; Mannering & Bhat, 2014). Besides, the importance 60 of certain time-varying explanatory environmental variables might not 61 be discovered unless data in more refined temporal scales are adopted 62 in the model, resulting in ecological fallacy (Freedman, 1999). It 63 becomes even crucial for traffic facilities that undergo substantial varia- 64 tions regarding driving environments (e.g., inclement weather in moun- 65 tainous areas, frequent traffic state transformation in urban areas). 66 Moreover, the crash frequency prediction models developed based on 67 averaged or cumulative data over extended time periods may result in 68 estimation error due to unobserved effects (Mannering & Bhat, 2014; 69 Mannering, Shankar, & Bhat, 2016; Washington, Karlaftis, & Mannering, 70 2011).

As ITS applications become more popular around the world, real-72 time driving environmental records collected continuously become 73 more obtainable in many major transportation systems. These driving 74 environmental big data bring rich information and also great opportunities for carrying out more advanced crash prediction than ever. Many 76 researchers have endeavored to develop crash prediction models with 77 the detailed monitoring data, primarily focusing on real-time relative 78 risk or likelihood of crashes mostly based on the case–control data 79 structure, which may not sufficiently utilize the abundant information 80 that the real-time big data can offer. 81

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.02.010

0022-4375/© 2018 National Safety Council and Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Chen, F., et al., Analysis of hourly crash likelihood using unbalanced panel data mixed logit model and real-time driving environmental big data, *Journal of Safety Research* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.02.010

*E-mail addresses:* fengchen@tongji.edu.cn, (F. Chen), suren.chen@colostate.edu, (S. Chen), xiaoxiang.ma@tongji.edu.cn. (X. Ma).

2

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

82 When adopting crash prediction models with refined temporal data, 83 it is methodologically challenging to develop appropriate models 84 for driving environmental data with both time-varying and spatial-85 varying information. Multiple observations are processed for the same road segment by using more refined temporal units. These multiple ob-86 87 servations over the same roadway unit would be somehow correlated 88 with each other by sharing the same geographical location, setting up 89 serial correlations within the data (Mannering & Bhat, 2014). These po-90 tential serial correlations bring methodological challenges in building 91 proper crash models. The present study focuses on developing crash 92 likelihood prediction models considering driving environmental big data with refined temporal scales and adopting disaggregated panel-93 data structure. Mixed logit models, which can consider the random na-94 95 ture of some parameters, are developed using panel data to deal with 96 temporal correlation in the present study. This study explores different 97 types of contributing factors including real-time driving environmental characteristics comprehensively. Crash data on highway I-25 in Colo-98 99 rado will be analyzed to provide some valuable findings of contributing factors, especially time-varying variables. 100

#### 101 1.1. Real-time crash models

102 In the last few years, there have been many studies primarily focus-103 ing on calibrating real-time crash risk models that study the relative crash risk with real-time traffic and environmental conditions prior to 104 crashes (e.g., Abdel-Aty & Pande, 2005; Abdel-Aty, Pande, Lee, Gayah, 105 & Santos, 2007; Abdel-Aty, Uddin, Pande, Abdalla, & Hsia, 2004; 106 107 Ahmed & Abdel-Aty, 2012; Chen, Ma, & Chen, 2014; Golob & Recker, 2003, 2004; Golob, Recker, & Pavlis, 2008; Hassan & Abdel-Aty, 2013; 108 Lee, Hellinga, & Saccomanno, 2003; Lee, Saccomanno, & Hellinga, 109 2002; Shi, Abdel-Aty, & Yu, 2016; Xu, Wang, & Liu, 2013a, 2013b; Yu & 110 111 Abdel-Aty, 2013a, 2013b; Yu, Abdel-Aty, & Ahmed, 2013). In the major-112 ity of these studies, the relative crash probability was often analyzed by 113 comparing conditions with and without crashes, rather than direct crash likelihood (e.g., Yu & Abdel-Aty, 2013b). Most of these crash prob-114 ability studies adopted the matched case-control design (e.g., Abdel-115 116 Aty, Hassan, Ahmed, & Al-Ghamdi, 2012; Ahmed & Abdel-Aty, 2012; 013 Xu et al., 2013a, 2013b; Yu & Abdel-Aty, 2013b), in which a preselected number (e.g., four) of non-crash cases were produced to 118 match each specific crash case. In the studies summarized above, the 119 data structure was established on the base of case-control crash re-120 121 cords, rather than the rich information of full driving environmental 122 data containing varying information in spatial and time domains for 123 road segments. Important factors such as location and geometry were 124 matched out to enable observation control. Moreover, selection bias 125 can become a serious problem for case-control studies (Hernan, 126 Hernandez-Diaz, & Robins, 2004; Paik, 2004). Therefore, unlike these existing studies, the present study develops direct crash likelihood 127 models for road segments using driving environmental big data, 128 which can take advantage of the entire informative panel-data without 129 data selection. 130

#### 131 1.2. Panel data crash frequency models

Crash frequency prediction model is a fundamental tool to analyze 132 crash risks on highways by directly quantifying crash counts. The basic 133 134 models include Poisson and Negative Binomial models. Panel data models have frequently been used for spatial and temporal varying 135 data while still considering the heterogeneity of individual observations 136 in social science. Owing to the cross-sectional and time-serial character-137 istics of some crash data, crash frequency analysis in the last decade or 138 so has utilized panel data models, including but not limited to random 139 effects Poisson models and Negative Binomial models. For example, Q14 Noland (2003) and Noland and Oh (2004) developed the fixed effects 141 Negative Binomial models to study fatal and injured traffic crash fre-142 143 quency the influence of renovation on roadway infrastructure. To deal with the limitation of fixed effects Poisson or Negative Binomial models 144 including its inability to consider time-specific or site-specific varia- 145 tions, random effects Negative Binomial models can be developed 146 (Shankar, Albin, Milton, & Mannering, 1998). In addition, other random 147 effect or random parameter crash frequency models were also explored 148 (e.g., Aguero-Valverde, 2013; Anastasopoulos & Mannering, 2009; Chin 149 & Quddus, 2003; Kweon & Kockelmam, 2005; Miaou, Song, & Mallick, 150 2003). For example, Anastasopoulos and Mannering (2009) predicted 151 annual crash frequency using a random parameter Negative Binomial 152 model with 9-year data. These panel data crash frequency studies 153 mainly focused on modeling longitudinal data resulted from yearly re- 154 peated observations (multi-year crash frequency), thus are unable to 155 capture the effects of those contributing factors that vary within a 156 year. For instance, when it comes to traffic flow and weather informa- 157 tion, these crash studies usually formulate long-term aggregated 158 and/or averaged variables to represent their effects, such as annual 159 average daily traffic volume and number of days with rainfall over a 160 year (e.g., Aguero-Valverde & Jovanis, 2006). 161

When a smaller temporal unit is used, the resulting crash dataset is 162 inevitably characterized with excess zeros, which bring about another 163 methodological difficulty. The excessive zeroes of the records need to 164 be taken care of for a refined-scale panel data model to be properly 165 established. In light of that, zero-inflated Poisson and Zero-inflated 166 Negative Binomial models were adopted in some studies (e.g., Anjana 167 & Anjaneyulu, 2015; Miaou, 1994), which are extensions of standard 168 Poisson and Negative Binomial regression models. Note that these 169 zero-inflated models also face criticism from some researchers despite 170 the fact that they usually perform better than corresponding standard 171 models (e.g., Lord, Washington, & Ivan, 2005, 2007; Vangala, Lord, & 172 Geedipally, 2015). Random effect or random parameter zero-inflated 173 models were also attempted to analyze annual crash frequency 174 (Huang & Chin, 2010) using multi-year data. However, to the authors' 175 knowledge, studies that investigate panel-data crash likelihood models 176 with refined temporal scales are still scarce. 177

178

#### 1.3. Discrete outcome models

Over the past decades, various discrete outcome models have been 179 widely used to study crash injury severity due to the fact that different 180 models bear different merits as well as limitations. Ordered logit and or- 181 dered probit models were applied to examine numerous risk contribut- 182 ing factors related to injury severity in previous studies (Abdel-Aty, 183 2003; Duncan, Khattak, & Council, 1998). Other studies investigated Q15 the application of Multinomial logit models (Islam & Mannering, Q16 2006) and nested logit models (Chang & Mannering, 1999) to establish 186 the relationship between different risk contributing factors and differ- 187 ent injury severity levels. Despite the fact that multinomial logit 188 model has been extensively employed in injury severity studies given 189 its advantage over ordered probability models, it was found to suffer 190 from irrelevant independence alternative (IIA) restriction (Jones & 191 Hensher, 2007). To relax the IIA restriction and also account for 192 unobserved heterogeneity, mixed logit models were proposed and 193 then have been widely adopted in the studies on crash injury 194 (e.g., Behnood & Mannering, 2015; Chen & Chen, 2011; Kim, Ulfarsson, 195 Shankar, & Mannering, 2010; Ma, Chen, & Chen, 2015; Milton, 196 Shankar, & Mannering, 2008). For example, Behnood and Mannering 197 (2015) applied mixed logit model to study the temporal stability of 198 factors affecting driver-injury severities in single-vehicle crashes. 199

For crash likelihood studies using discrete outcome models instead 200 of crash injury severity modeling, Qi, Smith, and Guo (2007) have stud-201 ied freeway crash likelihood using a random effect ordered probit 202 model. Given the relative virtue as discussed above, mixed logit models 203 will be adopted for the first time in the present study to investigate the 204 hourly crash likelihood for road segments. In addition, random parame-205 ter models, rather than fixed effect models that have been commonly 206 applied, will be adopted to account for unobserved heterogeneity. By 207

Please cite this article as: Chen, F., et al., Analysis of hourly crash likelihood using unbalanced panel data mixed logit model and real-time driving environmental big data, *Journal of Safety Research* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.02.010

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6973627

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6973627

Daneshyari.com