

# Author's Accepted Manuscript

Life Cycle Analysis of a Combined CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Conversion Membrane Reactor

Jie Fang, Xinfang Jin, Kevin Huang



PII: S0376-7388(17)32613-3  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.12.006>  
Reference: MEMSCI15777

To appear in: *Journal of Membrane Science*

Received date: 11 September 2017

Revised date: 3 December 2017

Accepted date: 5 December 2017

Cite this article as: Jie Fang, Xinfang Jin and Kevin Huang, Life Cycle Analysis of a Combined CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Conversion Membrane Reactor, *Journal of Membrane Science*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.12.006>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Life Cycle Analysis of a Combined CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Conversion Membrane Reactor

Jie Fang, Xinfang Jin and Kevin Huang

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29201

## Abstract

This paper reports a life cycle analysis of a combined CO<sub>2</sub> capture and conversion “all-in-one” membrane reactor system. The reactor is composed of a high-temperature mixed electronic and carbonate-ion conductor (MECC) membrane for CO<sub>2</sub> capture and a solid oxide electrolysis cell (SOEC) for CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O co-reduction. The results show that the parasitic energy (PE) using MECC membrane to capture CO<sub>2</sub> is 321 kJ for every kilogram CO<sub>2</sub> captured, less than half of the consumption of the state-of-the-art MEA plant. The energy efficiency of the combined system can reach 82%. A cost analysis further shows that the cost of electricity dictates the price of synthetic fuel produced by the reactor at a lower SOEC area (lower capital cost), while both electricity cost and SOEC capital cost play an important role for higher SOEC area (higher capital cost). Finally, the synthetic fuel produced from Ag-based MECC and NiO-based MECC capture/conversion systems are comparable to that of biomass derived liquids (carbon neutral) when the electricity cost is < \$0.059/kWh and < \$0.096/kWh, respectively.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7020193>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7020193>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)