



Visible light-induced degradation of sulfa drugs on pure TiO₂ through ligand-to-metal charge transfer

Najmul Hasan^a, Gun-hee Moon^b, Jeesu Park^a, Jaehoon Park^c, Jungwon Kim^{a,*}

^a Department of Environmental Sciences and Biotechnology, Hallym University, Chuncheon, Gangwon-do 24252, Republic of Korea

^b Department of Chemical Engineering and Division of Environmental Science and Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea

^c Department of Electronic Engineering, Hallym University, Chuncheon, Gangwon-do 24252, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Photocatalysis
Titanium dioxide
Sulfa drugs
Visible light
Ligand-to-metal charge transfer

ABSTRACT

The degradation of sulfisoxazole (SSX) on pure TiO₂ under visible light irradiation was investigated. 50 μM SSX was completely degraded in a suspension of pure TiO₂ (Hombikat UV100, 15 mg/30 mL) at pH_i = 4.0 after 30 min of visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 420$ nm and light intensity = 0.26×10^{-3} einstein min⁻¹ L⁻¹). Although neither SSX nor pure TiO₂ alone absorbs visible light, the complexation of SSX on the TiO₂ surface induces visible light absorption and electron transfer from SSX to the TiO₂ conduction band (CB) (i.e., ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT)) in the SSX-TiO₂ complex. The visible light absorption and formation of an SSX-TiO₂ complex were confirmed by measuring the UV-visible absorption spectra, attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectra, and elemental mapping images of the SSX-TiO₂ complex powder. The reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) proceeded in a suspension of pure TiO₂ with SSX under visible light irradiation. 93% reduction was achieved after 1 h of visible light irradiation. This result corroborates the LMCT mechanism of the SSX-TiO₂ complex. The visible light-induced degradation of SSX on pure TiO₂ is ascribed to both electron transfer from SSX to the TiO₂ CB in the SSX-TiO₂ complex and the reaction of SSX with reactive oxygen species that are generated from oxygen reduction. The pseudo-first-order degradation rate constant (*k*) for SSX was higher at lower pH (e.g., 0.103 min⁻¹ at pH_i = 4.0 and 0.011 min⁻¹ at pH_i = 6.0), higher TiO₂ loading (e.g., 0.103 min⁻¹ at [TiO₂] = 0.5 g/L and 0.048 min⁻¹ at [TiO₂] = 0.1 g/L), and higher TiO₂ surface area (e.g., 0.103 min⁻¹ at 316 m²/g and 0.025 min⁻¹ at 85 m²/g), which enhanced the formation of the SSX-TiO₂ complex by providing better conditions for the adsorption of SSX on the TiO₂ surface. Not only SSX (*k* = 0.103 min⁻¹) but also other sulfa drugs such as sulfathiazole (*k* = 0.010 min⁻¹), sulfamoxole (*k* = 0.009 min⁻¹), sulfamethizole (*k* = 0.007 min⁻¹), and sulfamethoxazole (*k* = 0.006 min⁻¹) were degraded on pure TiO₂ under visible light irradiation. In addition, the degradation efficiency was not reduced by repeated cycles of SSX degradation. Therefore, the pure TiO₂/visible light system is proposed as a practical method for the treatment of sulfa drug-contaminated water.

1. Introduction

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has been extensively studied and applied as an environmental photocatalyst because of the high oxidation power of its valence band hole ($h_{\nu b}^+$), the ability to produce a variety of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radical ($\cdot OH$), superoxide/hydroperoxyl radical ($O_2^{\cdot -}/HO_2^{\cdot}$), and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), as well as its nontoxicity, high stability, and low production cost [1–5]. However, pure TiO₂ can only absorb UV light (3–5% of the sunlight on the surface of the Earth) and not visible light (42–43% of the sunlight on the surface of the Earth), due to its wide bandgap (3.0–3.2 eV) [6].

The inability of pure TiO₂ to absorb visible light limits its photocatalytic efficiency and practical application in water treatment using natural sunlight.

Doping (i.e., the introduction of impurities into the TiO₂ lattice) is the most popular method for inducing TiO₂ visible light activity [7–12]. However, the synthesis of doped TiO₂ creates extra costs for material, equipment, and labor. Another approach to overcoming the drawback of pure TiO₂ is the sensitization method; this method includes dye (colored pollutant) sensitization, in which dye that is adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface absorbs visible light [13–16], and ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) sensitization, in which an LMCT complex that is

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jwk@hallym.ac.kr (J. Kim).

formed between the uncolored pollutant and TiO_2 absorbs visible light [17–21]. In dye sensitization, electrons are transferred from the ground state of the dye to its excited state, and subsequently, from the excited state to the TiO_2 conduction band (CB) under visible light irradiation. On the other hand, electrons are directly photoexcited from the ground state of the ligand to the TiO_2 CB in LMCT sensitization [22]. Although the sensitization method is available for the degradation of specific pollutants that can absorb visible light or form visible light-active LMCT complexes with TiO_2 , pure TiO_2 can be used without any modification. For this reason, many studies on visible light-induced degradation using pure TiO_2 through a sensitization process have been performed. Between these two sensitization methods, dye and LMCT sensitization, the degradation of aquatic pollutants through LMCT sensitization has been less investigated than that through dye sensitization because the formation of visible light-active LMCT complexes between TiO_2 and uncolored pollutants, neither of which absorb visible light, is not easily predictable [22]. It has been reported that some organic pollutants that contain phenolic or carboxylic functional groups, such as 4-chlorophenol [17], ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) [18], glucose [19], ciprofloxacin [20], *trans*-ferulic acid [21], and oxalate [23], can be degraded in a suspension of pure TiO_2 under visible light irradiation through an LMCT process. The formation of an LMCT complex between organic pollutants containing carboxylic group(s) and TiO_2 occurs mostly through bidentate mode [24,25]. Phenolic pollutants can form LMCT complexes with TiO_2 through a phenolate linkage [26,27]. Even though the organic pollutants have the same functional group, their molecular structures significantly affect the characteristics of LMCT complexes, such as optical property and electron transfer efficiency [18]. Therefore, the degradation efficiency of organic pollutants through an LMCT process varies depending on their type.

Pharmaceutical compounds have recently received attention as emerging aquatic pollutants [28–31]. Among the various classes of pharmaceutical compounds, sulfa drugs, which make up one of the largest groups of antibiotics, are frequently detected in the effluents of sewage treatment plants, surface waters, groundwater, and soil because of their high use and low degradability in conventional sewage treatment plants [32–35]. Sulfa drugs in water have a harmful effect (i.e., antimicrobial resistance) on microorganisms, fishes, and aquatic plants, which may lead to the disruption of aquatic ecosystems and pose a threat to human health [36–38].

Although TiO_2 -based photocatalytic degradation of sulfa drugs has been extensively attempted, only the pure TiO_2 /UV light system or the doped TiO_2 /visible light system was employed in previous studies [39–43]. In this study, the visible light-induced degradation of sulfisoxazole (SSX), which does not contain phenolic or carboxylic functional groups, on pure TiO_2 through an LMCT process has been investigated. The formation of an LMCT complex between SSX and TiO_2 and its visible light absorption were confirmed by various surface analyses. The degradation kinetics of SSX on pure TiO_2 under visible light irradiation was measured as a function of various experimental parameters. The mechanism of SSX degradation through the LMCT process was discussed. Furthermore, the applicability to a variety of sulfa drugs and the durability in repeated photocatalytic degradation cycles of the pure TiO_2 /visible light system were verified.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and materials

All of the chemicals used in this work include sulfisoxazole (SSX, Sigma, $\geq 99.0\%$), sulfamethoxazole (SMX, Fluka, analytical standard), sulfathiazole (STZ, Fluka, $\geq 98.0\%$), sulfamethizole (SMZ, Fluka, $\geq 99.0\%$), sulfamoxole (SML, Fluka, $\geq 98.0\%$), sulfanilamide (SNA, Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99.0\%$), sodium dichromate dihydrate (Cr(VI) , Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99.5\%$), coumarin (Sigma, $\geq 99.0\%$), sodium fluoride (Aldrich, $\geq 99.0\%$), tetranitromethane (Aldrich, 100%), *N,N*-diethyl-

1,4-phenylenediamine (DPD, Aldrich, 97.0%), peroxidase from horseradish (POD, type VI-A, Sigma), sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate (Samchun Chemical, $\geq 98.0\%$), and sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 98.0\%$). A variety of commercial TiO_2 materials having different surface areas, such as Hombikat UV100 (Sachtleben Chemie, 316 m^2/g), P-25 (Degussa, 54 m^2/g), anatase (Aldrich, 10 m^2/g), rutile (Aldrich, 2 m^2/g), Junsei (Junsei Chemical, 9 m^2/g), PC-100 (Millennium Inorganic Chemicals, 85 m^2/g), and ST-01 (Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha, 314 m^2/g) were tested and compared. The surface areas of the TiO_2 materials were measured using a surface area analyzer (Micromeritics ASAP 2010). All chemicals and materials were used as received without further purification. The deionized water was ultrapure (18.3 $\text{M}\Omega\text{-cm}$) and prepared using a Human-Power I + water purification system (Human corporation).

2.2. Characterizations

An SSX- TiO_2 complex sample for surface analysis was prepared as follows. SSX (50 μM) was added to an aqueous TiO_2 suspension (0.25 g/500 mL) and the pH of the suspension was adjusted to 4.0. After the suspension was magnetically stirred for 30 min, the SSX- TiO_2 complex powder was collected by filtration through a 0.45 μm PVDF disc filter (Pall) and dried at room temperature overnight.

The diffuse reflectance UV–visible spectra of pure TiO_2 and the SSX- TiO_2 complex powder were recorded with a UV–visible spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance accessory (Shimadzu ISR-2200) and then converted to UV–visible absorption spectra through the Kubelka-Munk function [44]. The binding mode of SSX on the TiO_2 surface was characterized using attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR, Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS50). The distribution of SSX on the SSX- TiO_2 complex powder was investigated using elemental mapping images of the SSX- TiO_2 complex powder obtained using a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, JEOL JEM-2100F) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

2.3. Photocatalytic experiments

TiO_2 powder (15 mg, usually Hombikat UV100) was dispersed in deionized water (15 mL) by sonication for 1 min in an ultrasonic cleaning bath (Branson). Subsequently, 15 mL of a sulfa drug stock solution (100 μM , usually SSX) was added to the TiO_2 suspension to yield the desired initial concentration of sulfa drug (50 μM). The initial pH (usually $\text{pH}_i = 4.0$) of the suspension was adjusted using HClO_4 or NaOH solution. The TiO_2 suspension (15 mg/30 mL) was stirred for 30 min in the dark to achieve the adsorption equilibrium of the sulfa drug on the surface of TiO_2 .

A 300 W Xe arc lamp (Oriel) was used as a light source. The light beam was passed through a 5 cm IR water filter and a cutoff filter (usually $\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$) and focused onto a cylindrical Pyrex reactor with a quartz window (40 mL). The incident photon fluxes were measured using ferrioxalate actinometry [45] and estimated to be 0.26×10^{-3} , 0.20×10^{-3} , 0.16×10^{-3} , 0.14×10^{-3} , and $0.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ einstein min}^{-1} \text{ L}^{-1}$ for $\lambda > 420$, 435, 455, 475, and 495 nm, respectively. The reactor was open to the ambient air to prevent depletion of dissolved oxygen and stirred magnetically during visible light irradiation. Sample aliquots (1 mL) were taken from the reactor intermittently during visible light irradiation and filtered through a 0.45 μm PTFE syringe filter (Millipore) to remove the TiO_2 particles. All experiments were performed at least twice under identical experimental conditions to confirm the reproducibility of the data.

2.4. Analyses

The concentration of the sulfa drug was measured using a high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC, Agilent 1120) equipped with a UV–visible detector and a ZORBAX 300SB C-18 column

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7043705>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7043705>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)