Applied Thermal Engineering 107 (2016) 253-263

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Thermal Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

Research Paper

Study on helium impingement cooling for a sharp leading edge subject to aerodynamic heating



THERMAL Engineering

Xiao Wei Zhu*, Jing Quan Zhao

School of Aeronautic Science and Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

HIGHLIGHTS

• The model couples outer aerodynamic heating and inner impingement cooling together.

• Cooling effectiveness of helium impingement-cooled TPS is significant.

• Thermal and mechanical performances of the TPS with different materials are tested.

• Operating requirements and limitations for the actively-cooled TPS are probed.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 March 2016 Revised 1 June 2016 Accepted 2 June 2016 Available online 3 June 2016

Keywords: Actively-cooled Thermal protection system Leading edge Impingement jet Helium

ABSTRACT

This paper presents numerical studies on a typical actively-cooled thermal protection system (TPS) which turns out to be a potential candidate for the leading edge thermal management. The leading edge is cooled by the impingement jet of gaseous helium. The external aerodynamic heating and radiation, the heat conduction in solid wall, and the internal impingement cooling are coupled in a unified computational fluid dynamics system by using a quasi-coupling method. The thermal-hydraulic performance of internal impingement cooling is particularly highlighted. Four metal alloys are tested in order to find out the impact of the material property on the TPS's cooling performance. Results show that the reduction of leading edge temperature is remarkable with the impingement cooling scheme and the maximum temperature can be controlled far below the materials' melting point. However, the thermal stress does not significant decrease and is still a barrier for the application of the actively-cooled TPS. The operation limits of various materials are demonstrated, which may benefit to the future material selection work. Besides, the coolant flow rate requirements for safely operating the TPS under various flight conditions are ascertained.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hypersonic vehicles typically wear wings with sharp leading edge to facilitate their maneuverability. Rapid stagnation of high enthalpy airflow over the vehicle's leading edge induces elevated temperature around the stagnation region, which may cause the material failure and bring risk to the vehicle. Therefore, robust TPSs are needed to retain the structural integrity of leading edge during hypersonic flight.

According to the operation feature, TPSs can be divided into three categories: passive, semi-passive, and actively-cooled [1]. Passive TPS is the most commonly used TPS for hypersonic vehicles, which uses the insulation material to block the aerodynamic heat. For example, the U.S. space shuttle orbiter applied reinforced

E-mail addresses: zhuxw@buaa.edu.cn, xw-z@hotmail.com (X.W. Zhu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.06.013 1359-4311/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. carbon-carbon material on the leading edge to withstand the temporary heating during atmosphere exit and re-entry. Generally, these TPS materials are vulnerable to damage due to their inherent brittleness [2]. In addition, passive TPSs vitally rely on the development of ultra-high-temperature material technology and are infeasible for long time operation. Semi-passive TPS intends to use high efficient heat transfer approaches to move the heat from a high-temperature region to a relatively cold region. For instance, the heat-pipe-cooled leading edge is a typical semi-passive TPS, which is supposed to be a competitive candidate for hypersonic vehicle leading edge thermal management. Despite its advantages, heat-pipe-cooled TPS for leading edge is still full of complexity in design, manufacture and operation process, which delay its extensive implementation [3]. Besides, ablative TPS also can be classified as semi-passive TPS [1], which is nowadays a relatively mature technology. However, it is impractical for leading edge thermal management due to its evolving shape during



^{*} Corresponding author at: XueYuan Road No. 37, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, China.

Nomenclature

Alt	altitude, km	β	oblique shock angle relative to freestream
B _H	height of the flow channel, m	, v	ratio of specific heats, air
b_w	wall thickness, m	Δ	gradient
C _f	local skin-friction coefficient	3	turbulent energy dissipation rate, $m^2 s^{-3}$
c	specific heat of solid material, $\int kg^{-1} K^{-1}$	ϵ	strain
ср	specific heat at constant pressure, $ kg^{-1} K^{-1}$	θ	shift angle of tip curve
\hat{D}_h	hydraulic diameter, $D_h = 2B_H$, m	λ	thermal conductivity, W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
E	Young's modulus, GPa	μ	viscosity, kg m ⁻¹ s ^{-1}
f	friction factor	v	Poisson's ratio
H	enthalpy, J kg ⁻¹	ρ	density, kg m ^{-3}
Ι	turbulent intensity	σ	stress, Pa
k	turbulent kinetic energy, $m^2 s^{-2}$	σ_Y	yield strength, Pa
L	lateral distance from the stagnation point, m	ς	shear strain
L _{FP}	length of the flat panel, m	τ	shear stress
Ма	Mach number	φ	half-angle of the leading edge
Nu	Nusselt number		
Pr	Prandtl number	Subscrip	ts
р	pressure, Pa	0	total
q	heat flux, W m $^{-2}$	∞	freestream condition
Re	Reynolds number	aero	aerodynamic heating
R_L	radius of the leading edge, m	aw	adiabatic wall
R_g	gas constant, air, J kg $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$	е	edge of the boundary layer
St	Stanton number	in	coolant inlet
Т	temperature, K	net	net heat flux
и	velocity, m s ⁻¹	rad	radiation
Χ	x distance, m	st	stagnation point
		sp	straight passage
Greek symbols		tc	tip curve
α	thermal expansion coefficient, K ⁻¹	w	wall

ablation. The actively-cooled TPS is an alternate approach to manage the aerodynamic heat on the leading edge, especially appropriate for air-breathing or single-stage-to-orbit vehicles, which are required for longer duration hypersonic flight, under which conditions the maximum heating or the total heat load exceeds the operating limit of passive or semi-passive TPS.

Recently, Schwanekamp et al. [4] presented a review paper to emphasize the potentiality of applying active TPS on future hypersonic crew-vehicle. Various layouts of actively-cooled TPS were presented. Future hypersonic vehicles are supposed to be much faster and are required for longer duration cruise in atmospheric environment. The TPS is going to meet much more challenges in the future. Therefore, actively-cooled TPS, as one of the most efficient scheme, should be further explored.

The actively-cooled TPS for the leading edge can be implemented in a variety of ways, such as film cooling [5], transpiration cooling [6] and convective/impingement cooling [4]. Compared to the former two cooling schemes, the convective/impingement cooling TPS seems to be simpler and more feasible. This cooling scheme has been widely employed to manage the high flux on the airfoil leading edge of engine turbines [7-10]. Within our knowledge, there only exist a few research works focusing on the application of the impingement cooling scheme for the leading edge of hypersonic vehicle wing. Dechaumphai et al. [11] numerically studied a hydrogen impingement-cooled leading edge subjected to shock wave interference heating. They focused on solving the aerodynamic heating over the leading edge, while the internal cooling was modeled by just setting a constant heat transfer coefficient of 49,800 W m⁻² K⁻¹. They concluded that their model was very much time-consuming because the governing equations for external flow must be solved to evaluate the aerodynamic heating. Gladden et al. [12] investigated a hydrogen impingement-cooled leading edge that was similar to Dechaumphai's. They promoted the cooling model by employing some empirical correlations to weigh the heat transfer coefficient of the impingement cooling. Overall, both Dechaumphai and Gladden found great reduction in the peak-temperature of the leading edge. The recent research of Brown et al. [13] related to the activelycooled TPS mainly concentrated on the accurately modeling of heat load on external surface while the internal cooling was simply treated. The calculation of aerodynamic heating is, of course, essential to the design of actively-cooled TPS, but the accurate prediction of internal cooling effect is also very important [14].

The cooling effectiveness of impingement jet is greatly dependent on the configuration, structure material, coolant flow characteristic and the external thermal conditions. Previous models [11–14] for actively-cooled TPS, which simply utilized idealized cooling flux to evaluate the cooling capacity seems insufficient. In terms of the impingement-cooled leading edge, the internal flow and heat transfer over concave surface are very complex, and the local heat transfer coefficient alters greatly along the streamwise [15–20]. So the accurate prediction of internal impingement cooling is critical for modeling such actively-cooled TPS. In addition, the external aerodynamic heating and radiation strongly depend on the wall temperature, which is influenced by the internal cooling. So it is necessary to couple the aerodynamic heating and impingement cooling in an integrate model.

The purpose of this paper is to give particular insight into the internal cooling performance of the impingement jet for a sharp leading edge under aerodynamic heating. The gaseous helium is selected as the working fluid. The impingement-cooled leading edge is modeled by using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7047088

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7047088

Daneshyari.com