Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/etfs



Effects of surface functionalization on the flow boiling heat transfer characteristics of MWCNT/R141b nanorefrigerants in smooth tube

Bin Sun^{*}, Hailong Wang, Di Yang

School of Energy and Power Engineering, Northeast Electric Power University, Jilin City 132012, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Functionalized nanorefrigerant Mass flow rate Heat transfer coefficient Vapor quality Thermal conductivity Viscosity

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an experimental method to study the flow boiling heat transfer characteristics of two functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotube nanorefrigerants (MWCNT-COOH/R141b and MWCNT-OH/R141b). The experimental conditions are as follows: the nanoparticles volume fraction are individually set at 0.059%, 0.117% and 0.176%; the mass flow rate ranges from 100 to $350 \text{ kg} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$; the inlet vapor quality ranges from 0.2 to 0.7. Results showed that both functionalized MWCNT/R141b nanorefrigerants can enhance the flow boiling heat transfer coefficient of a refrigerant in a tube, and the enhancement was increased with an increase in volume fraction. The heat transfer characteristics of the MWCNT-COOH/R141b nanorefrigerants were better than MWCNT-OH/R141b nanorefrigerants. At the condition of $G = 120 \text{ kg} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a volume fraction 0.176%, the heat transfer coefficient of the MWCNT-COOH/R141b nanorefrigerant and MWCNT-OH/R141b nanorefrigerant in creased up to 23.4% and 15.18%, respectively.

1. Introduction

With the continuous improvement of science and technology, the development of heat transfer in many high-tech fields tends to focus on a small volume and high heat flux [1]. Therefore, putting forward higher requirements for strengthening heat transfer technology is an ongoing research objective. Boiling heat transfer is known for its low temperature difference and high heat flux, and in the phase change liquid, adding nanoparticles is an effective way to improve boiling heat transfer characteristics [2]. Nanorefrigerants are based on nanofluid [3] and are prepared by mixing nanoparticles with the traditional refrigerant. Domestic and foreign research on nanorefrigerants has mainly focused on the pool boiling heat transfer. A lot of pool boiling heat transfer studies [4–9] show that nanorefrigerants can improve the pool boiling heat transfer coefficient and the critical heat flux density. Furthermore, the degree of improvement is associated with the volume fraction of nanoparticles and the heat flux.

For research on flow boiling heat transfer of nanorefrigerants in the tube, Bi et al. [10,11] added TiO₂-R134a and TiO₂-R600a nanorefrigerants to the domestic refrigerator, which worked for a period of time under the same working conditions. Compared to the pure refrigerant, the nanorefrigerants of TiO₂-R134a and TiO₂-R600a, could reduce energy consumption by 7.43% and 9.5%, respectively. Henderson et al. [12] and Mahbubul et al. [13] studied flow boiling heat transfer of R134a/POE/CuO and Al₂O₃-R134a nanorefrigerants in

horizontal smooth tube, respectively. Their results showed that the heat transfer coefficient of refrigerants were enhanced after adding nanoparticles and the enhancement increases with nanoparticle volume fraction increase. Akhavan-Behabadi et al. [14] did an experimental study on the characteristics of R600a/POE/CuO nanorefrigerants flow during condensation inside a horizontal smooth tube, found that nanorefrigerants can improve the heat transfer rate compared to pure refrigerant. Sun et al. [15,16] studied the four kinds of nanorefrigerant flow boiling heat transfer characteristics in the horizontal tube and micro-screwed tube. They found that nanorefrigerants can enhance the heat transfer coefficient of the base fluid, and that enhancement is thereby increased along with mass fraction, mass flow rate and vapor quality. Afranda et al. [17] studied flow boiling of nanofluids in different flow directions with experimental and simulated method, they found that the contours of vapor volume fraction in horizontal tube were completely different from the vertical tube. Abedini et al. [18] investigated behavior of low concentration nanofluids in a circular channel subjected to a constant heat flux, they found that the nanoparticle type had a small effect on this degradation, while the particle size had more effect on heat transfer coefficient variation. Abedini et al. [19] also studied the subcooled boiling of Al₂O₃-water nanofluid in both vertical concentric annulus and vertical tube, they found that Mixture model can predict axial vapor volume fraction and temperature distribution well.

With increasing the heat transfer coefficient, many researcher also

E-mail address: sunbin@neepu.edu.cn (B. Sun).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2017.11.018

0894-1777/ $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Received 27 February 2017; Received in revised form 8 November 2017; Accepted 20 November 2017 Available online 22 November 2017

Nomenclature		n	nanoparticle
		1	the liquid of refrigerant
Во	boiling number	v	the vapor of refrigerant
$d_{ m in}$	inner diameter (mm)	pre	preheating section
d_{out}	outer diameter (mm)	pre out	outlet of preheating section
F	two phase convective enhancement factor	р	pressure (kPa)
$F_{\rm HT}$	influence factor of nanoparticle	$p_{\rm c}$	critical pressure of R141b (MPa)
G	mass velocities (kg·m ^{-2} ·s ^{-1})	$p_{ m r}$	relative pressure
h	heat transfer coefficient ($W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$)	Pr_1	Prandtl number of liquid
Н	enthalpy (kJ·kg ⁻¹)	Pr_1	Prandtl number of liquid
h_1	single-phase forced convective heat transfer coefficient	Q	heating capacity (W)
	$(W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1})$	$q_{\rm exp}$	heat flux of experimental section ($W \cdot m^{-2}$)
$h_{\rm pool}$	pool boiling heat transfer coefficient ($W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$)	Т	temperature of nanorefrigerant (K)
$h_{\rm tp}$	heat transfer coefficient in Chen model ($W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$)	t _f	average temperature of fluid in experimental section (°C)
k	thermal conductivity ($W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$)	t _{in}	inlet temperature of experimental section (°C)
L	length of experimental section (mm)	t _{out}	outlet temperature of experimental section (°C)
т	mass quality (g)	t _{pre,in}	liquid temperature of inlet preheating section (°C)
Μ	molar mass (g·mol ^{-1})	t _{wi}	inner surface temperature of experimental section (°C)
n	empirical shape factor	t _{wo}	outer surface temperature (°C)
NPT	Normal pressure and temperature	x	vapor quality
		$X_{\rm tt}$	Lockhart-Martinelli parameter
Greek symbols		μ	dynamic viscosity (Pa·s)
		φ	volume fraction
ρ	density (g·cm ⁻³)	$arphi_{ m wt}$	mass fraction
Δx	the change of liquid vapor quality in experimental section	nr	nanorefrigerant
λ	thermal conductivity of copper tube $(W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1})$	in	inlet of experimental section
Ψ	the spherical degree of nanoparticle	out	outlet of experimental section
		exp	experimental section
Subscripts		pre in	inlet of preheating section
r	refrigerant		

investigated the pressure drop of nanorefrigerant. For example, Mahbubul et al. [20–22] studied the flow boiling characteristics of $Al_2O_3/R134a$ and $Al_2O_3/R141b$ nanorefrigerants in the horizontal smooth tube. They found that the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop of nanorefrigerants were bigger than refrigerant in the same condition, and the increment increased with volume fractions. And exist optimum concentration of nanoparticles with refrigerants can

improve the performance of a refrigeration system as to increase the energy efficiency and cooling capacity. Zhou et al. [23] comprehensive studied the flow boiling performance of $Al_2O_3/R141b$ in micro heat exchanger. They found that the heat transfer coefficient is nonlinear with the increase of nanoparticles concentration. And considering the influence of nanoparticles concentration on heat transfer and pressure drop, they used the multi-index comprehensive evaluation method for



1-Preheating section, 2-experimental section, 3-condenser, 4-valve, 5-bypass circuit, 6-centrifugal magnetic pump,

7-turbine flowmeter, 8-exhuast unit, 9-nanorefrigerant filling device, 10-voltage regulator, 11-data acquisition

system, and 12-cooling water

Fig. 1. Schematic of the experimental system. 1-Preheating section, 2-experimental section, 3-condenser, 4-valve, 5-bypass circuit, 6-centrifugal magnetic pump, 7-turbine flowmeter, 8exhuast unit, 9-nanorefrigerant filling device, 10-voltage regulator, 11-data acquisition system, and 12-cooling water. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7051818

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7051818

Daneshyari.com