Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhmt

Review

Experimental study of inverse identification of unsteady heat transfer coefficient in a fin and tube heat exchanger assembly

M. Mobtil*, D. Bougeard, S. Russeil

IMT Lille Douai, Institut Mines Télécom, Energy Engineering Department, F-59508 Douai, France Université de Lille, F-59000 Lille, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 September 2017 Received in revised form 12 February 2018 Accepted 5 April 2018

Keywords: Local heat transfer coefficient Infrared thermography Transient method Circular finned tube heat exchanger Inverse analysis Finite element method

ABSTRACT

An inverse method is used to experimentally determine the transient heat transfer coefficient distribution over the fin of the second row of a staggered finned tube heat exchanger assembly. The experimental test bench uses an infrared thermography system that records the temperature drop of the circular fin surface during a transient experiment. Based on this unsteady temperature field, a specific inverse method has been developed in order to assess the heat transfer coefficient over the fin. The obtained results show the high accuracy of the inverse process in determining heat transfer coefficient spatial distribution which can be viewed as a "constant wall temperature heat transfer coefficient" over given interval in the experimental transient method.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Intro	duction	18		
2.	Experimental apparatus and procedure				
	2.1.	Experimental setup description	20		
	2.2.	Temperature measurement	20		
	2.3.	Experimental procedure	21		
3.	Math	nematical formulation	23		
	3.1.	The direct problem	23		
	3.2.	The inverse problem	23		
		3.2.1. Variable metric method	23		
		3.2.2. Adjoint problem and gradient equation	24		
		3.2.3. Line search method	25		
		3.2.4. Stopping criterion	25		
	3.3.	Computational procedure	25		
	3.4.	Determination of convective heat transfer coefficient	25		
4.	Resul	Its and discussion	27		
	4.1.	Inversion from synthetic data	27		
	4.2.	Inversion with real experimental data	28		
	4.3.	Experimental uncertainties	30		
5.	Concl	lusions	30		
	Confl	ilict of Interest	30		
	Refer	rences	30		

* Corresponding author at: IMT Lille Douai, Institut Mines Télécom, Energy Engineering Department, F-59508 Douai, France.

E-mail address: mohammed.mobtil@imt-lille-douai.fr (M. Mobtil).







HEAT and M/

Nomenclature

c Dt d e_f [E] F	specific heat (J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹) tube diameter (m) direction of descent fin thickness (m) matrix from FEM load column-vector from FEM	$\psi \mathcal{L} \\ \delta \\ \nabla \\ \nabla^2$	adjoint function Lagrangian Dirac delta function gradient operator Laplacian operator
[H] h J [K] [M] n Ns Nt S _Ω T	approximation of the Hessian matrix heat transfer coefficient (W m ⁻² K ⁻¹) cost function stiffness matrix from FEM mass matrix from FEM unit normal vector total number of finite element nodes total number of time steps surface of computation domain temperature (K) time (s) coordinates system (m)	Subscrip conv e f i K m rad ref	ts convection entrance final initial iteration index time step index radiation reference
t x, y		Superscr	ipts estimated values
Greek sy $ ho _{\lambda}$	ymbols density (kg m ⁻³) thermal conductivity (W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹) unknown boundary heat flux (W m ⁻²) computation domain boundary of the computational domain search step size emissivity Stephan–Boltzmann constant (W m ⁻² K ⁻⁴) standard deviation of the measurement errors small real number	★ ′ T	measured values derivative symbol transpose symbol
$\phi \\ \Omega \\ \partial \Omega \\ \eta \\ arepsilon \\ \sigma \\ \sigma_{err} \\ \omega$		Abbrevia DL FEM HSV IR VMM	tions digital level finite element method horseshoe vortex infrared variable metric method

1. Introduction

Heat exchangers play a key role in the operation of many engineering systems such as heat recovery units, process industries or automotive HVAC systems. Their optimization is then of major importance for energy savings and costs decreases. Increasing thermal efficiency of heat exchangers is often achieved through the enhancement of their global airside heat transfer. Today, CFD is an effective tool increasingly used to asses heat exchangers performances [1]. Anyway because of the complex flow structures involved with turbulent flow, transitional phenomena, turbulence spots, CFD approach and particularly RANS modeling is not always as accurate as needed. Experimental validation techniques estimating local distributions of convective flux would support numerical results and significantly help the design of these thermal components.

Fin-and-tube heat exchangers could have quite complex convection coefficient distribution far away from uniform distribution, due to the formation of horseshoe vortices (HSV) at each fin-tube junction [2–5]. These vortical flow structures lead to intensive heat transfer rates whereas recirculation zones downstream the tubes are less efficient. More over, alternative enhancement techniques consisting in generating secondary flows using some vortex generators that strongly modify the fluid flow structure also exist [6,7] and complex the heat transfer coefficient map. Nevertheless, in literature, it is very difficult to find experimental results presenting local heat transfer coefficient in fin-tube heat exchangers with sufficient resolution to detect accurately thermal imprints of convective structures.

A first set of methods consists of steady state experiments performed on test benches with generally prescribed heat flux bound-

ary conditions. In [8], Huang et al. determined heat transfer coefficient distribution in a finned tube assembly using infrared thermography and an inverse scheme. For an other kind of heat exchanger (coiled tube). Bozzoli et al. [9] use an inverse heat conduction formulation to determined local heat transfer coefficient from IR thermography measurements. In both articles, the strong point of inverse techniques is that the estimate does not require a priori information for the functional form of the unknown heat transfer coefficient. The weaknesses of the steady state methods is that a uniform distribution of heat flux has to be generated by Joule effect making the solution sensitive to the prescribed boundary condition. Moreover, experimental measurements are often associated with noise measurement (IR measurements). In this case, the estimation of convective heat exchange at the fluidsolid interface requires a more or less important regularization depending of the value of the conductivity of the conductive material, which is not without consequence on the quality of the inversion (indeed, the higher the conductivity is, the more illposed the inverse problem becomes).

Transient techniques are more often used with simplified heat conduction models in order to find analytical expressions of steady heat transfer coefficient. Several research groups have designed experimental methods to examine local heat transfer rate in tube finned geometries. Tiggelbeck et al. [10], use a thermal transient method that exploits time temperature variation of a thin plate suddenly heated by convection using warm air. The convection coefficient is deduced using a time integration of the energy equation using the three following assumptions: conduction as well as radiation heat fluxes are negligible, and temperature is constant across the plate thickness. This last assumption is correct if the Biot number is under a value of 0.1. Kim et al. [11] used the same Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7053986

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7053986

Daneshyari.com