### International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 125 (2018) 845-857

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhmt

# Gas transport behaviors in shale nanopores based on multiple mechanisms and macroscale modeling



HEAT and M

Qi Zhang<sup>a,\*,1</sup>, Yuliang Su<sup>a</sup>, Wendong Wang<sup>a,1</sup>, Mingjing Lu<sup>a,b</sup>, Guanglong Sheng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Petroleum Engineering, China University of Petroleum (East China), Qingdao, China <sup>b</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 80401, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 January 2018 Received in revised form 24 March 2018 Accepted 24 April 2018

Keywords: Apparent gas permeability Organic and inorganic nanopores Gas transport behaviors Fractured well Shale reservoirs Numerical model

## ABSTRACT

The combined action of multiple transport mechanisms and reservoir characteristics makes gas transport behaviors in nanoporous shale complicated. Accurate apparent gas permeability (AGP) characterization for gas transport in nanopores is crucially essential for macroscale modeling in shale gas reservoirs development. In this study, a new unified AGP model for gas transport in the organic and inorganic nanoporous shale (OM and IM) is presented, incorporating multiple mechanisms, such as real gas effect, viscous-slip flow, Knudsen diffusion, surface diffusion, stress dependence and especially the organic nanopores content. Besides, the effect of multilaver adsorption on gas transport is included. The model is validated by experimental and linearized Boltzmann results and compared with the published AGP models. After that, sensitivity analysis and the contribution of each mechanism to the total AGP are conducted. Moreover, a numerical model for the fractured well in shale based on the presented AGP model and discrete fracture model (DFM) is derived. The finite element method (FEM) is applied to solve the model and then influence factors of gas transport behaviors are discussed. The results show that different transport mechanisms exist in organic and inorganic nanopores respectively. The larger pore radius or pressure causes a smaller ratio of the AGP over the intrinsic permeability. Moreover, the contribution of surface diffusion to the total AGP is significantly influenced by the OM nanopores radius and surface diffusion coefficient. In addition, gas transport is governed by Knudsen diffusion in nanopores with a small radius and low pressure and is controlled by viscous flow under the large pore radius and high-pressure conditions. Then, the presented AGP model is introduced into the macroscale numerical model for a fractured well in shale. Larger hydraulic fracture half-length, OM nanopores content and matrix pore radius as well as smaller natural fracture spacing cause higher gas production. The study provides a new unified AGP model considering gas transport behaviors in nanopores and applies the AGP model to macroscale modeling.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Shale gas with rich reserves, great potential and especially the efficient development in North America and China, has gained an increasing amount of worldwide attention [1]. The distinguishing characteristics of shale gas from conventional resources are mainly caused by the hydrocarbon accumulation mechanism and reserving space types. Multiple mechanisms and reservoir features make gas transport behaviors complicated. Therefore, accurate characterization methods of the apparent gas permeability (AGP) are very significant for the macroscale modeling and productivity predict-

\* Corresponding author.

<sup>1</sup> The two authors contributed equally to this work.

ing in shale reservoirs development. Experimental studies [2,3] (such as AFM and SEM) show that nanopores range in size from 1 to 200 nm (1 nm =  $10^{-9}$  m), and most frequent pore radii are generally smaller than 5 nm. It indicates that the molecular mean free path approaches the shale nanopore size, which makes the gas transport complex and leads to describing it based on Knudsen number (*Kn*) instead of continuity assumption [4]. Meanwhile, typical components of shales including organic matter (OM) and inorganic matter (IM) have been observed. Up to now, the methane transport behaviors in nanoporous shale have been studied by experts using experimental [5–7] and numerical methods [8,9], such as molecular dynamics simulation (MDS) [10,11] and the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) [12]. Several types of AGP models based on *Kn* and microscale pores have been presented for the macroscale flow simulation [4,13–17].

E-mail address: zhangqi6242006@gmail.com (Q. Zhang).

#### Nomenclature

AGP	apparent gas permeability	$V_L$	maximum adsorbed gas volume (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)
AFM	atomic force microscopy	$\Gamma$	gas coverage (dimensionless)
SEIVI	scanning electron microscopy	V	adsorption volume of real gas in OW nanopores (m <sup>-</sup> /Kg)
	organic matter	C	constant related to the net neat of adsorption (dimen-
	inorganic matter		sionless)
MDS	molecular dynamics simulation(s)	$p_0$	saturated adsorption pressure of gas (MPa)
LBIVI	Recideen number (dimensionless)	n m	adsorbed layer number (dimensionless)
кп	Knudsen number (dimensionless)	$p_L$	Langmuir pressure (MPa)
λ	mean free path of gas molecule (m)	Ð	ratio of adsorbed volume to $v_L$ (dimensionless)
r <sub>e_in</sub>	effective inorganic pore radius (m) Poltamena constant (MPa $m^3/K$ )	$D_{\rm s}$	surface diffusion coefficient at $\Gamma_{-0}(m^2/s)$
κ <sub>B</sub> τ	DOILZIIIdIIII COIIStdill, (WPd·III'/K)	$D_{s}$	Sufface diffusion coefficient at $T = 0$ (III /S)
1	pole temperature (K)	$\dot{\rho}_r$	10CK defisity (kg/III)
Z	gas deviation factor (dimensionless)	C mint	adsorbed gas concentration (kg/m <sup>+</sup> )
p s	pole pressure (mra)	m <sub>s</sub>	$(m^2 c)$
0 n	notecular comston diameter (iii)	rin0	$(III \cdot 5))$ mass flux of surface diffusion in OM paperperes $(kg/s)$
$p_{\rm pr}$	critical proceure (MDa)	$m_{s}^{-}$	$(m^2 c)$
$p_c$	cifical pressure (MFa)	Δ	$(III \cdot 5))$
T pr	critical temperature (K)	л n <sup>t</sup>	nanonores number (dimensionless)
	chical temperature (K)	п 	porosity (dimensionless)
μ	gas viscosity ( $kg/m^3$ )	φ	tortuous length of the pape capillary tube (m)
$p_{m^l}$	viscous and slip flow mass flux in IM nanopores	rt m	total mass flux of a paperporous medium $(kg/(m^2 s))$
$m_{vs}$	$(kg/(m^2 \cdot s))$	т <sub>t</sub> ṁ <sup>0</sup>	total mass flux of organic nanoporous (kg/( $m^2$ ·s))
ḿl,	viscous flow mass flux in IM nanopores $(kg/(m^2 \cdot s))$	β	content of organic nanopores (dimensionless)
m <sup>ĭ</sup> s	slip flow mass flux in IM nanopores $(kg/(m^2 \cdot s))$	k	permeability (m <sup>2</sup> )
$\phi_{\rho}^{I}$	effective porosity of IM (dimensionless)	k <sub>o</sub>	intrinsic permeability of a nanoporous medium (m <sup>2</sup> )
M	gas molar mass (kg/mol)	$p_e$	effective stress (MPa)
τ	tortuosity (dimensionless)	r <sub>0_in</sub>	radius of an inorganic nanopore (m)
R	gas universal constant, (J/(mol·K))	r <sub>0_or</sub>	radius of an organic nanopore (m)
$\mu_e$	effective gas viscosity (MPa·s)	$r_0$	original radius of the nanopore (m)
b	slip coefficient (dimensionless)	q	porosity coefficient (dimensionless)
α	rarefaction coefficient (dimensionless)	S	permeability coefficient (dimensionless)
$\dot{m}_k^l$	Knudsen diffusion mass flux in IM nanopores	$k_a$	apparent permeability of nanopores (m <sup>2</sup> )
$\nabla$ in	(kg/(m <sup>2</sup> ·s))	$k_a^O$	apparent permeability of OM nanopores (m <sup>2</sup> )
$\nabla p$	gas pressure gradient (MPa/III)	$k_a^l$	apparent permeability of IM nanopores (m <sup>2</sup> )
D <sub>m</sub> d <sub>f</sub>	fractal dimension of the pore surface (dimensionless)	$k_{\rm s}^{\rm O}$	apparent permeability of surface diffusion in OM (m <sup>2</sup> )
m <sup>́I</sup>	mass flux in IM nanopores $(kg/(m^2 \cdot s))$	$k_{\mu}^{I+O}$	apparent permeability of Knudsen diffusion in
$\omega_{vs}$	weighting coefficient of viscous and slip flow (dimen-	K K	nanopores $(m^2)$
	sionless)	$k_{vs}^{i+0}$	apparent permeability of viscous and slip flow in
$\omega_k$	less)	a.	nanopores (m <sup>2</sup> ) hydraulic fracture aperture (m)
re or	effective organic pore radius (m)	fa	natural fracture spacing $(m)$
$\dot{m}_{i}^{0}$	bulk gas mass flux in OM nanopores $(kg/(m^2 \cdot s))$	f h	natural fracture specing (m)
d <sup>8</sup>	effective porosity of OM (dimensionless)	J_D	natural nactare apertare (m)
$\sigma_m$	pseudo-steady-state shape factor $(1/m^2)$	Cubarin	to
$L_0$	linear length of the nano-capillary tube (m)	E	budraulic fracture
m	mass of nano-capillary tube (kg/m)	Г f	nyuraunt mature
$r'_{\rm or}$	organic pore radius except first adsorption layer (m)	J	
01			

As mentioned above, Knudsen number (*Kn*) represents the relative degree of gas molecules collisions with molecules and pore walls, defined as the ratio of a molecular mean free path to the average pore diameter [18]. Different flow regimes are classified based on *Kn* as shown in Fig. 1. For continuum flow ( $Kn < 10^{-3}$ ), the continuum assumption and Darcy's law are valid for describing the gas transport. For slip flow ( $10^{-3} < Kn < 10^{-1}$ ), gas molecules are affected by pore walls, which causes gas velocity along the pore wall no longer zero, and Darcy's law is invalid. For transition flow ( $10^{-1} < Kn < 10$ ), gas molecules collisions with each other and pore walls result in complex transport behaviors. If pore sizes are small enough (*Kn* > 10), the flow regime should be focused on the molec-

ular scale [19]. Since the Knudsen number ranges from  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  to 6 under shale gas reservoirs conditions [18], continuum flow, slip flow and transition flow coexist for the bulk gas transport. However, depending on the organic nanopores content, adsorbed gas and dissolved gas constitutes about 20–80% of the total gas-in-place in a typical organic-rich shale gas reservoir [20]. Adsorbed gas reduces the effective volumes of organic nanopores and causes the surface diffusion of adsorbed gas molecules under a concentration gradient [21]. Therefore, due to the differences between IM and OM in gas transport mechanisms, a comprehensive AGP model coupling multiple transport mechanisms and pore types should be paid more attention.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7054118

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7054118

Daneshyari.com