



## Transient conjugate heat transfer in critical flow nozzles



Hongbing Ding, Chao Wang\*, Gang Wang

Tianjin Key Laboratory of Process Measurement and Control, School of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 23 May 2016

Received in revised form 28 July 2016

Accepted 7 September 2016

#### Keywords:

Transient conjugate heat transfer  
Supersonic flow  
Shock-induced separation  
Critical flow nozzle  
Isotherms

### ABSTRACT

The body temperature of critical flow nozzle is cooled by expanding cold gas. Subsequently, the thermal boundary layer and throat area are influenced by the conjugate heat transfer at the solid–fluid interface which is called thermal effect. This conjugate heat transfer process in nozzle flow with shock-induced separation were investigated experimentally and numerically, involving three-dimensional wall conduction and fluid convection. Numerical computations solved Reynolds-averaged equations based on SST  $k-\omega$  model coupling with solid-phase heat conduction equation and were validated by some experiments. Three-dimensional separation criteria and the asymmetry of body temperature were investigated. The maximum asymmetry of body temperature appears at  $d = 5.25$  mm and  $p_0 = 4.5$  bar. For this asymmetric flow, the experimental isotherm upstream of separation point obtained by twelve temperature points in different sides is accuracy which is enough to study the thermal effect and downstream isotherm with a maximum error of  $0.5$  °C is mapped merely for reference. At steady-state, minimum temperature point is close to separation point rather than nozzle exit. The body temperature gradually drops with the increase of throat diameter and inlet pressure. The maximum body temperature drop can reach to  $15.0$  °C. The detailed process of conjugate heat transfer was analyzed by Mach contour in fluid region, and isotherm, heatline in solid region. Finally, the dynamic response characteristics, especially thermal time constant of the body temperature were discussed.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Critical flow (supersonic) nozzles are mass flow instruments designed for accurate flow measurement, flow controller and flow limiting in many diverse applications by aerospace, automotive, energy and metrology industries [1,2]. Along with the drop of expanding gas temperature, the nozzle body will be cooled by cold gas. Subsequently, thermal boundary layer [3,4] and throat area are influenced by the conjugate heat transfer at the solid–fluid interface, especially for small- or micro- nozzle. This phenomenon is called thermal effect which had been experimentally investigated by Li [5], Wright [6], Thomas [8], Bignell [9], and Ünsal [10].

The actual mass flow-rate of critical flow nozzle  $Q_m$  is calculated by [6,7]

$$Q_m = [C_d + (C_T - 1)] \frac{C_* (C_\alpha A_t) p_0}{\sqrt{R_m T_0}} \quad (1)$$

where,  $C_d$  and  $C_*$  are discharge coefficient and critical flow factor at adiabatic wall.  $p_0$  and  $T_0$  are inlet stagnation pressure and temperature respectively.  $A_t$  and  $R_m$  represent nozzle area and specific gas

constant.  $C_T$  is correction factor for the thermal boundary layer when body (wall) temperature  $T_w \neq T_0$ .  $C_\alpha$  is correction factor for the thermal deformation of the throat area. When the body temperature  $T_w$  controlled by an electric heater is uniform,  $C_T$  is expressed as  $1 - K Re_t^{-0.5} [\Delta T / T_0]$ , where  $\Delta T = T_w - T_0$ ,  $Re_t$  is throat Reynolds number and  $K$  ranges from 5.05 to 7.05 [6]. Nevertheless, during the normal operation, the body temperature is non-uniform and transient. For the purpose of acquiring accurately the thermal effects on mass flow-rate of the nozzle, the first problem is to figure out the transient conjugate heat transfer in critical flow nozzle.

However, this conjugate heat transfer problem has not yet been thoroughly solved due to the complicated flow pattern with shock-induced separation and various convection heat-transfer coefficient [11]. A large amount of the literatures focus on prediction of the shock structures and separation patterns in supersonic nozzle [12–14]. There are two shock structures, symmetric and asymmetric shocks [15], and two separation patterns, free-shock separation (FSS) and restricted shock separation (RSS) [16], as experimentally and numerically observed by Xiao [15], Hagemann [17], and Ostlund [18] in overexpanded nozzle flow. For predicting the separation location, lots of scholars, such as Summerfield, Kudryavtsev and Romine presented many empirical models for separation criteria [19,20]. Whereas, most studies were performed on conical and truncated ideal nozzles only for FSS [21].

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [wangchao@tju.edu.cn](mailto:wangchao@tju.edu.cn) (C. Wang).

**Nomenclature**

$A$	area, $m^2$	<i>Greek</i>	
$a$	sound speed, $m \cdot s^{-1}$	$\alpha$	thermal diffusivity, $m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$
$Bi$	Biot number, $= hL/\lambda_f$ , –	$\beta$	nozzle diffuser angle, $^\circ$
$C_*$	critical flow factor, –	$\Gamma$	the blending factor, –
$C_d$	discharge coefficient, –	$\gamma$	isentropic exponent, –
$C_f$	skin friction coefficient, –	$\Delta T$	body temperature drop, $= T_w - T_0$ , K
$C_T, C_\alpha$	correction factors, –	$\delta_1$	displacement thickness, m
$C1, T1, L1$	reflected shock, triple point, slip line	$\varepsilon$	dissipation rate, $m^2 \cdot s^{-3}$
$c_p$	isobaric heat capacity, $J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$	$\theta$	temperature difference, $= T - T_\infty$ , K
$d$	nozzle throat diameter, mm	$\lambda$	thermal conductivity, $W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$
$E$	total energy, $= c_p T + u^2/2$ , $J \cdot kg^{-1}$	$\mu$	dynamic viscosity, Pa·s
$F_1, F_2$	the blending functions, –	$\rho$	density, $kg \cdot m^{-3}$
$Fo$	Fourier number, $= \alpha t/L^2$ , –	$\sigma_k, \sigma_\omega$	turbulent Prandtl numbers for $k$ and $\omega$ , –
FSS, RSS	free shock separation, restricted shock separation	$\tau_{ij}$	deviatoric stress tensor, Pa
$G_k, G_\omega$	generation of $k$ and $\omega$ due to mean velocity gradients	$\tau_w$	wall shear stress, Pa
$H$	nozzle height, m	$\tau$	time constant, s
$h$	convective heat-transfer coefficient, $W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$	$\psi$	shock angle, deg
$I$	turbulence intensity, %	$\Omega$	strain rate magnitude, $s^{-1}$
$i$	specific enthalpy, $J \cdot kg^{-1}$	$\omega$	specific dissipation, $s^{-1}$
$K$	a constant slope of $C_T$ , –	<i>Subscripts</i>	
$k$	turbulence kinetic energy, $J \cdot kg^{-1}$	0	stagnation condition
$L$	characteristic length, m	1	main-stream
$Ma$	Mach number, $= u/a$ , –	$a$	ambient
NPR	nozzle pressure ratio, $= p_0/p_a$ , –	$aw$	adiabatic wall
$Pr$	Prandtl number, $= \mu c_p/\lambda$ , –	$cr$	critical condition
$p$	pressure, Pa	$d$	design
$Q_m$	actual mass flow-rate, $kg \cdot s^{-1}$	$e$	nozzle exit
$q_s, q_f$	wall heat flux, $W \cdot m^{-2}$	$eff$	effective
$R_c$	radius of curvature, m	$f$	fluid
$Re$	Reynolds number, –	$HD$	hydraulic diameter
$R_m$	specific gas constant, $J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$	$i, \infty$	initial and final states
$r, \varphi, X$	cylindrical coordinates	$i, j$	tensor notation
$S_u, S_E, S_i$	Source terms for Eqs. (3), (4) and (13)	min, max	minimum, maximum
$St$	Stanton number, –	$p$	plateau
$T$	temperature, K	$ref$	reference
$T_{aw}$	adiabatic wall temperature	$s$	solid, shock
$t$	time, s	$sep$	separation
$u$	velocity, $m \cdot s^{-1}$	$t$	nozzle throat/turbulent
$V$	volume, $m^3$	$w$	nozzle wall (body)
$X, Y, Z$	Cartesian coordinates		
$Y_k, Y_\omega$	dissipations of $k$ and $\omega$ due to turbulence		

Additionally, even though the methodology of conjugate heat transfer is not new, it is only in the last decades that it became more popular due to improvement of computational power and technology [22]. Nowadays, numerical methods have been successfully applied to various fields, such as [23], thermocouple sensor [22], cylindrical tube [24], and supersonic flight [25]. Lin and Kuo [26], Schutte et al. [27], Bilir [28,29], Yang and Tsai [30] made a great deal of numerical researches about transient conjugate heat transfer problems. Besides, there also are several experimental studies on this issue, such as unsteady and conjugate heat transfer in thin liquid-film flows by Mathie [31,32] and Markides et al. [33]. These results could guide our research on critical flow nozzle. Actually, the critical flow nozzle has been investigated by numerical simulation [34].

The present study focused on the transient conjugate heat transfer of supersonic flow with shock-induced separation in critical flow nozzle, using experimental, theoretical, and computational approaches. A three-dimensional fluid solver based on shear-stress transport (SST)  $k-\omega$  model for supersonic nozzle flow was coupled with a solid-phase heat transfer solver. The simulation was in agreement with experimental data. More details about

shock-induced separation criteria and the characteristics of conjugate heat transfer in critical flow nozzle were presented.

## 2. Problem description

According to regulation of ISO 9300 [35], the geometry of critical flow nozzle (3D) is rotationally symmetric and it has a convergent inlet with radius of curvature twice throat diameter  $R_c = 2d$  followed by a conical outlet with constant diffuser angle  $\beta$ . The heat and fluid flows are shown in Fig. 1.

### 2.1. Shock separation pattern

At moderate nozzle pressure ratio (NPR), a shock occurs inside the nozzle and the downstream flow will separate from the nozzle wall (separation point). Two possible structures, symmetric and asymmetric shocks can be observed in separation flow, as shown in Fig. 2.

Summerfield [36] firstly reported that the flow separation in a planar nozzle was asymmetric at low NPR, but no model was pre-

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7055034>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7055034>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)