



# A computational study of mixed convective heat and mass transfer from a shrouded vertical non-isothermal fin array during dehumidification process



Asis Giri\*, Kankan Kishore Pathak, Biplab Das

Department of Mechanical Engineering, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology, Itanagar 791109, India

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 July 2014

Received in revised form 16 July 2015

Accepted 21 July 2015

### Keywords:

Mixed convection

Dehumidification

Non-isothermal fin

Pressure drop

Nusselt number

## ABSTRACT

A computational study is made on simultaneous heat and mass transfer from a shrouded vertical non-isothermal finite thickness fin array representing dehumidification process undergoing mixed convection. Governing equations involve number of parameters, namely, dimensionless fin spacing ( $0.1 \leq S^* \leq 0.5$ ), dimensionless clearance ( $0 \leq t_c^* \leq 0.2$ ), non-dimensional fin conductance parameter ( $2830.18 \leq \Omega \leq 5660.37$ ), thermal Grashof number ( $1.04 \times 10^5 \leq Gr_t \leq 4.82 \times 10^5$ ), mass Grashof number ( $2.9 \times 10^4 \leq Gr_m \leq 1.36 \times 10^5$ ), and dimensionless inlet mixed convection velocities ( $1123 \leq W_{in,mix} \leq 2879$ ). Induced velocity is decoupled from the mixed convection velocity and correlated with the governing parameters. Fin temperature distribution shows significant variation along the fin height as well as along the axial direction, especially near the entrance. Local thermal Nusselt number and local condensing Nusselt number show monotonic decreasing trend along the axial direction. Finally induced velocity, pressure drop, overall thermal Nusselt number, and overall condensing Nusselt number is correlated with the governing parameters.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The process of dehumidification/humidification finds numerous applications in refrigeration and air-conditioning, chemical process industries, cryogenic, thermal power plant, etc. Dehumidification can be achieved by elevating a surface to a temperature lower than the dew point temperature of the surrounding. On the other hand, humidification may be accomplished by passing hot gas over a liquid film maintained at a temperature lower than the hot gas being passed, provided gas is under saturated with the vapor of liquid undergoing evaporation. The aforesaid processes involve simultaneous heat and mass transfer, which make the topic very complicated, but is worth to study. Therefore, better understanding of the dehumidification/humidification process is a topic of interest by many researchers. It is a common practice to use extended surface to augment heat and mass transport, since extended surfaces provide supplementary surface area. Thus, addition of extended surface in the process of dehumidification will provide a resort to the efficient dehumidification.

McQuiston [1] analytically examined moisture condensation on a fin with one dimensional heat conduction model by assuming of

a linear relationship between specific humidity and dry bulb temperature and obtained a closed form expression of fin efficiency in terms of geometric and thermo-physical parameters of fin. Mass transfer coefficient is estimated from Lewis relation (i.e.,  $h_m = h/C_p$ ). Results indicate that fin efficiency decreases with the relative humidity. Following McQuiston [1], Elmahdy and Biggs [2] analytically studied moisture condensation on annular disc fin assigning linear variation of specific humidity with temperature assuming one-dimensional fin conduction model. No closed form solution is obtained. Therefore, simple one dimensional equation is solved numerically, which provides a data base of fin efficiency. It is found that fin efficiency decreases with relative humidity corroborating the work of McQuiston [1]. Later Wu and Bong [3], examined the performance of rectangular fin undergoing simultaneous heat and mass transfer assigning mass transfer to Chilton-Colburn analogy. Model accommodates both wet fin and partially wet fin. However, following McQuiston's model, Wu and Bong [3] assumed that driving potential of mass transfer (i.e., specific humidity) is related linearly with the dry bulb temperature. Noticeable difference in fin efficiency is observed between McQuiston model with that obtained from Wu and Bong [3]. Result of fin efficiency agrees close to Threlkeld [4] and indicates fin efficiency variation with relative humidity relatively lower than that obtained from McQuiston [1]. Recently, Sharqawy and Zubair

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 360 2257401x6191; fax: +91 360 2258533.

E-mail address: [measisgiri@rediffmail.com](mailto:measisgiri@rediffmail.com) (A. Giri).

## Nomenclature

$A_c$	cross-sectional area of fin geometry, $S(H + t_c) + t_f \times t_c$	$t_f^*, t_{f1}^*$	dimensionless fin thickness and half fin thickness respectively, $t_f/H, 0.5t_f/H$
$c_{p,ma}$	constant pressure specific heat of moist air (J/kg K)	$u, v, w$	velocity components in x, y and z directions (m/s)
$c_{p,w}$	constant pressure specific heat of water vapor (J/kg K)	$U, V, W$	dimensionless velocities in X, Y and Z directions, $uH/v, vH/v, wH/v$
$D$	mass diffusivity ( $m^2/s$ )	$x, y, z$	cross stream and axial coordinates (m)
$g$	gravitational acceleration ( $m/s^2$ )	$X, Y, Z$	dimensionless cross stream and axial coordinates, $x/H, y/H, z/H$
$H$	fin height (m)		
$Gr_t$	thermal Grashof number, $g\beta_t(T_0 - T_w)H^3/\nu^2$ (dimensionless)		
$Gr_m$	mass Grashof number, $g\beta_m(\omega_0 - \omega_w)H^3/\nu^2$ (dimensionless)		
$h$	heat transfer coefficient ( $W/m^2 K$ )	<b>Greeks</b>	
$h_{fg}$	enthalpy of condensation (J/kg)	$\alpha$	thermal diffusivity ( $m^2/s$ )
$h_m$	mass transfer coefficient ( $kg/m^2 s$ )	$\beta_m$	solutal volumetric expansion coefficient, $-(1/\rho_0)(\partial\rho_{ma}/\partial\omega) \approx M_{da}/M_w - 1$ (dimensionless)
$Ja$	Jacob number, $c_{p,w}(T_0 - T_w)/h_{fg}$ (dimensionless)	$\beta_t$	thermal volumetric expansion coefficient, $-(1/\rho_0)(\partial\rho_{ma}/\partial T) = 1/T_0$ (1/K)
$k_{fin}$	fin thermal conductivity ( $W/m K$ )	$\Delta T$	scaling temperature difference, $(T_0 - T_w)$ (K)
$k$	fluid thermal conductivity ( $W/m K$ )	$\Delta\omega$	scaling mass fraction difference, $(\omega_w - \omega_0)$ (dimensionless)
$L$	fin length (m)	$\rho$	density/partial density ( $kg/m^3$ )
$L^*$	dimensionless fin length ( $L/H$ )	$\theta$	dimensionless temperature, $(T_0 - T)/(T_0 - T_w)$
$M_{da}$	relative molecular mass of dry air (=28.9645 kg/kmol)	$\nu$	momentum diffusivity ( $m^2/s$ )
$M_w$	relative molecular mass of water vapor (=18.01527 kg/kmol)	$\chi$	scaled mass fraction difference, $(\omega_0 - \omega)/(\omega_0 - \omega_w)$
$Nu$	Nusselt number (dimensionless)	$\omega$	mass fraction of water vapor, $\rho_w/\rho_{ma}$
$p$	local pressure defect, $p_s - p_0$ (Pa)	$\Omega$	non-dimensional fin conductivity, $k_{fin}/k$
$p_s$	static pressure (Pa)		
$p_0$	ambient pressure, $\int_0^z \rho_0 g dz$ (Pa)	<b>Subscripts</b>	
$P^*$	dimensionless axial pressure defect, $pH^2/\rho_0\nu^2$	$b$	bulk
$Pr$	Prandtl number, $\nu/\alpha$ (dimensionless)	$c$	condensate
$R_b$	Buoyancy ratio, $Gr_m/Gr_t$ (dimensionless)	$d$	dry
$r_c$	Temperature ratio, $T_0/\Delta T$ (dimensionless)	$da$	dry air
$Re$	Reynolds number, $W_{in,force}H/\nu$ (dimensionless)	$f$	fin
$S$	fin spacing (m)	$ma$	moist air
$S^*$	dimensionless fin spacing, $S/H$	$0$	ambient/reference
$Sc$	Schmidt Number, $\nu/D$ (dimensionless)	$s$	shroud
$T$	temperature (K)		
$t_c$	fin tip to shroud clearance (m)		
$t_c^*$	dimensionless tip clearance, $t_c/H$		
$t_f$	fin thickness (m)		

[5] visited the problem of straight fin with rectangular profile, triangular profile, convex parabolic profile, and concave parabolic profile assuming mass transfer coefficient to obey Chilton-Colburn analogy following Wu and Bong [3]. In all cases, closed form solutions are obtained. All the aforesaid articles consider linear relationship between specific humidity and temperature. Recalling psychrometric chart, it may easily be identified that specific humidity is hardly linear. Therefore, results in the articles [1–5] cannot be used for the large temperature range. However, in small temperature range, this result may be considered useful. To overcome this difficulty, Kundu [6] recently examined the performance of wet fin with a polynomial relationship between humidity ratio and temperature. However, Chilton-Colburn analogy is used to relate heat and mass transfer coefficient. Introduction of non-linear relationship of specific humidity with temperature, fin conduction equation becomes non-linear, which is then solved Adomian decomposition method. Results of Kundu [6] find deviation from other authors [1–5], but it is more close to Sharqawy and Zubair [5]. Xu et al. [7] reexamined the McQuiston model including the effect of condensate film moving on fin surface. Results indicate significant deviation whenever condensate rate is high. Kilic and Onat [8] observe optimum thickness of fin by an analytical means, in which mass transfer coefficient is assumed constant, while specific humidity responsible mass transfer on the fin surface is evaluated from relation. Toner

et al. [9] made a comparison of rectangular fin with triangular fin under condensing condition. It uncovers that triangular fin provides better heat transport characteristics presumably due to increased surface area available in triangular fin.

Analytical studies mentioned above all assumed constant heat transfer coefficient. Thus, it does not talk about fluid flow condition (i.e., laminar or turbulent flow) over fin surface. Further, it is found in literature [10] that fin heat transfer coefficient varies significantly along the fin height. Therefore the assumption of constant heat transfer coefficient is questionable. Karvinen et al. [11] made a balance between conductive heat transfer through fin with convective heat flux over the fin surface, where convective heat transfer is estimated by a relation provided in [12] for an isothermal surface. Thus, fin conduction equation becomes non-linear, which is solved by numerical technique. Numerical results predict relatively better than closed form analytical model, when results are compared with the experiment. However, results differ considerably with the experimental finding whenever relative humidity is high. Authors [11] also developed analytical model including radiation effect assuming constant heat and mass transfer coefficient. But the analytical result deviates more from experimental results. Lin et al. [13] reported experimental finding of wet rectangular plate fin. Dropwise condensation is noted in the flow visualization. However, dropwise condensation is prominent near the boundary of dry-wet region of fin. Away from the boundary of dry-wet

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7056166>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7056166>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)