Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhmt

Performance analysis and feasibility study of ant colony optimization, particle swarm optimization and cuckoo search algorithms for inverse heat transfer problems



IEAT and M

Udayraj^a, Konica Mulani^b, Prabal Talukdar^{a,*}, Apurba Das^c, R. Alagirusamy^c

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India ^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Harcourt Butler Technological Institute, Kanpur, UP 208002, India

^c Department of Textile Technology, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 December 2014 Received in revised form 15 March 2015 Accepted 1 May 2015

Keywords: Ant colony optimization Particle swarm optimization Cuckoo search Inverse heat transfer Regularization

ABSTRACT

In the present work, three recently developed metaheuristic algorithms (ant colony optimization, cuckoo search and particle swarm optimization) are examined for a class of heat transfer problems. Unknown boundary heat fluxes are estimated for conduction, convection and coupled conduction-radiation problems. Direct problems are solved to determine temperature distribution assuming known boundary heat flux. Inverse method is then used to estimate boundary heat flux with the help of the temperature previously determined from the direct problem. To replicate experimental error, effect of noise on temperature data is introduced to examine the robustness of all the algorithms. Effect of time step size and regularization are studied. It is found that all the algorithms are promising and can be used for this class of inverse heat transfer problems. Performance of all the algorithms is comparable. Efficiency of the three algorithms is compared in terms of CPU time. Ant colony optimization algorithms for all the considered heat transfer problems. All the algorithms are also applied to estimate diffusion coefficient of a food material (mushroom) using experimental data.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Inverse heat transfer has emerged as an important engineering tool in the recent past. Difficulties in direct measurement of heat flux and temperature at various complicated locations and extreme conditions (re-entry vehicle, combustion chamber) in actual situations and associated high cost; complexities in measuring heat transfer coefficient, thermo-physical and radiative properties (if not impossible), attracted researchers towards the inverse heat transfer. Inverse heat transfer problems are ill-posed and hence requires special methods. Various methods like iterative regularization [1], conjugate gradient iterative algorithm [2,3], function specification method [4,5], combined regularization and function estimation method [6,7] and filtering techniques [8–10] have been used to obtain stable solution. These conventional methods are very efficient computationally and applied to almost all types of problems [11]. Many books dealing with such methods are available [3,12,13].

 $http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.05.015\\0017-9310/@ 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

Although these conventional methods are efficient, it was found that some of these conventional methods are not able to converge to the global optimum. Apart from this, conventional methods requires information regarding the gradients (which are sometimes hard to determine or involves more computational time) and are problem specific. Situations can become complex when problem involves non-linearity and large number of parameters [12]. It is very hard (if not impossible) to apply such methods in problems involving shape optimization of heat exchangers [14], discrete optimal locations of the heat sources [15,16] and size and location of tumors [17,18]. Stochastic search based methods (Genetic algorithm, ant colony optimization, particle swarm optimization, simulated annealing, etc.) with a suitable "governing mechanism" leading to the optimal solution are good alternatives. These methods usually does not suffer with the problem of local convergence. Moreover, these methods are blind (not problem specific) and gradient information is not required. Hence, these methods are more robust. Computational time is of course a concern for these methods [16] but with ever growing computational power, parallelization [19], modifications [20–22] and development of hybrid methods [23-25], these methods can substitute

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 11 26596337. E-mail address: prabal@mech.iitd.ac.in (P. Talukdar).

Nomenclature

C_2 c_p social learning coefficient c_p specific heat, J/kg K Γ Gamma function D_{eff} eff effective moisture diffusivity, m²/s ρ density, kg/m³ G G incident radiation, W/m² κ absorption coefficient, m ⁻¹ GE $number of generations\lambdaLevy exponenthhheight of channel, m\thetapolar angle (rad)IIradiative intensity, W/m² srIJobjective function, defined by Eq. (16)\phiphase functionITmaximum iterations in ACO algorithmmoisture content, kg/kg\sigma_sscattering coefficient (m-1),\sigma_sscattering coefficient (m-1),\sigma_sRIII$		ognitive coefficient	β	evaporation rate in ACO algorithm; extinction coefficient (m^{-1}) in Eq. (14)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Г	
G^{-1} incident radiation, W/m^2 κ absorption coefficient, m^{-1} GE number of generations λ Levy exponent h height of channel, m θ polar angle (rad) l radiative intensity, W/m^2 sr φ azimuthal angle (rad) J objective function, defined by Eq. (16) ϕ phase function IT maximum iterations in ACO algorithm σ_s scattering coefficient (m^{-1}), k thermal conductivity, W/m K σ standard deviation in measurements, °C L length of domain, m ω random number from normal distribution m moisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameter N number of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo ω random number from normal distribution R number of particles b blackbody q heat flux, W/m^2 e equilibrium R Average relative error, $\%$ ininlet \vec{r} position vector m mean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensity max t time, s min minimum T temperature, °C o initial v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition V inertial weight K $max maximum$ T temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE G			-	
GE number of generations λ Levy exponenthheight of channel, m θ polar angle (rad)Iradiative intensity, W/m^2 sr φ azimuthal angle (rad)Jobjective function, defined by Eq. (16) ϕ phase functionITmaximum iterations in ACO algorithm σ_s scattering coefficient (m^{-1}),kthermal conductivity, $W/m K$ σ standard deviation in measurements, °CLlength of domain, m ω random number from normal distributionMmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm)SubscriptsPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m^2 eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormaxmaximumsunit vector in the direction of radiative intensityminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionWinertial weightx, ycoordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations			•	
hheight of channel, m θ polar angle (rad)Iradiative intensity, W/m² sr φ azimuthal angle (rad)Jobjective function, defined by Eq. (16) ϕ phase functionITmaximum iterations in ACO algorithm σ_s scattering coefficient (m ⁻¹),kthermal conductivity, W/m K σ standard deviation in measurements, °CLlength of domain, m ω random number from normal distributionMmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm)SubscriptsPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m²eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weightx, ycoordinate directionsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17)Superscripts GEGEgenerations Ssensor				-
Iradiative intensity, W/m^2 sr φ azimuthal angle (rad)Jobjective function, defined by Eq. (16) ϕ phase functionITmaximum iterations in ACO algorithm σ_s scattering coefficient (m ⁻¹),kthermal conductivity, $W/m K$ σ standard deviation in measurements, °CLlength of domain, m ω random number from normal distributionMmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm)SubscriptsPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m^2 eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17)Superscripts GEgenerations SSsensorsensor				
Jobjective function, defined by Eq. (16) ϕ phase functionITmaximum iterations in ACO algorithm σ_s scattering coefficient (m^{-1}) ,kthermal conductivity, W/m K σ standard deviation in measurements, °CLlength of domain, m σ random number from normal distributionMmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo γ regularization parameterPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m ² eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionWinertial weight x, y coordinate directions $Superscripts$ Ytemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) $Superscripts$ GEgenerationsSsensor				
ITmaximum iterations in ACO algorithm σ_s scattering coefficient (m^{-1}) ,kthermal conductivity, W/m K σ standard deviation in measurements, °CLlength of domain, m ω random number from normal distributionMmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm) γ regularization parameterPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m ² eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximumttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity, m/s R radiativevparticle velocity, m/s R radiativex, ycoordinate directions F SuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations				
kthermal conductivity, W/m K σ standard deviation in measurements, °CLlength of domain, m ω random number from normal distributionMmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm) γ regularization parameterPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m ² eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximumttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weightSuperscriptsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17)SuperscriptsGEgenerationsSsensor			,	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-	
Mmoisture content, kg/kg γ regularization parameterNnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm) γ regularization parameterPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m²eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximumttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weight x, y coordinate directions $Superscripts$ Ytemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) $Superscripts$ GE generationsSsensor			σ	
Nnumber of ants (ACO algorithm) or number of cuckoo (CS algorithm)SubscriptsPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m^2 eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximumttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weight x, y coordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generationsSsensorsensorSensor			ω	
(CS algorithm)SubscriptsPnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m^2 eequilibriumREAverage relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximumttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weight x, y coordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations			γ	regularization parameter
Pnumber of particlesbblackbodyqheat flux, W/m^2 bblackbodyREAverage relative error, %eequilibrium \vec{r} position vectorininlet \vec{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximumttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weight x, y coordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations				
q heat flux, W/m^2 e equilibrium RE Average relative error, $%$ in inlet \vec{r} position vector m mean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensity max maximum t time, s min minimum T temperature, $°C$ o initial u velocity in x-direction, m/s R radiative v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition w inertial weight $Superscripts$ $Superscripts$ Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) $Superscripts$ GE $generations$ S sensor	· · ·	6 ,	Subscri	pts
RE Average relative error, %ininlet \vec{r} position vectorininlet \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximum t time, sminminimum T temperature, °Coinitial u velocity in x-direction, m/sRradiative v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition w inertial weight x, y coordinate directionsSuperscripts Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations S sensorsensor			b	blackbody
\vec{r} position vectormmean \hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensitymaxmaximum t time, sminminimum T temperature, °Coinitial u velocity in x-direction, m/sRradiative v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition w inertial weight ∞ represents surrounding condition x, y coordinate directionsSuperscripts Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations S sensor			е	equilibrium
\hat{s} unit vector in the direction of radiative intensity max $maximum$ t time, s min $minimum$ T temperature, °C o $initial$ u velocity in x-direction, m/s R $radiative$ v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition w inertial weight ∞ represents surrounding condition x, y coordinate directionsSuperscripts Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generations S sensor	A	verage relative error, %	in	inlet
ttime, sminminimumTtemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weight ∞ represents surrounding conditionycoordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generationsSsensorsensor	p [,]	osition vector	т	mean
Ttemperature, °Coinitialuvelocity in x-direction, m/sRradiativevparticle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding conditionwinertial weight ∞ represents surrounding conditionx, ycoordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE generationsSsensorsensor	u	nit vector in the direction of radiative intensity	max	maximum
u velocity in x-direction, m/s R radiative v particle velocity, m/s ∞ represents surrounding condition w inertial weight ∞ represents surrounding condition x, y coordinate directions Superscripts Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) Superscripts S sensor	ti	me, s	min	minimum
v particle velocity, m/s x represents surrounding condition w inertial weight ∞ represents surrounding condition x, y coordinate directionsSuperscripts Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) GE $generations$ S S sensor	te	emperature, °C	0	initial
winertial weightrepresente currenting containedx, ycoordinate directionsSuperscriptsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17)GEGEgenerationsSsensor	V	elocity in <i>x</i> -direction, m/s	R	radiative
winertial weightx, ycoordinate directionsYtemperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17)GEgenerationsSsensor	p	article velocity, m/s	∞	represents surrounding condition
Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) Superscripts GE generations S sensor	ir	nertial weight		1 0
Y temperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17) <i>GE</i> generations <i>S</i> sensor	y co	pordinate directions	Superscripts	
S sensor	te	emperature with noise, °C, defined in Eq. (17)		•
				0
	eek svmh	ols	5	3011301
α narrowing parameter in ACO algorithm	5			

the conventional methods. Growing interest in metaheuristic algorithms in the field of heat transfer (including inverse heat transfer) is already evident from almost ten percent increase in the articles published using such methods in the last decade [26].

Various algorithms were developed in the past like ant colony optimization (ACO), particle swarm optimization (PSO), genetic algorithm (GA), cuckoo search (CS), artificial bee colony optimization (ABC) etc. based on foraging behavior of species, swarm intelligence, natural selection etc. These are called nature-inspired algorithms as nature is in the heart of all of the above mentioned algorithms and provides the necessary governing mechanism to efficiently explore the search space. Among these. biologically-inspired, swarm intelligence based algorithms is of primary interest here. Nature is perfectly designed and so the species living in it. All of the species (and we 'human') are provided with the capabilities (best required characteristics) which help us to survive under present conditions. If required, with changing environmental conditions these species can evolve as per the conditions over a period of time. Researchers studied the behavior of many species around us over the decades and extracted the best from the nature to optimize our real life problems by developing various algorithms.

ACO was proposed and initially developed by Marco Dorigo and colleagues in early nineties [27,28] based on the foraging behavior and concept of exploitation of the shortest path by the ants. Ants leave a chemical substance (pheromone) while traveling from ant-hill to food source and it is the intensity of pheromone which governs motions of the whole community. Subsequently, intensity of pheromone becomes very high along the shortest path and all of them converge to the same. Good review related to origin, development and application areas of ACO is presented by Blum [29]. Over the years it has been successfully used for various types of problems like traveling salesman problem [28,30,31] routing problems [32] and many industrial problems [33–35].

It is only very recently that ACO attracted people working in thermal sciences and they started analyzing feasibility of it in the field of heat transfer. It has been used to estimate unknown boundary conditions- heat flux [36] and heat transfer coefficient [37] for heat conduction problem. Zhang et al. [38,39] used ACO in 1-D coupled radiation-conduction case to estimate thermal conductivity, scattering coefficient and absorption coefficient of a medium. FVM was used to simultaneously solve energy equation and radiative transfer equation (RTE).

The cuckoos are species of birds which never build their own nest and cleverly utilize other bird species' nest for development and care of its young ones. Female cuckoos are specialized in laying eggs similar to host bird in their nest and replace one of the eggs of the host bird with their egg within seconds. This way young cuckoo enjoys the motherhood of the host species except for few odd cases when host bird somehow identifies the cuckoo's egg. In the later case, host bird either throws the cuckoo egg out of their nest or permanently abandon the nest to build a new one. Based on this interesting and rather awkward way of survival of the cuckoo species, Yang and Deb [40] in 2009 developed an optimization algorithm known as cuckoo search (CS) algorithm.

Cuckoo search has been applied successfully since then in various fields [41] ranging from mechanical design [42–44], structural design optimization [45,46] and optimization of machining

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7056566

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7056566

Daneshyari.com