



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Particuology

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/partic](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/partic)



# Direct calculation of voidage in the fine-grid CFD–DEM simulation of fluidized beds with large particles

Lihua Wang, Jie Ouyang\*, Chuntao Jiang

Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710129, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 12 June 2017  
Received in revised form  
15 September 2017  
Accepted 22 November 2017  
Available online xxx

### Keywords:

Voidage  
Direct calculation  
Computational fluid dynamics–discrete  
element method  
Fluidized beds

## ABSTRACT

Voidage is important in determining the hydrodynamic behavior of a fluidized bed and estimating the drag force. Exact calculation methods are limited, especially in terms of determining the intersection of a particle and cell. This paper presents a method of directly calculating voidage. First, a judgment criterion of particle–cell overlap, which relies on the relationship of the distance from the particle to a cell face, edge, or vertex, is proposed. Eight cases of the overlap volume of a particle and cell are then ascribed to a unified formula in the framework of the cuboid cell. This formula relies on the volume of two kinds of segments named the hemispherical segment and quarter-spherical segment. The presented method is validated by calculating the voidage of simple cubic packing. Moreover, a three-dimensional fluidized bed with large particles is simulated and the results of numerical simulation are compared against experimental and simulation results reported in the literature. All numerical results are in good agreement with corresponding experimental data, and demonstrate the accuracy and reliability of the presented method in the three-dimensional simulation of fluidized beds.

© 2018 Chinese Society of Particuology and Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

The combination of computational fluid dynamics and the discrete element method (CFD–DEM) has been shown to well predict gas–solid flows in recent years (Ebrahimi & Crapper, 2017; Link, Cuypers, Deen, & Kuipers, 2005; Tsuji, Yabumoto, & Tanaka, 2008; Tsuji, Kawaguchi, & Tanaka, 1993; Xu & Yu, 1997; Xu, Zhong, Yuan, & Yu, 2017; Xu, Ge, & Li, 2007; Zhu, Zhou, Yang, & Yu, 2008). The two phases are coupled by the fluid–particle force (i.e., drag force), which is computed using a correlation. In CFD–DEM simulations, one critical parameter is the voidage, which not only is incorporated in the governing equations of the gas phase but also affects the accuracy of the drag force. The accurate calculation of voidage is thus essential.

The voidage  $\varepsilon_g$  defined as the ratio of the gas volume within one grid cell is often calculated according to the volume occupied by the solid phase (i.e.,  $\varepsilon_g = (V_{\text{cell}} - V_{\text{solid}}) / V_{\text{cell}} = 1.0 - \varepsilon_s$ , where  $V_{\text{cell}}$  is the volume of the computational cell,  $V_{\text{solid}}$  is the sum of all particle volumes in the cell, and  $\varepsilon_s$  is the solid volume fraction). Different methods have been applied to compute the voidage:

e.g., the particle centroid method (PCM) (Alobaid & Eppe, 2013; Alobaid, Ströhle, & Eppe, 2013; Wu, Ouyang, Yang, & Li, 2013; Zhao & Shan, 2013), non-analytical method (Freireich, Kodam, & Wassgren, 2010; Hilton, Mason, & Cleary, 2010; Khawaja, Scott, Virk, & Moatamedi, 2012; Link et al., 2005; Natsui et al., 2011; Okaya, Sadaki, & Fujita, 2008), and analytical method.

Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages. The PCM has a lower computational cost as it only requires searching of the particle centroid host cell. However, the PCM may introduce errors as high as 50% (Peng, Doroodchi, Luo, & Moghtaderi, 2014) when the particle centroid is near the cell boundary and the cell is not large enough. Such large errors eventually result in an incorrect voidage and impractical behavior in simulation. Nonanalytical methods can handle complex domains and/or nonspherical particles with the sacrifice of accuracy.

Analytical methods have been proposed to accurately calculate voidage, where the actual volume of particles in each cell is exactly calculated by means of the geometrical relationships between the particles and cell. Hoomans, Kuipers, Briels, and Van Swaaij (1996) accounted for partial areas using square cells with circular particles and used a formula for translation from two-dimensional (2D) porosity to three-dimensional (3D) porosity in their 2D simulations of a fluidized bed. Wu and Ouyang (2015) proposed a 3D porosity model in fine-grid DEM simulation. 3D analytical expressions for

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [jieouyang@nwpu.edu.cn](mailto:jieouyang@nwpu.edu.cn) (J. Ouyang).

**Nomenclature**

$C_d$	drag coefficient
$d_p$	particle diameter (m)
$F_c$	collision force (N)
$F_p$	momentum exchange term (N/m <sup>3</sup> )
$\mathbf{g}$	gravity vector (m/s <sup>2</sup> )
$l_{fij}$	distance from the center of the $i$ th particle to the $j$ th face (m)
$l_{eik}$	distance from the center of the $i$ th particle to the $k$ th edge (m)
$l_{vik}$	distance from the center of the $i$ th particle to the $l$ th vertex (m)
$l_{fx}, l_{fy}, l_{fz}$	distances from the particle centroid to the cell faces that correspond to the $x$ , $y$ , and $z$ axes (m)
$l_{ex}, l_{ey}, l_{ez}$	distances from the particle centroid to the cell edges that correspond to the $x$ , $y$ , and $z$ axes (m)
$l_v$	distance from the particle centroid to the cell vertex (m)
$m_p$	mass of particle (kg)
$\mathbf{n} = (A, B, C)$	normal vector of the $j$ th face
$p$	pressure (Pa)
$Re$	particle Reynolds number
$R$	particle radius (m)
$X_i, Y_i, Z_i$	center coordinates of the $i$ th particle
$x_{il}, y_{il}, z_{il}$	vertex coordinates of the main cell containing the $i$ th particle
$\mathbf{u}$	gas velocity (m/s)
$V_{\text{cell}}$	volume of the gas cell (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_{fx}, V_{fy}, V_{fz}$	volumes of the spherical segment along the $x$ , $y$ , and $z$ axes (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_{ex}, V_{ey}, V_{ez}$	volumes of the hemispherical segments that correspond to the $x$ , $y$ , and $z$ axes (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_f$	sum of the volume of spherical segments belonging to one sphere (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_e$	sum of the volume of hemispherical segments belonging to one sphere (m <sup>3</sup> )
$\mathbf{v}_p$	particle velocity (m/s)
$V_p$	exact partial volume inside the main cell (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_{\text{sphere}}$	volume of particle (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_{\text{solid}}$	sum of all particle volumes in the gas cell (m <sup>3</sup> )
$V_v$	volume of the quarter-spherical segment (m <sup>3</sup> )

**Greek symbols**

$\rho_g$	gas density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
$\tau_g$	viscosity stress tensor (Pa)
$\mu_g$	gas viscosity (kg/(m s))
$\beta$	interphase momentum exchange coefficient (N/m <sup>3</sup> )
$\varepsilon_g$	ratio of the gas volume within one grid cell
$\varepsilon_s$	solid volume fraction
$\varepsilon_{\text{sphere}}$	ratio of the spherical volume within one grid cell
$\varepsilon_f$	ratio of the spherical segment volume within one grid cell
$\varepsilon_e$	ratio of the hemispherical segment volume within one grid cell
$\varepsilon_v$	ratio of the quarter-spherical segment volume within one grid cell

**Superscripts and subscripts**

$x, y, z$	$x, y, z$ axes
$i$	$i$ th particle
$s$	solid
$p$	particle
$n$	number of particles in a cell

$j, k, l$	indices of cell faces, edges, and vertices
$ij, ik, il$	center of the $i$ th particle to the $j$ th cell face, $k$ th cell edge, $l$ th cell vertex

**Abbreviations**

SCP	simple cubic packing
PCM	particle centroid method

each scenario of the particle–cell geometrical relation in structured rectangular cells were detailed by Peng, Doroodchi et al. (2014). However, they mainly discussed the effect of the cell size selection and the corresponding method for voidage calculation. An analytical method for the cell void fraction on 2D and 3D unstructured meshes was developed by (Wu, Berrouk, & Nandakumar, 2009; Wu, Zhan, Li, Lam, & Berrouk, 2009) for the discrete particle model. However, the implementation of their approach was difficult and time consuming.

To capture the mesoscale structure of gas–solid fluidized beds, the grid size used in the numerical simulation should be sufficiently small (Wang, Van der Hoef, & Kuipers, 2009). There is thus a need to develop a method of accurately calculating the voidage in fine-grid CFD–DEM simulations. The present paper proposes an easily implemented direct method of calculating voidage. The case of particle–cell overlap is important to the accurate calculation of voidage. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no study on how to judge the particle–cell overlap. The present work therefore gives a judgment criterion of spherical particle cell overlap derived from the distance relationship of nodes, lines, and planes. Eight cases of particle cuboid cell overlap are then demonstrated. The solutions of all cases of the overlap volume of the particle and cell are ascribed to a unified formula by the combination relations of a partial sphere, which requires the volumes of two kinds of special segments. To test the validity of the presented method, the voidage of the unit cell of simple cubic packing (SCP) is solved and compared with the analytical and PCM results. Moreover, a 3D fluidized bed with large particles is used to illustrate the extended application and accuracy of the presented method.

**Direct calculation of voidage**

The following analysis assumes the particle to be a sphere with radius  $R$ . When the particle centroid is near a cell boundary, the particle volume is divided into two sub-volumes: the volume inside the cell and the volume outside it. The judgment criterion of particle–cell overlap is therefore vital for determining the actual particle volume inside the cell. Subsequently, this paper focuses on all cases of particle cuboid cell overlap and solves the overlap volume of all cases using a unified formula.

**Judgment criterion of particle–cell overlap**

We give a judgment criterion of particle–cell overlap based on Cartesian geometry. The judgment criterion relies on the relationship between particle radius  $R$  and  $l_{fij}$ ,  $R$  and  $l_{eik}$ , and  $R$  and  $l_{vik}$ , where  $l_{fij}$ ,  $l_{eik}$  and  $l_{vik}$  are respectively the shortest lengths from the center of the  $i$ th particle to the  $j$ th cell face, to  $k$ th cell edge and  $l$ th cell vertex.

The centroid coordinates of particles are denoted  $(X_i, Y_i, Z_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ). Generally, the particle centroid should be included in one cell that is named the main cell once the mesh is generated; if  $(X_i, Y_i, Z_i)$  lies on a cell vertex, edge, or plane, we randomly choose a cell as the main cell from the corresponding cells. We then suppose the vertex coordinates of the main cell are  $(x_{il}, y_{il}, z_{il})$ , where  $l$  denotes the number of vertices of the main cell; e.g., the cuboid cell  $l = 1$ ,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7061532>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7061532>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)