



# Performance of anaerobic membrane bioreactor during digestion and thickening of aerobic membrane bioreactor excess sludge

Akira Hafuka<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kazuhisa Mimura<sup>b</sup>, Qing Ding<sup>c</sup>, Hiroshi Yamamura<sup>a</sup>, Hisashi Satoh<sup>d</sup>, Yoshimasa Watanabe<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Integrated Science and Engineering for Sustainable Society, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Technical Research & Development Institute, Sanki Engineering Co., Ltd., 1742-7 Shimotsuruma, Yamato-shi, Kanagawa 242-0001, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Division of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Division of Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, North-13, West-8, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan

<sup>e</sup> Research and Development Initiatives, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Anaerobic membrane bioreactor was applied to the treatment of excess sludge.
- Sludge was thickened and digested without membrane cleaning for 90 days.
- A high COD removal efficiency of 98% was achieved.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 30 May 2016

Received in revised form 28 June 2016

Accepted 29 June 2016

Available online 1 July 2016

### Keywords:

Anaerobic digestion

Membrane filtration

Excess sludge

Microfiltration

Tubular PTFE membrane module

## ABSTRACT

In this study, we evaluated the performance of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor in terms of digestion and thickening of excess sludge from an aerobic membrane bioreactor. A digestion reactor equipped with an external polytetrafluoroethylene tubular microfiltration membrane module was operated in semi-batch mode. Solids were concentrated by repeated membrane filtration and sludge feeding, and their concentration reached 25,400 mg/L after 92 d. A high chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal efficiency, i.e., 98%, was achieved during operation. A hydraulic retention time of 34 d and a pulse organic loading rate of 2200 mg-COD/(L-reactor) gave a biogas production rate and biogas yield of 1.33 L/(reactor d) and 0.08 L/g-COD<sub>input</sub>, respectively. The external membrane unit worked well without membrane cleaning for 90 d. The transmembrane pressure reached 25 kPa and the filtration flux decreased by 80% because of membrane fouling after operation for 90 d.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The use of aerobic membrane bioreactors (MBRs) is a promising technique for municipal and industrial wastewater treatments because they produce higher quality effluents and have smaller footprints compared with conventional treatment processes (Judd, 2008). Although the amount of excess sludge produced by an MBR is smaller than that produced in conventional activated sludge processes (Wei et al., 2003), anaerobic digestion of MBR excess sludge is necessary along with the widespread use of MBRs. Anaerobic digestion requires no aeration, reduces the biomass yield, and generates methane-containing biogas. It is therefore used to treat sludge as well as wastewaters and food wastes

(Lettinga et al., 2001; Khalid et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2007). However, the growth rates of anaerobic microorganisms are low and the effluent quality is not sufficient. To overcome these disadvantages of anaerobic treatment, anaerobic membrane bioreactors (AnMBRs) have attracted much attention recently (Smith et al., 2012, 2014). AnMBR can control the hydraulic retention time (HRT) and solid retention time (SRT) separately using membrane filtration. High solid concentrations can therefore be attained because anaerobic microorganisms are not washed out from the reactor. In addition, the effluent water quality is high because suspended solids (SS) are removed. Many researchers have studied the use of AnMBRs in the treatment of synthetic or actual wastewaters; for example, microbial community shifts, the membrane fouling properties of extracellular polymeric substances, and the removal of trace organic chemicals in synthetic wastewaters have recently been reported (Ding et al., 2015; Wijekoon et al., 2015;

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [hafuka.14p@g.chuo-u.ac.jp](mailto:hafuka.14p@g.chuo-u.ac.jp) (A. Hafuka).

Win et al., 2016). Performance evaluation and optimization of the operational parameters of AnMBRs have been performed using actual wastewaters or kitchen waste slurries (Ng et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016). However, few studies have focused on excess sludge treatment using an AnMBR (Meabe et al., 2013). In general, primary and secondary excess sludges are transferred to a thickener, which generates a total solids (TS) concentration of 3–6%, before anaerobic digestion (Gerardi, 2003). Use of an AnMBR for sludge treatment could reduce or avoid sludge-thickening processes because solids accumulate in an AnMBR during membrane filtration (Pierkiel and Lanting, 2005). The objective of this study was therefore to evaluate the performance of an AnMBR for digestion and thickening of an actual MBR excess sludge. The results will help to expand the use of AnMBRs in sludge treatment.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. AnMBR setup and operating conditions

The AnMBR consisted of a digestion reactor (DR) with a working volume of 20.0 L, and an external membrane unit (MU). The DR was agitated continuously with a stirrer. The DR was covered with a heating jacket to maintain a temperature of 35 °C (mesophilic condition). The MU was a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tubular microfiltration (MF) membrane module (POREFLON LPM-X240, Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The total effective area of the membrane module was 0.06 m<sup>2</sup> and the inner diameter of each membrane tube was about 5.1 mm. The AnMBR was operated in semi-batch mode without sludge discharge, except for sampling. Membrane filtration and MBR excess sludge feeding were performed twice a week. The DR was inoculated with 1.0 L of homogenized upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) granular sludge containing 84,300 mg/L TS and 52,000 mg/L volatile solids (VS), obtained from a full-scale UASB reactor used to treat food manufacturing wastewater in the plant. Membrane filtration of the digested sludge was performed at a constant cross-flow velocity of 0.7 m/s using a mono pump (NY 40, Heishin Ltd., Kobe, Japan). The transmembrane pressure (TMP) was monitored using manometers (GC61-174, Nagano Keiki Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) during membrane filtration. The MBR excess sludge feed was obtained from a full-scale MBR reactor treating miscellaneous drainage waste in the plant. The raw MBR excess sludge was screened (1 mm) and stored at 4 °C before feeding into the DR. Digested sludge samples (0.1 L) were collected once a week before membrane filtration and after sludge feeding. In theory, the SRT in an AnMBR is infinite, but it was set at 700 d because of sludge sampling. The HRT was controlled by changing the membrane filtrate volume. The HRT was set at 67 d in the first 43 d (phase 1) and was changed to 34 d after 43 d (Phase 2). The volume of biogas produced from the DR was measured using a wet gas meter (W-NK-0.5A, Shinagawa Co., Tokyo, Japan). The pH and oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) in the reactor were measured using a pH meter (D-74, Horiba, Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) and ORP meter (TRX-999, Tokyo Chemical Laboratories Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), respectively. Membrane cleaning was not performed during the operation.

### 2.2. Analytical methods

The MBR excess sludge feed, membrane filtrate, and digested sludge were sampled once a week. The TS and VS concentrations in the sludge were determined using standard methods (APHA, 2012). The chemical oxygen demand with potassium dichromate (COD<sub>Cr</sub>), total nitrogen (T-N), and total phosphorus (T-P) concentrations in the sludge and membrane filtrate were determined by

Hach methods (Methods 8000, 10127, and 10072, respectively), using a spectrophotometer (DR 3900, Hach Co., Loveland, USA), after appropriate sample dilution. The ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N) and orthophosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>-P) concentrations in the samples were also determined using Hach methods (Method 10031 and 8114, respectively) after centrifugation to obtain sample supernatants. The biogas was collected using an aluminum gas bag and the methane content was determined using gas chromatography (GC-14B, Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan). The solubilization rate from T-N (or T-P) to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (or PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>-P) was calculated using Eq. (1):

$$\text{Solubilization rate} = \frac{\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N (or PO}_4^{3-} - \text{P)} \text{ mass}_{\text{increased}} / \text{T} - \text{N (T - P)} \text{ mass}_{\text{input}}}{\text{mass}_{\text{input}}} \quad (1)$$

The biogas yield was calculated using Eq. (2):

$$\text{Biogas yield} = \frac{\text{Biogas volume}_{\text{produced}}}{\text{COD mass}_{\text{input}}} \quad (2)$$

## 3. Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the MBR excess sludge fed to the DR. The average COD concentration was 21,200 mg/L and the VS/TS ratio was constant at 84%. Fig. 1(a) shows the changes in pH and ORP in the DR. The initial pH was 6.4 and it gradually increased to 7.0 over 92 d. The average pH values in the reactor were 6.6 and 6.8 in phase 1 and phase 2, respectively. The ORP immediately decreased to around −400 mV after starting the operation and was stable during operation, confirming anaerobic digestion conditions in the DR.

Fig. 1(b) shows the temporal changes in the TS and VS concentrations of the digested sludge after sludge feeding. In previous studies, AnMBRs with 20,000–30,000 mg-TS/L of digested sludge were operated using waste activated sludge or kitchen waste slurry (Dagnew et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2015). We therefore aimed to achieve more than 25,000 mg-TS/L during operation. TS and VS both accumulated during operation because no sludge was removed. The TS and VS concentrations had increased from 11,500 mg/L and 8900 mg/L, respectively, to 25,400 mg/L and 20,200 mg/L, respectively, after 92 d. The average TS and VS concentrations in the feed MBR sludge were 15,000 mg/L and 12,700 mg/L, respectively (Table 1), indicating that the feed sludge accumulated 1.7-fold during operation for 92 d.

Fig. 2(a) shows the changes in the COD concentrations of the digested sludge and membrane filtrate, and COD removal efficiencies, based on the COD mass. The COD concentration in the digested sludge gradually increased from 11,100 mg/L to 37,800 mg/L during 92 d. The COD removal efficiency decreased slightly during operation but a high COD removal efficiency, i.e., 98%, was achieved because of SS removal by membrane filtration. This high removal efficiency is comparable to those obtained in previous studies using starch wastewater or kitchen waste slurry (Xiao et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016). Fig. 2(b) shows the changes in

**Table 1**  
Characteristics of MBR excess sludge fed to DR (n = 12).

Parameter	Unit	Value
TS	mg/L	15,000 ± 1200
VS	mg/L	12,700 ± 1000
VS/TS	%	84 ± 0
COD	mg/L	21,200 ± 1300
T-P	mg-P/L	414 ± 23
PO <sub>4</sub> -P	mg-P/L	158 ± 54
T-N	mg-N/L	1270 ± 230
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	mg-N/L	32 ± 16
pH	–	6.6 ± 0.1

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7070304>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7070304>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)