#### Bioresource Technology 200 (2016) 90-102

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Bioresource Technology** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biortech

## Modeling of biomass fractionation in a lab-scale biorefinery: Solubilization of hemicellulose and cellulose from holm oak wood using subcritical water



### A. Cabeza<sup>a</sup>, C.M. Piqueras<sup>b</sup>, F. Sobrón<sup>a</sup>, J. García-Serna<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> High Pressure Processes Group, Department of Chemical Engineering and Environmental Tech., University of Valladolid, 47011 Valladolid, Spain <sup>b</sup> Planta Piloto de Ingeniería Química, PLAPIQUI-Universidad Nacional del Sur-CONICET, Camino La Carrindanga km 7, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- Hydrothermal fractionation of holm oak was studied in a packed bed reactor.
- Temperature has the main influence over the composition out flow stream.
- A kinetic model was developed which is able to reproduce the profile of TOC content.
- The model is capable to reproduce all the physical phenomena like porosity variation.
- A simulation of the whole process was done, checking its physical behavior.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 July 2015 Received in revised form 16 September 2015 Accepted 18 September 2015 Available online 26 September 2015

Keywords: Holm oak Hydrothermal fractionation Subcritical water Biorefinery Modeling

#### G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T



#### ABSTRACT

Lignocellulose fractionation is a key biorefinery process that need to be understood. In this work, a comprehensive study on hydrothermal-fractionation of holm oak in a semi-continuous system was conducted. The aim was to develop a physicochemical model in order to reproduce the role of temperature and water flow over the products composition. The experiments involved two sets: at constant flow (6 mL/min) and two different ranges of temperature (140–180 and 240–280 °C) and at a constant temperature range (180–260 °C) and different flows: 11.0, 15.0 and 27.9 mL/min. From the results, temperature has main influence and flow effect was observed only if soluble compounds were produced. The kinetic model was validated against experimental data, reproducing the total organic carbon profile (e.g. deviation of 33%) and the physicochemical phenomena observed in the process. In the model, it was also considered the variations of molecular weight of each biopolymer, successfully reproducing the biomass cleaving.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The concept of biorefinery is based on the definition of a conventional petroleum refinery. Therefore, it is an installation which transforms a raw material (biomass) into energy (heat, electricity

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 983184934. E-mail address: jgserna@iq.uva.es (J. García-Serna). and biofuels) and several products (chemicals and biomaterials) by fractionation or conversion processes. One of the most studied ways to perform this conversion is biomass pyrolysis, where heat is used to transform it into charcoal, gases and biofuels (Ranzi et al., 2008; Tanoue et al., 2007; Tock et al., 2010). On the other hand, hydrothermal fractionation is another promising option because it is capable to recover the cellulosic fraction of biomass, which corresponds between 38.3 and 81.3 wt% of woody biomass



#### A. Cabeza et al. / Bioresource Technology 200 (2016) 90-102

#### Nomenclature

- $C_t$ total concentration in the solid. mg/L
- $\varepsilon$  and  $\phi$ porosity of the bed and the relation factor between it and *C*<sub>t</sub>, dimensionless
- concentration of the compound "j" or "i" in the solid  $C_{S_i}, C_{S_i}$ phase, mg/L
- reaction rate of the compound "j", mg/min · L
- $k_i \cdot a$ mass transfer coefficient multiplied by the specific exchange area, min<sup>-1</sup>
- equilibrium concentration of the compound "j" in liquid  $C_{L_i}^*$ phase, mg/L
- $\bar{C}_{L_i}$ average concentration of the compound "j" along the reactor in liquid phase, mg/L
- Hi equilibrium constant between the solid and the liquid, dimensionless
- $C_{L_i}, C_{L_i}$ concentration of the compound "j" or "i" in the liquid phase, mg/L
- stoichiometric coefficient of the compound "j" for the  $\alpha_{i,i}$ or $\alpha_{i,i}$ reaction "i", mg
- $k_{d_i}$ kinetic constant of the compound "j",  $mg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$
- auto catalytic factor for the solid kinetics, dimensionless Fauto
- $\beta_{i,i}$  or  $\gamma_{i,i}$  acceleration factor for the compound "j" in the reaction "i". dimensionless  $m_i, m_{i_0}$ solid mass and its initial value of the compound "i", mg deacetylation reaction rate for compound "j", mg/min · L r<sub>deaj</sub>
- reaction rate of the direct deacetylation of HC<sub>1</sub>, mg/ r<sub>dead</sub> min · L
- kinetic constant of  $r_{dead}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>dead</sub>
- first reaction rate of the direct deacetylation of HC<sub>2</sub>, mg/ r<sub>deadi</sub> min · L
- kinetic constant of  $r_{deadi}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>deadi</sub>
- second reaction rate of the direct deacetylation of HC<sub>2</sub>, r<sub>deadii</sub> mg/min · L kinetic constant of  $r_{deadii}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>deadii</sub>

C5 formation from  $HC_1$  reaction rate, mg/min · L

- $r_{cv}$
- kinetic constant of  $r_{cv}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> C6 formation from HC<sub>1</sub> reaction rate, mg/min · L  $k_{cv}$
- r<sub>lent</sub>
- kinetic constant of  $r_{lent}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>lent</sub> proton concentration in liquid phase, mg/min · L  $C_{H^+}$ reaction order for proton concentration in hydrolysis
- $n_1, n_2$ and sugar degradation, dimensionless

that the characterization requires wet chemical analysis followed

(Bobleter, 1994; Yedro et al., 2015), using only water as reactive. This technique has been highly studied and various articles can be found in literature (Charles et al., 2004; Mohan et al., 2015; Pronyk and Mazza, 2010; Rogalinski et al., 2008). However, the modelling of this process is an issue which still has not a final solution due to biomass complexity, which is formed by three main compounds: hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin. Cellulose and hemicellulose are polysaccharides composed by up to 10,000 and 200 monomers, respectively. The former is a linear biopolymer with a high degree of crystallinity formed by hexoses (C6) and the latter is amorphous and it is constituted by hexoses and pentoses (C5). On the other hand, lignin is an aromatic biopolymer formed by phenylpropane units (Bobleter, 1994; Harmsen et al., 2010). In addition, biomass diversity and the process monitoring also complicate the development and validation of a kinetic model. The first issue can be seen in Yedro et al., 2015 who studied the fractionation of several wood species in a semi-continuous reactor. They observed that the extraction yields were very different between the species, although the qualitative behavior of all of them were similar. Regarding monitoring, the problem would be that the analysis of the samples must be done at different conditions from which were used during the operation. The reason is by a separation of the different fractions by conventional analytical instruments at certain conditions (Carrier et al., 2011). Therefore, some measured values would be different from the real ones during the operation.

To sum up, it is not clear that a model with a single set of kinetic parameters could be used as a global solution for biomass solubilization. Nevertheless, several models for this process, with a reasonable success, can be found in literature (Haghighat Khajavi et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2015; Mohan et al., 2015; Rogalinski et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2014). Zhu et al. (2014) studied the hydrolysis of peanut shell in subcritical water in a batch reactor. They proposed a kinetic model with a 1st reaction order respect to biomass concentration which was able to reproduce their experimental data. In the same way, Mohan et al. (2015) and Lin et al. (2015) assessed the hydrolysis of real biomass (bamboo and rice straw respectively) in a batch reactor and they also fitted their data applying a 1st order kinetic. Rogalinski et al. (2008) performed a successful kinetic analysis of the starch hydrolysis in a plug-flow reactor assuming a 1st reaction order. And Haghighat Khajavi et al. (2005) studied the hydrolysis of sucrose in a flow-type reactor but taking into account the effect

- kinetic constant for compound "j" in liquid phase,  $k_{L_i}$  $L^{n1} \cdot mg^{-1-n1} \cdot min^{-1}$
- reaction rate for sugar degradation, mg/min · L r<sub>deg</sub>
- $k_{deg2}$  kinetic constant for C6 and C5 degradation,  $L^{n2} \cdot mg^{-1-n2} \cdot min^{-1}$  $k_{\text{deg 1}}$ ,
- $C_{L_{i_{sugar-C6}}}$ ,  $C_{L_{i_{sugar-C5}}}$  concentration of the sugar "C6" or "C5" number "l", mg/L
- reaction rate for sugar deacetylation, mg/min · L r<sub>de</sub>
- kinetic constant of  $r_{de}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>de</sub>
- $C_{L_{sugar}}$ total sugar concentration in liquid phase, mg/L
- reaction rate for the polymerization, mg/min · L r<sub>rep</sub>
- kinetic constant of  $r_{rep}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>rep</sub>
- reaction rate for the acetic acid dissociation, mg/min L r<sub>acet</sub>
- kinetic constant of acetic acid dissolution,  $mg^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup> k<sub>direct</sub>
- kinetic constant of acetic acid recombination, k<sub>inverse</sub>  $L \cdot mg^{-2} \cdot min^{-1}$
- concentration of the acetate, mg/L  $C_{L_{acet}}$
- proton consumption reaction rate, mg/min · L r<sub>prot</sub>
- kprot kinetic constant of  $r_{prot}$ , mg<sup>-1</sup> · min<sup>-1</sup>
- A(T) or A Napierian logarithm of the kinetic prexponential factor, dimensionless
- solubility constant.  $^{\circ}C^{-1}$  $H_o(T)$
- base activation energy and correction factor of the base  $E_1, E_2$ activation energy depending on the molecular weight, [/mol
- $PD_i$ polymerization degree of compound "j", dimensionless first correction factor of the PD<sub>i</sub> for solubility calcula-
- $PD_h$ tions, dimensionless
- second correction factor of the PD<sub>i</sub> for solubility calcula $h_p$ tions, dimensionless
- и liquid velocity in the reactor, m/min
- Ν number of compounds, dimensionless L
- length of the reactor, m
- z dimensionless length of the reactor, dimensionless

total number of experiments, dimensionless

operating time, min t  $X_{exp}, X_{sim}$  experimental and simulated value of the fitted variable Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7072787

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7072787

Daneshyari.com