



# Advanced nitrogen removal from landfill leachate using real-time controlled three-stage sequence batch reactor (SBR) system



Lei Miao, Kai Wang, Shuying Wang\*, Rulong Zhu, Baikun Li, Yongzhen Peng, Dongchen Weng

Engineering Research Center of Beijing, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing, China

## HIGHLIGHTS

- A novel three-stage SBR process was firstly developed to treat landfill leachate.
- The real landfill leachate without diluted by tap-water was used in this study.
- The ratio of  $\text{NO}_2^-:\text{NH}_4^+$  in the Anammox influent was not needed to be adjusted.
- The modified continuous filling mode minimized the nitrite inhibition effects.
- Quantitative PCR analysis of Anammox showed Anammox gene ratio was less than 5%.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 7 January 2014

Received in revised form 12 February 2014

Accepted 14 February 2014

Available online 24 February 2014

### Keywords:

Landfill leachate  
SBR  
Anammox  
Nitrogen removal  
Real-time control

## ABSTRACT

A three-stage sequencing batch reactor (SBR), comprising pretreating SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ ), nitrification SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ ), and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox) SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ ), was developed for the nitrogen removal from mature landfill leachate. The concentrations of ammonia and chemical oxygen demand (COD) in the leachate were  $2000 \pm 100$  and  $2200 \pm 200$  mg/L, respectively. About 100 mg/L of organic substance was removed from  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  to reduce the negative effect on the Anammox process under real-time control. After acclimation for 40 days, the nitrite to nitrogen oxide ratio ( $\text{NO}_2^-/\text{NO}_x^-$ ) in  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  was above 0.95. The nitrogen removal efficiency reached 90% in  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ , and nitrogen load rate and nitrogen removal rate were 0.81 and 0.76 kg N/( $\text{m}^3$  d), respectively. The continuous filling process was used to avoid the nitrite inhibition on the Anammox activity. The quantitative PCR analysis of Anammox indicated the average Anammox gene ratio increased from 0.23% to 4.77% after 220 days operation.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Landfill leachate contains high concentrations of organic/inorganic contaminants and may cause severe environmental pollution if not treated properly. There are three classes of landfill leachate in terms of landfill age: the young, middle-age, and mature landfill leachate (Bernard et al., 1997). Mature landfill leachate contains a relatively high concentration of ammonia and low concentration of biodegradable organic substances. In general, the ammonia concentration is above 1000 mg/L, chemical oxygen demand (COD) is below 3000 mg/L, and a ratio of biological oxygen demand ( $\text{BOD}_5$ ) to COD is below 0.1 (Chen, 1996; Kulikowska and Klimiuk, 2008). The low C:N ratio and low biodegradability of landfill leachate poses high challenges for treatment. The biological treatment (e.g. nitrification/denitrification) is used as the main treatment

for landfill leachate (Renou et al., 2008). However, for the mature landfill leachate with low C:N ratio, the conventional nitrification/denitrification process needs an external carbon source, which makes the treatment systems complicated and expensive.

Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox) is a cost-effective process with a great potential system (Kalyuzhnyi et al., 2006; Mulder et al., 1995; Kartal et al., 2010). Compared to conventional nitrification/denitrification processes, Anammox does not need external carbon sources and the power consumption for nitrification-Anammox process can be reduced 50% substantially. Even though Anammox is suitable for the treatment of mature landfill leachate with a low carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratio (Shen et al., 2012; Chamchoi et al., 2008; Ganigué et al., 2009), there are some obstacles for real-world application. First, biodegradable organic substances have an adverse effect on Anammox (Chamchoi et al., 2008; Dapena-Mora et al., 2007; Molinuevo et al., 2009), thus nitrification and COD removal should be achieved prior to Anammox. Second, the doubling time of Anammox bacteria is longer than 11 days (Schmidt et al., 2003), so that long sludge retention time

\* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 10 67392627.

E-mail addresses: [miaolei2819@emails.bjut.edu.cn](mailto:miaolei2819@emails.bjut.edu.cn) (L. Miao), [wsy@bjut.edu.cn](mailto:wsy@bjut.edu.cn) (S. Wang).

(SRT) is needed to prevent the loss of Anammox sludge (Chamchoi and Nitorisavut, 2007). Third, a high concentration of nitrite inhibits Anammox (Strous et al., 1999; Lotti et al., 2012). The activity of Anammox decreases when the nitrite concentration in the landfill is higher than 100 mg/L (Strous et al., 1999), and operational modes should be modified to alleviate the adverse effects of nitrite.

Anammox has been studied for nitrogen removal from mature landfill leachate (Liang and Liu, 2008), and was coupled with partial nitrification (PN) in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) (Liu et al., 2010; Sri Shalini and Joseph, 2012; Anfruns et al., 2013). Although the organic substances can be removed by PN, the ratio of nitrite to ammonia suitable for Anammox could not be maintained. Until now, the studies on the Anammox process for the treatment of mature landfill leachate either used diluted landfill leachate (Liu et al., 2010), or employed complicated operational modes to maintain the ratio of  $\text{NO}_2^-:\text{NH}_4^+$  (Anfruns et al., 2013). Therefore, it is critical to investigate the advanced nitrogen removal process for the treatment of real mature landfill leachate without diluting or adding extra chemicals.

Sequencing batch reactor (SBR) has distinct advantages of space-saving, flexible operational mode, and auto-control capability (Chamchoi and Nitorisavut, 2007). It has been extensively used for biological nutrient removal (BNR) in municipal and industrial wastewaters (Wang et al., 2013). However, only a few researches applied SBR for Anammox process. In fact, SBR is suitable for Anammox enrichment due to its simplicity, efficient biomass retention, high stability over a long period of operation, and good BNR efficiency under substrate-shortage condition (Chamchoi and Nitorisavut, 2007; Strous et al., 1998). Therefore, this study aimed at developing a novel three-stage SBR process, comprising pretreatment SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ ), nitrification SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ ), and Anammox SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ ) for nitrogen removal from real mature landfill leachate. Specifically, the biodegradable organic substances were removed in the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  to reduce the negative effect on the Anammox process. Ammonia was oxidized to nitrite in the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ , and the effluents of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  were mixed together for the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ . In addition, the pH profiles and redox potential (ORP) profiles that could distinguish different operational stages (e.g. nitrification, dinitrification) in SBR cycles (Peng et al., 2008) were used for real-time control to achieve high Anammox efficiency and save operational energy. Finally, the Anammox microbial communities were determined using quantitative PCR and correlated with nitrogen removal efficiency and SBR operational conditions.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Experimental setup and operational procedure

The three-stage SBR system, comprising  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ ,  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ , and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ , was made of polymethyl methacrylate with a total volume capacity of 39 L, distributed as 12, 12, and 15 L for the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ ,  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ , and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ , respectively (Fig. 1). The working volume capacities of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ ,  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ , and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  were 10, 10, and 13 L, respectively (Fig. 1). The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  was equipped with pH meters, mechanical stirrers, and air diffusers. The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  was equipped with pH meters and air diffusers. The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was equipped with a pH meter and a mechanical stirrer. An oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) meter was installed in the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  to monitor the aeration/anoxic status. During the aeration period, the aeration intensity was maintained at 100 L/h. The operational temperatures for  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ ,  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  were maintained at 25 °C, 25 °C and 35 °C using a temperature controller.

In the three-stage SBR system, the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  were operated under the traditional mode: filling–aeration–settle–decant (5 h), whereas the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was operated under the modified mode

with continuous filling (5 h). The influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was the mixed effluents from the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  based on a ratio of  $\text{NO}_2^- - \text{N}/\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N}$  of 1.3 (Fig. 1). The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was operated under the modified mode: continuous filling (5 h) and stirring until the completion of the reaction. The terminal point of the Anammox was determined using the pH profile. The exchange volumetric rate of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was found to be 38%. The main operational conditions of the three SBRs in each period are shown in Table 1.

### 2.2. Influent and seed sludge

During the acclimation period, the SBR system was fed with a synthetic wastewater consisting of  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (10 mg/L),  $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (5.6 mg/L),  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (300 mg/L),  $\text{KHCO}_3$  (1250 mg/L), trace element solution I (EDTA 5000 mg/L and  $\text{FeSO}_4$  5000 mg/L), and trace element solution II (EDTA 1000 mg/L,  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$  14 mg/L,  $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  990 mg/L,  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  250 mg/L,  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  430 mg/L,  $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  190 mg/L,  $\text{NaSeO}_4 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$  210 mg/L,  $\text{NaMoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  220 mg/L) (Sliemers et al., 2002).  $\text{NaNO}_2$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  solutions were added to supply nitrite and ammonium for Anammox activities. The pH of the influent was controlled at  $7.5 \pm 0.2$ .

After the acclimation period, the landfill leachate collected from the Liulitun Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Sanitation Landfill Site (Beijing, China) was used as the feeding solution (Table 2). The raw landfill leachate was stored at 4 °C to preserve the characteristics of the landfill leachate.

The excess sludge taken from the existing lab-scale nitrification SBR and Anammox UASB systems treating domestic wastewater was used as the inocula for the aerobic SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ ) and Anammox SBR ( $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ ), respectively. The sludge from the lab-scale SBR was flocculent, while the sludge from the Anammox UASB system was the mixture of granular and flocculent. After being put in the three-stage SBR system, the mixed liquor suspended solid (MLSS) of the aerobic SBR and the Anammox sludge SBR were 3500 and 4200 mg/L, respectively.

### 2.3. Operational strategy of three-stage SBR process

The operational strategies for each unit in the three-stage SBR system were different. The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  had two periods: pre-A period (acclimation period) and pre-B period (combination with  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ ). In pre-A, the influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  was a mixture of the raw mature landfill leachate and tap water. In pre-B, the influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  was a mixture of the mature landfill leachate and effluent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ .

The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  had four periods: (i) ni-A period (acclimation period), (ii) ni-B period (stable operation period), (iii) ni-C period (increasing load period), and (iv) ni-D period (the combination with  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$ ). In ni-A, ni-B, and ni-C periods, the influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  was a mixture of the raw mature landfill leachate and tap water. In ni-D period, the influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$  was the effluent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$ .

The  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  had four periods: (i) ana-A period (acclimation for synthetic wastewater), (ii) ana-B period (increasing nitrogen load), (iii) ana-C period (adding the effluent of  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ ), and (iv) ana-D period (combination of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ ). In ana-A and ana-B periods, the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was fed with synthetic wastewater (Sliemers et al., 2002). In ana-C period, the nitrite in the influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was supplied by the effluent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ , and the ammonia was added in the form of  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . In ana-D period, the influent of the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ana}}$  was the mixed effluents from the  $\text{SBR}_{\text{pre}}$  and  $\text{SBR}_{\text{ni}}$ .

### 2.4. Analytical methods

The dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, ORP, and temperature were monitored using a pH/Oxi 340i analyzer (WTW Company,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7078488>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7078488>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)