



# Effect of electron donor source on the treatment of Cr(VI)-containing textile wastewater using sulfate-reducing fluidized bed reactors (FBRs)



Kevser Cirik<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nesrin Dursun<sup>b</sup>, Erkan Sahinkaya<sup>c</sup>, Özer Çınar<sup>a,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Kahramanmaraş Sutcu Imam University, Department of Environmental Engineering, Kahramanmaraş 46100, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Harran University, Environmental Engineering Department, Osmanbey Campus, 63000 Sanliurfa, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> Istanbul Medeniyet University, Department of Bioengineering, Goztepe, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>d</sup> Biological Sciences and Bioengineering Program, International University of Sarajevo, Hrasnicka Cesta 15, 71210 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

## HIGHLIGHTS

- SRB has the ability to reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) and azo dye reduction simultaneously.
- The removal rate of azo dye is dependent on the type of electron donor source.
- FBRs perform well in terms of COD, sulfate, color and Cr(VI) removals.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 5 September 2012

Received in revised form 6 January 2013

Accepted 11 January 2013

Available online 24 January 2013

### Keywords:

Fluidized bed reactor

Color removal

Sulfate reduction

Chromium removal

## ABSTRACT

The treatment of Cr(VI) containing textile wastewater was studied in ethanol and glucose-fed sulfate-reducing fluidized bed reactors at 35 °C for around 250 days. The synthetic wastewater contained Cr(VI) (5–45 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), azo dye (Remazol Brilliant Violet 5R) (100–200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), sulfate (2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and ethanol or glucose (2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> chemical oxygen demand (COD)). The robustness of two FBRs was assessed under varying Cr(VI) and azo dye loadings. Both reactors performed well in terms of COD, sulfate, color and Cr(VI) removals. However, ethanol-fed FBR performed better than glucose-fed one. The COD, sulfate, chromium and color removals at the highest Cr(VI) concentration (45 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) in ethanol-fed FBR were around 75%, 95%, 93%, and 99%, respectively. Further increase in influent Cr(VI) concentration adversely affected reactor performance. The COD, sulfate, chromium and color removals at 45 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Cr(VI) in glucose-fed FBR were around 60%, 50%, 93%, and 76%, respectively.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The textile industry wastewaters are known as one of the main sources of severe pollution problems worldwide. In particular, the release of highly colored effluents is undesirable, due to toxic and resistant characteristics (Weisburger, 2002). In addition to color, typical textile wastewater industry is characterized by high chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), pH, and salinity (Dos Santos et al., 2007). The color mainly comes from dyeing process in which many chemicals such as heavy metal containing dyes (chromium, cadmium, zinc etc.), nitrate and sulfate containing salts, surfactants, sulfide and formaldehyde, may be added to improve dye fixation. It has been reported that sulfate is generally added to the dye baths for ionic strength adjustment or it may be formed by the oxidation of sulfur species used in dyeing processes, such as sulfide, hydrosulfide, and dithionite (van der Zee

et al., 2003). Additionally, the most frequently detected heavy metal in textile industry wastewaters is chromium. It has been reported that in wool dyeing process, its concentrations can reach as high as 50 mgCr L<sup>-1</sup> (Bisschops and Spanjers, 2003).

The chromium has an oxidation stage between (–II) and (+VI) among which trivalent (Cr(III)) and hexavalent (Cr(VI)) are the most common chromium species in the environment (Vaiopoulou and Gikas, 2012). Although Cr(III) is required in trace amounts for living organisms, Cr(VI) is well-known carcinogen and to be removed from wastewater before discharge. Microbial Cr(VI) reduction is one of the approaches used for the detoxification of Cr(VI)-containing wastewater. Cr(VI) can be reduced in biological treatment processes to Cr(III), almost insoluble and less-toxic chromium form (Agrawal et al., 2006).

Biological methods are commonly considered to be the most effective treatment applications since they present lower operational costs and environmentally safe. Recent studies indicated success of FBRs in biological treatment of various types of wastewaters (Sen and Demirel, 2003; Sahinkaya et al., 2011). Anaerobic

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 3442191677; fax: +90 3442191050.

E-mail address: [kewss@hotmail.com](mailto:kewss@hotmail.com) (K. Cirik).

treatment is essential to remove color from textile wastewater. Under anaerobic conditions, color is removed by oxidation–reduction reactions in which azo dye and organic compounds in wastewater act as electron acceptor and electron donor, respectively. The importance of sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) on azo dye reduction has been reported in previous studies (Cervantes et al., 2006, 2007; van der Zee et al., 2003). Additionally, it has been reported that certain heavy metals such as Cr(VI) may be reduced under sulfate-reducing conditions (Chang et al., 2000; Sahinkaya et al., 2012a,b). The literature studies mentioned above have shown the possibility of simultaneous removal of COD, color and Cr(VI) from textile industry wastewaters.

Organic compounds often act as electron donor in microbial Cr(VI) reduction processes. The type and the concentration of organic substrate affect the rate of microbial respiration in the presence of Cr(VI) (Cokgor et al., 2007). In this context, this study aims at evaluating the efficiency of ethanol- and glucose-fed sulfate-reducing fluidized bed reactors treating sulfate-, Cr(VI)- and color-containing simulated textile wastewater.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Simulated textile wastewater

The synthetic textile effluent (Table 1) fed to the reactors was composed of sulfate, azo dye Cr(VI) and nutrients required for microbiological growth. All inorganic nutrients were provided in excess not to limit biological activity. Sulfate in synthetic wastewater was kept constant at  $2000 \text{ mg SO}_4^{2-} \text{ L}^{-1}$  throughout the study. The studied azo dye was the monoazo reactive dye Remazol Brilliant Violet 5R (C.I. Reactive Violet 5), (Sigma–Aldrich, Germany). Azo dye concentration in the synthetic wastewater was  $100$  or  $200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  to study the treatment of highly colored textile wastewater. Ethanol and glucose (contributed to COD of  $2000 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) were used to provide readily biodegradable carbon source for the ethanol- and glucose-fed sulfate-reducing fluidized bed reactors, respectively. Potassium dichromate ( $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ ) was added to synthetic wastewater to have predetermined concentrations (Table 1).

### 2.2. Bioreactors setup and operation

Two laboratory scale glass fluidized bed reactors (FBRs) were used to treat simulated textile wastewater. The FBRs were inoculated with anaerobic digester effluent to have an initial volatile suspended solids content of  $3 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  in the reactors. After supplementation of sludge, the reactors were run in recirculation mode for 2 days without feeding to let the biomass to attach on the carrier material. The FBRs were operated in a temperature controlled room at  $35^\circ\text{C}$ . Granular activated carbon ( $1\text{--}1.5 \text{ mm}$ ) was used as carrier material for the both reactors. Reactor 1 had an empty bed volume of  $450 \text{ mL}$  and fed with ethanol as carbon and electron

**Table 2**

Operational conditions of FBRs. Sulfate and COD feed concentrations were kept constant at  $2000 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  throughout the study.

|                                     | Days    | HRT (h) | Azo dye ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) | Cr(VI) ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Periods for reactor 1 (ethanol-fed) |         |         |                                |                               |
| I <sup>a</sup>                      | 0–62    | 14      | 100                            | –                             |
| II                                  | 62–153  | 14      | 200                            | –                             |
| III                                 | 153–172 | 14      | 200                            | 5                             |
| IV                                  | 172–194 | 14      | 200                            | 20                            |
| V                                   | 194–222 | 14      | 200                            | 45                            |
| Periods for reactor 2 (glucose-fed) |         |         |                                |                               |
| I                                   | 0–62    | 24      | 100                            | –                             |
| II                                  | 62–153  | 24      | 200                            | –                             |
| III                                 | 153–172 | 24      | 200                            | 5                             |
| IV                                  | 172–194 | 24      | 200                            | 20                            |
| V                                   | 194–222 | 16      | 200                            | 45                            |

<sup>a</sup> Initial COD concentration was  $1500 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , then increased and kept constant at  $2000 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  after day 33.

source. Reactor 2 had an empty bed volume of  $750 \text{ mL}$  and fed with glucose as carbon and electron source. The effluent of the reactors was recycled to achieve around 10–15% fluidization of the column bed. The reactors were operated under varying dye and Cr(VI) concentrations (Table 2). Before experiments were started (start-up period), SRB were enriched in both reactors for around 2 months. In the first period (period I, days 0–62), both reactors were fed with  $100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  azo dye containing wastewater. Azo dye concentration was increased to  $200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in period 2 (days 62–153). Then, azo dye concentration in the feed was kept constant at  $200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  and Cr(VI) reduction ability of the FBRs was assessed by gradually increasing the Cr(VI) concentration in the synthetic feed (Table 2). HRT in reactor 1 was kept constant at  $14 \text{ h}$  throughout the reactor operation. In the reactor 2, HRT was  $24 \text{ h}$  until period IV and decreased to  $16 \text{ h}$  in the last period (Table 2). Due to lower sulfate reduction rate of glucose-fed FBR compared to the ethanol-fed one, the HRT in glucose-fed bioreactor was kept longer. Operational conditions of FBRs were changed after steady state operational conditions were obtained, which was decided when the measured effluent parameters did not fluctuate appreciably (less than 10%). The effluents of the both reactors were sampled three times a week for the measurement of pH, alkalinity, COD, sulfate, Cr(VI), azo dye and dissolved sulfide. The feed of the reactors were sampled once a week and the same parameters (except sulfide) were measured.

### 2.3. Batch adsorption tests

Two different sets of adsorption studies were conducted. In one set, the adsorption of dye on carrier material was studied. In another set of experiments, Cr(VI) adsorption on carrier material was studied. Adsorption tests were conducted in  $500 \text{ mL}$  glass bottles containing  $300 \text{ mL}$  solution. The batch reactors for the dye adsorption tests included  $100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  azo dye and 0.3, 0.6, and  $1 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  granule activated carbon used as carrier materials in FBRs. In the Cr(VI) adsorption studies, activated carbon concentration was kept at  $5 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  and Cr(VI) concentrations were adjusted as 50, 100, 200, 250 or  $500 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . Batch tests were performed in a shaking incubator at  $35^\circ\text{C}$ . Samples were drawn at different time intervals for color and Cr(VI) measurements.

### 2.4. Analytical procedures

Before the measurements of sulfate, dissolved sulfide, Cr(VI), color (azo dye) and COD, liquid samples were centrifuged using a Hettich Rotofix 32 centrifuge at  $3000 \text{ g}$  for  $10 \text{ min}$ . Azo dye

**Table 1**  
Composition of synthetic wastewater.

| Component                                 | Concentration ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) |                   |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
|   | Ethanol-fed FBR                      | Glucose-fed FBR   |
| Ethanol                                   | 2000 as COD                          | –                 |
| Glucose                                   | –                                    | 2000 as COD       |
| $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 2562.5                               | 2562.5            |
| $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$                  | 1480                                 | 1480              |
| $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$                  | 56                                   | 56                |
| $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$                    | 110                                  | 110               |
| Ascorbic acid                             | 11                                   | 11                |
| Sodium thioglycollate                     | 14                                   | 14                |
| Cr(VI)                                    | 5–45 (Table 2)                       | 5–45 (Table 2)    |
| Remazol Brilliant                         | 100–200 (Table 2)                    | 100–200 (Table 2) |

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7083893>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7083893>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)