Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Chemical Engineering & Processing: Process Intensification

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cep



CFD modeling of sawdust gasification in a lab-scale entrained flow reactor based on char intrinsic kinetics. Part 1: Model development



Xiaoyan Gao^{a,b}, Yaning Zhang^{b,c,*}, Fubing Bao^a, Bingxi Li^{b,*}, Yijun Zhao^b, Cunfeng Ke^b, Baocheng Jiang^b

^a College of Metrology and Measurement Engineering, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, 310018, China

^b School of Energy Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China

^c Department of Bioproducts and Biosystems Engineering, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, 55108, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Intrinsic kinetics Wood char Heterogeneous reaction Gasification

ABSTRACT

The heterogeneous reactions between char and gases are crucial for the whole biomass gasification process due to their slow reaction rates. In this study, the intrinsic kinetics of birch wood chars react with CO_2 and O_2 were investigated through thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) experiments. For char- CO_2 reaction, the pre-exponential factor, activation energy and reaction order were $2.130 \times 10^2 1/s Pa^m$, 160.01 kJ/mol, and 0.525, respectively. For char- O_2 reaction, the intrinsic kinetic parameters were $0.570 1/s Pa^m$ (pre-exponential factor), 134.15 kJ/mol (activation energy), and 1.796 (reaction order). Biomass gasification model was then built based on the Euler-Lagrange approach, and the intrinsic kinetic parameters were adopted in the char-gas reaction submodel to calculate the heterogeneous reaction rates with consideration of mass diffusion limitation and chemical reaction. Sawdust-air gasification in an entrained flow reactor under different equivalence ratios (ERs) and the relative errors for produced gas compositions were mainly less than 20%, and the relative errors for gasification performances were 9.65–23.33% (gas heating value), 1.17–5.95% (gas production), and 9.76–16.57% (carbon conversion efficiency), respectively. The model based on intrinsic kinetics can therefore be employed to predict the biomass gasification performances.

1. Introduction

Being eco-friendly and widely available, the renewable biomass is a potential resource to replace the fossil fuels for all energy productions [1–4]. Pyrolysis, gasification and combustion are three typical thermochemical techniques to utilize biomass for energy production [2,5,6], and gasification is a key solution to the generation of biogas due to the advantages of minimum waste products, low gas emissions, high recycling rates as well as high energy efficiency [7–9]. A typical biomass gasification process experiences the sequential steps of drying, devolatilization, partial combustion, and gasification. Compared with the other steps, the heterogeneous reactions between particles and gases (char-gas reactions) are much slower, thus they are regarded as the controlling step during the whole gasification process [10,11].

The kinetics of char-gas reactions are extremely significant for designing and modeling of biomass gasification process [12]. The effects of carbon source, char property, reaction conditions, etc., on the chargas reaction kinetics have been widely studied [12–15]. Yuan et al. [13] studied the impacts of biomass type and pyrolysis condition on the char-CO₂ reaction rates and revealed the order of gasification reactivity of different biomass chars. Trubetskaya et al. [14] addressed a comparison study to investigate the relationship between the inorganic matter and char-O₂ reaction rate. The experiments of steam gasification of refuse derived fuel char were conducted by Le and Kolaczkowsk [15] to study the effects of reaction temperature and steam partial pressure on the apparent reactivity. The intrinsic reaction rate, measured in chemical controlled regime, is of great use for the design and development of biomass gasification, since the combination of intrinsic kinetics with heat and mass transfer physics can provide detailed process information [16,17]. However, the kinetics studies emphasizing the intrinsic kinetics of biomass char-gas reactions are relatively few [12,17].

A computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model for biomass gasification is able to predict the spatial and temporal distributions of temperature, pressure, concentration, as well as other parameters inside a reactor, therefore, it's highly effective in parametric analysis and optimal study of gasification process [18,19]. Euler–Euler method and Euler-Lagrange method are two typical approaches to model the gas-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2018.02.017 Received 30 December 2017; Received in revised form 16 February 2018; Accepted 18 February 2018 Available online 21 February 2018 0255-2701/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding authors at: School of Energy Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China. *E-mail addresses*: ynzhang@hit.edu.cn (Y. Zhang), libx@hit.edu.cn (B. Li).

Nomenclature		\overrightarrow{u}	gas phase velocity (m/s)
		\overrightarrow{v}	velocity of particle phase (m/s)
		Vg	stoichiometric ratio of gas moles to carbon moles
		X	carbon conversion degree
Α	pre-exponential factor (1/s·Pa ^m); Magnussen constant for	Y	mass fraction
	reactant		
В	Magnussen constant for product	Greek let	ters
С	model constant, molar concentration (kmol/m ³)		
$C_{\rm D}$	drag coefficient	ε	dissipation rate (m^2/s^3)
C _n	heat capacity (J/kgK)	$\varepsilon_{\rm p}$	particle emissivity
\tilde{D}_{e}	effective diffusion coefficient (m^2/s)	η	effectiveness factor
D_i	molecular diffusion coefficient of gas component <i>i</i> (m^2/s)	θ	porosity
D_{ki}	Knudsen diffusion coefficient of gas species $i (m^2/s)$	$\theta_{ m R}$	radiation temperature (K)
D _T i	thermal diffusion coefficient of gas species $i (m^2/s)$	λ	thermal conductivity coefficient (W/m K)
$D_{\rm t}$	turbulent diffusion coefficient (m^2/s)	μ	gas phase viscosity (kg/m·s)
ď	diameter (m)	ρ	density (kg/m ³)
Ε	activation energy (kJ/mol)	σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.67 \times 10 ⁻⁸ W/m ² K ⁴)
F(X)	surface function	σ_k, σ_c	turbulent Prandtl numbers for turbulent kinetic energy
f., f.,	volatile fraction and moisture fraction of biomass particle		and dispassion rate
\overrightarrow{g}	gravitational acceleration (9.8 m/s ²)	Φ	Thiele modulus
G_{k}	turbulent kinetic energy generation	τ	pore tortuosity
Hree	reaction heat (J/kg)	$\overline{\tau}$	the turbulent Reynolds stress
h	specific enthalpy of gas phase (J/kg) and convective heat	$\mathscr{V}'_{i}, \mathscr{V}'_{i}$	stoichiometric coefficients of reactant <i>i</i>
	transfer coefficient (W/m K)	1, 1	
$h_{ m fg}$	latent heat (J/kg)	Subscript	
Kr	equilibrium constant		
k	turbulence kinetic energy (m^2/s^2)	ash	ash
kg	mass transfer coefficient between water vapor and bulk	b	backward
0	gases (m/s)	f	forward
$k_{\rm gp}$	mass transfer coefficient between gases and particles (m/	g	gas phase
01	s)	int	intrinsic
k _r	reaction kinetic rate constant	m	mean
Mi	molecular weight (kg/kmol)	Р	product
m	mass (kg)	р	particle phase
Р	pressure (Pa, atm)	R	reactant
R	universal gas constant (J/kmol·K) and reaction rate	r	reference
<i>r</i> _{pore}	mean pore radius (m)	S	particle surface
$S_{\rm m}$, $S_{\rm F}$, $S_{\rm h}$ source terms for mass, momentum and energy equations		t	turbulence
Sc	Schmidt number	t	at t moment
Т	temperature (K)	w	water
t	reaction time (s)	0	initial

particle flow in various gasifiers. Euler-Euler method treating both gas and particles as continuous phases is unable to recognize the particle characteristics, e.g. non-uniform particle size [20]. While it is easy to track the particle features by using Euler-Lagrange method, where the particles are treated as discrete phases. Xie et al. [21] employed Euler-Lagrange approach to investigate the wood gasification in a fluidized bed with the particle contact force calculated based on a discrete particle method. Oevermann et al. [22] built a CFD model for biomass gasification in a fluidized bed based on Euler-Lagrange method, and the solid phase was simulated by discrete element method to consider the particle collisions. Ku et al. [20] combined multiphase dynamic with reaction models to validate the Euler-Lagrange model of biomass entrained flow gasification. In most of the numerical studies on biomass gasification, the process of char-gas reactions is often simplified into a rate expression with the citation of kinetic parameters from coal chars or different biomass chars, although it is the rate-determining step in gasification. As the reactivities of biomass chars are much different from coal chars [12], the simulation is more likely to cause errors.

Therefore, the main aim of the present study was to establish and verify a CFD model for biomass gasification based on the kinetic study on char reactions. The specific objectives were: (a) to study the intrinsic kinetics for char-gas reactions according to thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results, (b) to couple the char intrinsic kinetics into the CFD model, and (c) to test the reliability of the developed gasification model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The sawdust of birch wood was supplied by a furniture factory in Harbin, China. The received sawdust particles were ground and sieved to a cut-size range of 75– $400 \,\mu$ m. The proximate and ultimate analyses are listed in Table 1.

2.2. TGA measurements

The devolatilization of birch wood particles was carried out in a micro pyrolysis system. In order to obtain biomass char derived from fast pyrolysis, the wood granules were pyrolyzed at 800 $^{\circ}$ C in a high pure N₂ flow (99.999%).

For the study of intrinsic gasification and oxidation kinetics of wood char, isothermal experiments were performed in a TGA apparatus (TGA/DSC1, Mettler-Toledo AG, Switzerland). At the beginning of each

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7089072

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7089072

Daneshyari.com