

# Solving the Optimal Power Flow Quadratic Cost Functions using Vortex Search Algorithm

Aydin, O.\*. Tezcan, S.S.\*\*  
Eke, I.\*\*\* Taplamacioglu, M.C.\*\*\*\*

\* Electrical-Electronics Engineering Department, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey (Tel: +90 312-582-3330; e-mail: omer.aydin89@gazi.edu.tr).

\*\* Electrical-Electronics Engineering Department, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey (e-mail: stezcan@gazi.edu.tr).

\*\*\* Electrical-Electronics Engineering Department, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale, Turkey, (e-mail: eke@kku.edu.tr).

\*\*\*\* Electrical-Electronics Engineering Department, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey (e-mail: taplam@gazi.edu.tr).

**Abstract:** This study proposes solving the constraint optimal power flow problem (OPF) by using vortex search algorithm (VSA). VSA is inspired by natural vortexes. Piecewise quadratic fuel cost and quadratic cost curve with valve point loadings test cases are solved on IEEE-30 bus test system by taking into consideration the system constraints such as generation limits, voltages at nodes, tap settings. The obtained test results show that VSA gives better results than any other algorithms which are used to solve the OPF problem.

© 2017, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Optimal power flow, heuristic programming, electric power systems, algorithms, optimization problems, optimal search techniques

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the early years when the electricity use began, the number of subscribers was few, so the number of loads on the power system was limited. Thanks to rapid development of technology, the number of electric appliances and the number of people using these appliances increased. As a result of this increase, loads on power systems began to grow, which made the electricity networks bigger and more complicated. As the networks grew day by day, difficulties arose in planning and operating. Various methods were developed to cope with these difficulties. The most efficient and most widely used of these methods was optimal power flow (OPF) in 1962, published by Carpentier (Carpentier, 1962).

The starting point of OPF is power flow calculations. OPF's difference from the power flow is that the calculations are made while the system limitations are also taken into consideration in these calculations. OPF is trying to optimize the results that will arise in various situations of the system.

Following the development of OPF, various solution techniques and algorithms were used to achieve better results. We can divide these techniques into two main groups: These are classical numerical analysis methods and heuristic algorithms that have emerged in recent years. Examples of classic solution methods in the literature are: Gradient adjustment algorithm (Hermann et. al., 1968), quadratic and linear programming (Nabona et. al., 1973), linear programming based (Srijib et. al., 1992), interior-point (Torres et al, 1998) and quadratic programming (Wibowo et. al., 2013). These methods can be considered successful in obtaining solutions. However, these techniques are inadequate due to their lack of finding optimum results in

large scale non-linear problems, excessive dependence on initial values, solving only certain objective functions and solution search takes a lot of time.

Heuristic algorithms have begun to be used to solve the OPF problem in order to overcome these deficiencies of classical methods and achieve more optimal results. Example studies where heuristic algorithms are used to solve OPF problem are: Improved genetic (Lai et. al., 1997), particle swarm optimization (Abido, 2002), simulated annealing (Sepulveda et. al., 2003), ant colony (Bouktir et. al., 2005), chaotic krill herd (Mukherjee et. al., 2005), artificial bee colony (Sumpavakup et. al., 2010), glowworm swarm optimization (Reddy et. al., 2016).

The aim of this study is to solve the piecewise quadratic fuel cost and quadratic cost curve with valve point loadings objective functions using vortex search algorithm (VSA), which is one the heuristic algorithms.

This paper consists of 5 sections. Section 2 provides information on OPF. Section 3 explains the VSA's working logic which is used to solve the OPF problem. In section 4 the obtained results are given and in section 5 results are evaluated.

## 2. OPTIMAL POWER FLOW

In a few words OPF is a non-linear optimization problem. The solution of the OPF problem is to optimize the chosen objective functions by taking into account the constraints of the system being studied. While optimizing the objective function, the equality and inequality constraints defined in the problem have to be verified (Abido, 2002). The mathematical representation of the OPF is as follows:

Minimize  $f(x, u)$

Subject to:  $g(x, u)$  (1)

$h(x, u) \leq 0$

Where,  $f$  represents the objective function to be minimized,  $g(x, u)$  and  $h(x, u)$  represents the constraints that objective function is subject to. Where,  $x$  represents dependent variables and can be expressed as:

$$x^T = [P_{G1}, V_{L1}, \dots, V_{LN_L}, Q_{G1}, \dots, Q_{GN_G}, S_1, \dots, S_{N_L}] \quad (2)$$

$U$  is called as control variables and can be expressed as:

$$u^T = [V_{G1}, \dots, V_{GN_G}, P_{G2}, \dots, P_{GN_G}, T_1, \dots, T_{N_T}, Q_{C1}, \dots, Q_{CN_C}] \quad (3)$$

OPF constraints can be divided in to two groups: These are equality constraints and inequality constraints.

### 2.1 Equality Constraints

OPF equality constraints consist of equations derived from power flow equations. These constraints are divided into active power equations and reactive power equations. Active power equations is as follows:

$$P_{Gi} - P_{Di} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^{N_B} V_j \left[ G_{ij} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) + B_{ij} \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \right] = 0 \quad (4)$$

Reactive power equation is as follows:

$$Q_{Gi} - Q_{Di} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^{N_B} V_j \left[ G_{ij} \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) - B_{ij} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) \right] = 0 \quad (5)$$

### 2.2 Inequality Constraints

Power systems consist of many devices and elements coming together. These device and components have their own physical limits. Inequality constraints consist of these physical minimum and maximum limitations. These constraints are divided into four groups: Generation, shunt VAR compensations, transformers and security.

Generation constraints:

$$V_{Gi}^{min} \leq V_{Gi} \leq V_{Gi}^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{PV} \quad (6)$$

$$P_{Gi}^{min} \leq P_{Gi} \leq P_{Gi}^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{PV} \quad (7)$$

$$Q_{Gi}^{min} \leq Q_{Gi} \leq Q_{Gi}^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{PV} \quad (8)$$

Transformer constraints:

$$T_i^{min} \leq T_i \leq T_i^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_T \quad (9)$$

Shunt VAR compensator constraints:

$$Q_{ci}^{min} \leq Q_{ci} \leq Q_{ci}^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_C \quad (10)$$

Security constraints:

$$V_{Li}^{min} \leq V_{Li} \leq V_{Li}^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{PQ} \quad (11)$$

$$S_{li} \leq S_{li}^{max} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{TL} \quad (12)$$

In order to obtain more suitable results, the given inequalities are inserted to the objective function as:

$$f(x, u) = f + \lambda_p (P_{Gi} - P_{Gi}^{lim})^2 + \lambda_v \sum_{i=1}^{N_L} (V_{Li} - V_{Li}^{lim})^2 + \lambda_Q \sum_{i=1}^{N_Q} (Q_{Li} - Q_{Li}^{lim})^2 + \lambda_S \sum_{i=1}^{N_I} (S_{li} - S_{li}^{lim})^2 \quad (13)$$

$\lambda_p$ ,  $\lambda_v$ ,  $\lambda_Q$  and  $\lambda_S$  are penalty coefficients selected by user. Explanations of all abridgments are given in Table 1.

**Table 1. Explanations of abridgments**

Abbreviation	Explanation
$P_{Gi}$	Active power generation at bus i
$P_{Di}$	Active power demand at bus i
$Q_{Gi}$	Reactive power generation at bus i
$Q_{Di}$	Reactive power demand at bus i
$V_i$	Voltage at bus i
$V_j$	Voltage at bus j
$G_{ij}$	Conductance between bus i and bus j
$B_{ij}$	Susceptance between bus i and bus j
$V_{Gi}$	Generator voltage at ith generation bus
$T_i$	Tap setting of ith transformer
$Q_{ci}$	Var injection of ith shunt capacitor
$V_{Li}$	Load voltage of ith unit
$S_{li}$	Apparent power flow of ith branch
$N_B$	Number of bus bars
$N_{PV}$	Number of PV buses
$N_{PQ}$	Number of PQ buses
$N_T$	Number of tap regulating transformers
$N_C$	Number of shunt var compensators
$N_{TL}$	Number of transmission lines

### 3. VORTEX SEARCH ALGORITHM

Vortex search algorithm (VSA) is an artificial intelligence-based optimization technique developed by Dogan and Olmez in 2015 (Dogan et. al., 2015). Developers of VSA are inspired by the natural swirls. The algorithm is based on the random distributed artificial particles which search the two-dimensional solution space to find the optimal solution.

The vortex, consist of nested circles in two-dimensional space. The algorithm tries to decrease the biggest circle's radius to find the optimal solution. Initial circle's center ( $\mu_0$ ) calculated as follows:

$$\mu_0 = \frac{upper\ limit + lower\ limit}{2} \quad (14)$$

Circle's initial radius is equals to the standard deviation and calculation of radius is as same as the calculation of  $\mu_0$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7115636>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7115636>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)