



Fig. 1. Principle of Nooru-Mohamed test [1] and test machine.

directions in order to generate the shear and tension load and null forces and torques on the other directions.

To achieve this objective, different methods could be considered and can be classified in two categories: impedance control and hybrid control [12].

Impedance control consists in regulating the mechanical impedance, which is defined as the ratio of force to motion [13]. Therefore, the effort applied by the end-effector on the environment is not directly regulated [14]. It is mainly used for assembling [15], manipulation [16], polishing [17], or more generally for any tasks requiring a position control of a robot which may come into contact with the environment.

The hybrid force control is chosen in particular when the environment is highly deformable, as in a medical context, using flexible environment and tool [18], or when the task requires accurate tracking coupled with high loads, as in a machining context [19,20]. This method is based on the decomposition of the task space into purely motion controlled directions and purely force controlled directions [21,22]. For a 6 DOF parallel manipulator, the n force controlled directions and the $6-n$ position controlled directions in the Cartesian coordinate system are independently regulated. However, in case of parallel kinematic machine, the movement and force applied by each actuated joint has an influence on the position and the force applied by the mobile platform to the specimen in all Cartesian coordinate system axis.

Then, considering the necessity to control specimen boundaries conditions for a parallel kinematic machine despite the test machine structure deflections for a quasi-static test with complex load trajectory, hybrid force/position control is chosen [11]. Moreover, for NM test, boundaries conditions (null force or torque) and position tool path are defined in the Cartesian space with regard to the specimen.

However, the main limitation of this kind of force control is due to the sensitivity to errors in the implemented dynamic model [10,11]. For NM test, this limitation is overcome assuming that:

- NM test is a quasi-static test. Thus, the test machine static model can be used instead of dynamic model as inertial forces can be neglected. Indeed, the movement of the test machine is very small during the NM test thus just the force and torque applied to the specimen should be controlled.
- NM test generated small movement of actuated joint. Thus, test machine Jacobian matrix can be considered as constant during the test.

The validation of these hypotheses is realized in this article.

In this paper, a hybrid force control law for parallel mechanical test machine is implemented for NM test. This paper presents a method to define a hybrid force control law and its tuning method from the mechanical behavior modeling of the tested specimen and the machine with regard to the test requirement. The experimental validation of the proposed control law is realized on a 5 bar parallel robot as the implementation on the final hexapod requires other important developments.

The proposed control law was firstly introduced in references [23] and [24]. This paper particular contribution is the discussion of this control law and experimental validation on 5 bar parallel mechanism. Thus, this last study ensures to validate the real application of the control scheme and the possible generalization of the proposed method.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the hexapod test machine and the model associated. Based on this formalism, Section 3 describes the control scheme implemented. Section 4 presents a first result discussion based on simulations realized on a Simulink®/Adams™ environment. Finally, the defined control law is applied and validated on a 5 bar parallel mechanism.

2. Presentation of test machine

A first experimentation of NM test with a hexapod mechanical test machine has been performed in reference [8]. It revealed that an advanced control law is needed to use extra DOF to have an accurate control of boundary conditions. This test shown that displacement at failure is negligible in comparison to the specimen dimension (0.01%).

During this test, position of the upper limit of the specimen and the load on the specimen are measured with a position sensors and force/torque sensors (Fig. 1).

In a first time, the hexapod test machine and its instrumentation is introduced before the definition of associated model.

2.1. Hexapod test machine

The hexapod test machine is composed of an actuated machine (6 DOF Bosch-Rexroth hexapod with ballscrew electrically actuated cylinder) and a passive hexapod for force measurement. The specimen is placed between this two hexapodes (Figs. 1 and 2). The force and torque measurement is realized with an uncertainty of 80 N and 20 N.m [25]. This instrumentation enables a resolution of 0.2 μ m with a 20 Hz frame rate.

The displacement between the two specimen extremities is achieved

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7126963>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7126963>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)