



The effect of engineered surface topography on the tribology of CFR-PEEK for novel hip implant materials



Hayley Wyatt^{a,*}, Marc Elliott^b, Paul Revill^a, Alastair Clarke^a

^a Cardiff University, School of Engineering, Cardiff, UK

^b Zimmer-Biomet, Bridgend, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 March 2016

Received in revised form 19 August 2016

Accepted 20 August 2016

Available online 22 August 2016

Keywords:

Artificial hip joint

Surface topography

Wear testing

ABSTRACT

Carbon-fibre-reinforced polyether ether ketone (CFR PEEK) has the potential to improve the wear resistance of orthopaedic implants and its performance can be further enhanced by surface texturing. This scoping study investigates the effects of surface textures on the friction behaviour of CFR PEEK, using screening testing to identify textures suitable for development for acetabular cup applications.

Six surface textures were designed and applied to CFR PEEK discs using laser surface texturing, with the dimple diameter and area coverage being varied. These textures were tested using pin on disc testing, with a cobalt chrome pin representing the femoral head. Coefficient of friction and surface characterisation were used to assess the performance of each texture.

The results from the study demonstrated that all textures reduced the coefficient of friction compared to the plain material. The variation in the performance of the different textures highlighted a need for optimum texture characteristics to be found. 150 µm circular dimples, spaced 175–200 µm apart with an area coverage of 10–15% gave the best performance in saline lubrication at a contact pressure of 2 MPa and sliding speed of 50 mm/s. Tests should be repeated in a proteinaceous testing medium at various contact pressures and speeds.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the course of the last decade the number of hip replacements being performed across England and Wales has increased dramatically, from 14,413 in 2003 to 76,274 in 2013, with around 93% of these surgeries being performed due to the patient suffering from osteoarthritis [1]. Throughout this period, the design of hip implants has continued to evolve due to an improvement in the understanding of material behaviour and joint tribology. For example, the adverse effects of metal – on – metal implants are well documented throughout literature and are the hip implant design most likely to result in revision surgery [1]. This is thought to be due to the wear particles causing aseptic loosening of the implant [1]. The most popular material choice for hip implants across England and Wales in 2013 was metal – on – polyethylene, accounting for 32.4% of all hip implants, with this material combination reducing the likelihood of subsequent revision surgery [1]. The improvements in this hip implant design are likely to be attributed to an improvement in wear performance, including a reduction in coefficient of friction and the production of fewer wear particles. Whilst this material combination has improved the performance of orthopaedic implant design there is still an interest in continued development of new implant technologies, in order to improve performance by further

reducing the wear, increasing the lifetime of the implant and reducing the need for revision surgery.

Many different material combinations have been investigated in an effort to improve implant performance, for example ultra-high-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) or ceramic materials such as alumina can be used for the lining of the acetabular cup. A more recent material considered for use with orthopaedic implants is carbon fibre reinforced polyether ether ketone (CFR PEEK). This material consists of PEEK as a base with the addition of short strands of carbon fibre in varying concentrations and orientations to improve the wear performance of the material. This material has been shown to perform as well as or better than polyethylene for use within orthopaedic implants [2,3,4] although further investigation is required in order to understand fully the material behaviour and to optimise the application of such a material to implant design.

Further improvements in implant performance may be achieved by the use of different surface treatments. One such technique is the use of oxygen plasma treatment to help promote lubrication through protein absorption, controlling the hydrophilicity of the surface and reducing friction [5]. Other techniques to modify the surface of the material include surface texturing to improve the performance of implants. For example, textures may be used to control the size and shape of wear particles produced [6], control temperature within the implant [7], and reduce the coefficient of friction [5,8–10]. A number of mechanisms have been suggested to explain the improvement in tribological

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wyatthl@cardiff.ac.uk (H. Wyatt).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153138>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7153138>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)