

3

4

7

11

13 14

22

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com JOURNAL OF AERONAUTICS

Effects of axial gap and nozzle distribution on aerodynamic forces of a supersonic partial-admission turbine

⁶ Jinpeng JIANG^a, Jiawen LI^a, Guobiao CAI^a, Jue WANG^{b,*}, Qiyan LIN^c

^a School of Astronautics, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

⁸ ^b System Engineering Division, China Academy of Launch Vehicle Technology, Beijing 100076, China

⁹ ^c Beijing Aerospace Propulsion Institute, Beijing 100076, China

10 Received 21 October 2016; revised 19 December 2016; accepted 12 April 2017

KEYWORDS

Aerodynamic force;
 Axial gap;
 Computational fluid
 dynamics (CFD);
 Nozzle distribution;
 Partial admission;
 Turbine

Abstract The turbine in an LH2/LOX rocket engine is designed as a two-stage supersonic partialadmission turbine. Three-dimensional steady and unsteady simulations were conducted to analyze turbine performance and aerodynamic forces on rotor blades. Different configurations were employed to investigate the effects of the axial gap and nozzle distribution on the predicted performance and aerodynamic forces. Rotor blades experience unsteady aerodynamic forces because of the partial admission. Aerodynamic forces show periodicity in the admission region, and are close to zero after leaving the admission region. The unsteady forces in frequency domain indicate that components exist in a wide frequency region, and the admission passing frequency is dominant. Those multiples of the rotational frequency which are multiples of the nozzle number in a fulladmission turbine are notable components. Results show that the turbine efficiency decreases as the axial gap between nozzles and the 1st stage rotor (rotor 1) increases. Fluctuation of the circumferential aerodynamic force on rotor 1 blades decreases with the axial gap increasing. The turbine efficiency decreases as the circumferential spacing between nozzles increases. Fluctuations of the circumferential and axial aerodynamic forces increase as the circumferential spacing increases. As for the non-equidistant nozzle distribution, it produces similar turbine performance and amplitudefrequency characteristics of forces to those of the normal configuration, when the mean spacing is equal to that of the normal case.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wangjuetougao@163.com (J. WANG). Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.09.003

1. Introduction

Supersonic turbines with partial admission are sometimes 24 employed in rocket engines to avoid an extremely low blade 25 height which would result in large secondary losses such 26 as the tip leakage loss. In addition, partial admission 27

1000-9361 © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: JIANG J et al. Effects of axial gap and nozzle distribution on aerodynamic forces of a supersonic partial-admission turbine, *Chin J Aeronaut* (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.09.003

109

110

111

112

113

114 115

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135 136

138

139

 $\begin{array}{c}
 140 \\
 141
 \end{array}$

144

147

148

configurations are commonly applied to control the power out-28 29 put and get higher efficiency in the control stages of power 30 plants and industrial steam turbines. However, additional 31 forms of loss exist in partial admission compared with fulladmission turbines, such as the mixing loss generated in the 32 interface regions between the low-energy dead flow and the 33 34 high-energy through flow, which significantly influences the efficiency.¹ Furthermore, circumferential non-uniformity is 35 increased due to partial admission. The flow in a turbomachine 36 is highly unsteady because of the interaction between adjacent 37 rows due to the effects of wakes.^{2,3} In a partial-admission tur-38 39 bine, rotor blades periodically pass through flowing regions as 40 well as regions of no flow, inducing strong flow-exciting forces 41 besides vane wakes, which may result in high cycle fatigue.⁴ Rotor blade cracks once occurred in turbopump tests for some 42 liquid rocket engines designed with a partial-admission tur-43 bine, and the failure is believed to be caused by exciting forces. 44 45 It is certainly necessary to investigate the unsteady flow and 46 aerodynamic forces in partial-admission turbines.

Experimental investigations and theory analysis of partial 47 admission have been conducted by several researchers.⁵⁻⁹ 48 Numerical simulation has also been a powerful approach 49 widely used by researchers. Full 360° Computational Fluid 50 Dynamics (CFD) models and 3-Dimensional (3D) transient 51 simulations are usually required, and sometimes multistage 52 53 simulation is needed, which all make numerical investigations with high computational costs.¹⁰ 2-Dimensional (2D)^{1,11} and 54 quasi- $3D^{12}$ simulations were usually conducted in the past. 55 With the development of CFD and the improvement of com-56 puters, 3D transient numerical simulations for investigations 57 of partial admission have been widely conducted. Effects of 58 59 the axial gap between the first-stage stator and rotor and 60 multiblocking on the performance of partial-admission turbines were numerically studied by Hushmandi and Fransson.¹³ 61 62 They illustrated that reducing the axial gap produced a better 63 efficiency at the first stage, but the overall efficiency at the second stage was decreased. Numerical simulations were con-64 ducted for a steam turbine with different degrees of partial 65 admission by Qu et al.¹⁴, and they pointed out that the 66 unsteady computational result was more accurate to analyze 67 the flow of the control stage. Newton et al.¹⁵ investigated the 68 sources of loss in a turbine with both full and partial admission 69 numerically, and evaluated the distribution of loss with 70 entropy production. From these previous investigations about 71 partial admission, we can see that steam turbines has usually 72 been studied and the turbine aerodynamic performance has 73 74 mostly been focused on. However, unsteady forces on rotor blades for a rocket engine turbine have been investigated by 75 relatively few studies, especially a turbine with partial admis-76 77 sion. Jöcker et al.¹⁶ numerically studied the aerodynamic excitation mechanisms due to the unsteady stator-rotor interaction 78 in a supersonic turbine for a rocket engine turbopump. Hud-79 son et al.¹⁷ experimentally measured and numerically simu-80 lated the surface pressure on a rocket engine turbine blade. 81 Tokuyama et al.¹⁸ conducted numerical simulation for a 82 partial-admission turbine used for a rocket engine. The blade 83 scaling procedure was adopted, which resulted in a simulation 84 with one third of full passages. The unsteady aerodynamic 85 force was analyzed. They showed that unsteady force compo-86 nents appeared in wide frequencies. Using a genetic algorithm, 87 the partial admission of a supersonic turbine was optimized by 88 Tog and Tousi.¹⁹ 89

The turbine in the current study is used for an expander cycle 90 LH2/LOX rocket engine. It is a two-stage supersonic turbine 91 with partial admission. A first-stage rotor blade cracked in a 92 test. Besides processing defects, the excitation produced by 93 the partial admission configuration and supersonic nozzles 94 was considered to result in the failure. Therefore, the unsteady 95 flow in a full-annulus turbine was numerically investigated in 96 this paper to study the unsteady aerodynamic forces. Further-97 more, effects of the axial gap and nozzle distribution on the tur-98 bine performance and aerodynamic forces were studied, in 99 order to search for a possible method to reduce the excitation. 100 Configurations with different equal circumferential spacings 101 between nozzles and unequal circumferential spacings were 102 analyzed to study the effect of the nozzle distribution on the tur-103 bine performance and unsteady forces on rotor blades. Config-104 urations with various axial gaps between nozzles and the first-105 stage rotor were analyzed to investigate the influence of the 106 axial gap on the turbine performance and aerodynamic forces. 107

2. Computational procedures 108

2.1. Fundamental equation

Reynolds time-averaged Navier-Stokes equations are solved by commercial CFD software of ANSYS-CFX. The general form of governing equations for the compressible viscous unsteady flow in a Cartesian coordinate is expressed as follows:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\phi)}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho U\phi) = \operatorname{div}(\Gamma_{\phi}\operatorname{grad}(\phi)) + S_{\phi} \tag{1}$$

where ρ is density, ϕ is the general variable for different equations, t is time, U is velocity, Γ_{ϕ} is the general diffusion coefficient, and S_{ϕ} is the general source term. Conservation equations for mass, momentum, and energy can be obtained by setting ϕ to 1, u, v, w, and h, where u, v, w are velocity components, and h is enthalpy. Turbulence models can be obtained when setting ϕ to turbulence kinetic energy k and turbulent frequency ω . The ideal gas equation of state is adopted for enclosure.

The $k - \omega$ based Shear Stress Transport (SST) turbulence model was employed in this study, since it can give highly accurate predictions of the onset and the amount of flow separation under adverse pressure gradients.^{20–22} The SST model combines the advantages of the $k - \omega$ model in the near-wall region and the $k - \varepsilon$ model in the bulk domain, and accounts for the transport of the turbulent shear stress. The proper transport behavior can be obtained by a limit to the formulation of the eddy-viscosity as:

$$v_{t} = \frac{a_{1}k}{\max(a_{1}\omega, SF_{2})} \tag{2}$$

where a_1 is equal to 5/9, S is an invariant measure of the strain rate, and F_2 is a blending function expressed as:

$$F_2 = \tanh(\arg_2^2) \tag{3}$$
 143

$$\arg_2 = \max\left(\frac{2\sqrt{k}}{\beta'\omega y}, \frac{500\nu}{y^2\omega}\right) \tag{4}$$

where $\beta' = 0.09$, y is the distance to the nearest wall, and v is the kinematic viscosity.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153850

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7153850

Daneshyari.com