

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com JOURNAL OF AERONAUTICS

Blade bowing effects on radial equilibrium of inlet flow in axial compressor cascades

Han XU^a, Hao CHANG^b, Donghai JIN^{a,*}, Xingmin GUI^a

^a School of Energy and Power Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China ^b AECC Shenyang Engine Research Institute, Shenyang 110015, China

Received 5 May 2016; revised 31 December 2016; accepted 20 February 2017

KEYWORDS

- 13 Axial compressor;
- 14 Bowing;
- 15 Cascade;

3

5

6

7

8

11

19

- 16 Circumferential fluctuation;
- Inlet flow;
 Radial equilibrium
- **Abstract** The circumferentially averaged equation of the inlet flow radial equilibrium in axial compressor was deduced. It indicates that the blade inlet radial pressure gradient is closely related to the radial component of the circumferential fluctuation (CF) source item. Several simplified cascades with/without aerodynamic loading were numerically studied to investigate the effects of blade bowing on the inlet flow radial equilibrium. A data reduction program was conducted to obtain the CF source from three-dimensional (3D) simulation results. Flow parameters at the passage inlet were focused on and each term in the radial equilibrium equation was discussed quantitatively. Results indicate that the inviscid blade force is the inducement of the inlet CF due to geometrical asymmetry. Blade bowing induces variation of the inlet CF, thus changes the radial pressure gradient and leads to flow migration before leading edge (LE) in the cascades. Positive bowing drives the inlet flow to migrate from end walls to mid-span and negative bowing turns it to the reverse direction to build a new equilibrium. In addition, comparative studies indicate that the inlet Mach number and blade loading can efficiently impact the effectiveness of blade bowing on radial equilibrium in compressor design.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

ondary flow loss in design.

20 1. Introduction

To control the secondary flows in turbine machines, the concept of blade bowing was published in the 1960s by Deich

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jdh@buaa.edu.cn (D. JIN).

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.07.014

1000-9361 © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

et al.¹ Since then, experimental and numerical investigations of

bowed blades were carried out in both compressors and tur-

bines.^{2–11} Blade bowing has been proved to be an effective

design method to control corner separation and reduce sec-

showed that blade bowing and lean strongly influenced the

development of the secondary flows in rectilinear compressor

cascades. For bowed blade, the corner stall was reduced at

both suction/end-wall surface corners, while flow losses were

increased at the mid-span. Weingold et al.⁴ showed that bowed

stator generated radial forces on the flow within the blade

The experimental studies of Breugelmans² and Shang³ et al.

Please cite this article in press as: XU H et al. Blade bowing effects on radial equilibrium of inlet flow in axial compressor cascades, Chin J Aeronaut (2017), http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.07.014

34

23

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131 132

passage, which reduced the diffusion rate in the suction surface corner, and substantially delayed or eliminated the formation of corner separation. Fischer et al.⁵ studied the effects of strongly bowed stator vanes on the performance of a fourstage high-speed compressor. Results showed that the bowed stators eliminated the corner stall at hub and leaded to the increase of overall static pressure rise, total pressure rise, and overall efficiency, when the compressor was highly loaded. Chen et al.¹¹ proposed a partial bowed rotor blade for a newly designed high loaded axial fan. Numerical studies presented that the separated flow was reduced, the efficiency of the fan with bowed blades was enhanced by 1.44%, and the static pressure rise was increased by 11% under design condition.

48 As mentioned above, most of the former studies focused on the improvements of the corner flow and total performance 49 achieved by using bowed blades while the inlet flow variation 50 51 was neglected. Although the change of the stacking line in 52 bowed blades may lead to complicated interaction of different 53 flow parameters, the inlet flow condition is believed to be the key factor as the element profile remains the same. However, 54 in recent years, some researchers have noticed the inlet flow 55 migration induced by sweep and blade bowing. The experi-56 mental results of McNulty et al.¹² showed that more flows were 57 pulled towards the blade tip in forward swept rotors where 58 higher inlet axial velocity was discovered. As a result, the leak-59 age flow blockage and the aerodynamic loading were reduced 60 in the tip region. Gallimore et al.¹³ presented the computa-61 tional fluid dynamics (CFD) results of a single stage axial com-62 pressor, which indicated that both the velocity profile and the 63 incidence angle changed near the leading edge (LE) with the 64 application of sweep and dihedral. The numerical study of 65 Ramakrishna and Govardhan¹⁴ clearly reported that lower 66 incidences were received in a subsonic axial compressor as 67 the blades were forward swept, leading to the mass flow rate 68 69 variations. However, the authors considered the stagger angle 70 as the key factor of this phenomenon. Gabriele et al.¹⁵ described the pressure distribution upstream the blade passage 71 72 in a straight cascade with the lean angle of 20°. He found that the pressure levels at two end walls were quite different when 73 74 the blade was positively leaned. A radial pressure gradient 75 upstream the LE was observed and thrust the flows from hub to tip. Tan et al.⁶⁻⁸ studied the effects of blade bowing 76 on the aerodynamic performance of highly loaded turbine cas-77 cades and showed that the incidence angles were different in 78 the cascades with different bow angles, but the mechanism of 79 80 the inlet flow change was not described.

81 Through the designing of multistage compressors with the application of bowed and swept blades, it is very common to 82 have blade loading variation along the span, which results in 83 the different requirements of the incidence characteristic. So 84 the question worth researching is how blade bowing and sweep 85 induce the inlet flow variety and how to use this effectiveness 86 87 to obtain the maximum benefits of the aerodynamic perfor-88 mance. On account of the complicacy of 3D flows, dimension-89 ality reduction is preferred by some researchers as an effective approach to understand the mechanism of blade bowing and 90 sweep quantitatively. 91

Based on this idea, Gui et al.¹⁶ firstly discovered the rele-92 vance between the inlet flow migration and the circumferential 93 fluctuation (CF) in axial fans/compressors with sweep using 94 95 circumferentially averaged method. He attributed the incidence angle change and the sweep characteristics to the new 96

radial equilibrium established by the inlet CF in the subsonic compressors/fans. The previous works of Chang et al.¹⁷ proved the effects of the inlet CF on the inlet flow migration in different swept cascades. The study indicated that blade sweep did affect the inlet radial equilibrium and the inlet flow field varied mainly due to the combined effects of the radial pressure gradient and the CF source components.

As another three-dimensional blading concept, blade bowing is convinced to have significant influence on the blade inlet flow like sweep does in axial compressors, and the inlet CF should play an important role in the rebuilding of the inlet radial equilibrium. This paper presents a numerical study of simplified cascades to investigate the mechanism of the inlet equilibrium variation in bowed cascades. The circumferentially averaged method introduced in Ref. 16 is also used here to obtain the inlet CF source.

2. Blade inlet circumferential fluctuation

Lots of factors may contribute to the inlet CF in compressors, such as the inlet distortion and the wake interference from upstream. But for a single blade row with uniform incoming flow, the inlet CF can be considered as the extending of the CF within the blade passage. The circumferential pressure fluctuation acts on the flow as inviscid blade force inside the blade passage. The inviscid blade force turns to zero before the LE, but the pressure fluctuation remains nonzero. Fig. 1 presents the pressure distribution of a single blade row and it can be noticed that the inlet flow is circumferentially nonuniform. So the inlet CF is an inherent characteristic of the geometrically asymmetric blade rows, and it can be represented by the CF source items obtained with the circumferentially averaged method.

For inviscid flow in rotational cylindrical coordinates, the radial, circumferential and axial components of the Navier-Stokes momentum equations are expressed as follows, eliminating volume force:

$$\left(\frac{\partial(r\rho w_r^2)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial(\rho w_r w_u)}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial(r\rho w_r w_x)}{\partial x} = \rho(w_u + \omega r)^2 - r\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \\
\frac{\partial(r\rho w_r w_u)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial(\rho w_u^2)}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial(r\rho w_u w_x)}{\partial x} = -\rho w_r(w_u + 2\omega r) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varphi} \tag{1} \\
\frac{\partial(r\rho w_r w_x)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial(\rho w_w w_x)}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial(r\rho w_x^2)}{\partial x} = -r\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \tag{1}$$



Fig. 1 Pressure distribution of single blade row.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153888

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7153888

Daneshyari.com