

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

### **Chinese Journal of Aeronautics**

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com JOURNAL OF AERONAUTICS

# ABORT-like detector to combat active deceptive jamming in a network of LFM radars

Yuan Zhao\*, Zhi Ran, Ying Xiong, Bin Tang

6 School of Electronic Engineering, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China

7 Received 15 June 2016; revised 10 September 2016; accepted 28 October 2016

#### KEYWORDS

12 Adaptive signal detector;

13 ECCM capability;

14 Power amplifier;

- 15 Radar discrimination;
- 16 Signal processing
- 17

3

5

 $10 \\ 11$ 

**Abstract** This paper studies an electronic counter-counter measures (ECCM) scheme combating against deceptive electronic counter measure (ECM) techniques. An adaptive detector exploiting generalized likelihood ratio test (GRLT) criterion is applied to detect the presence of deceptive jamming in fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) domain. First, the generating mechanism of spurious frequencies is analyzed based on the Volterra serial. The proposed nonlinear distortion model based on power amplifier behavior is robust in distortion analysis when the memory effect is considered. Second, a modified adaptive beamformer orthogonal rejection test (ABORT) like detector in closed form is built. The proposed detector can discriminate the echo and deceptive jamming adaptively by exploiting primary data and secondary data. This ECCM scheme is capable of guaranteeing the performance without the restriction of orthogonality, which is essential for the ABORT detectors. The expansion to radar network is discussed as a special case at the final part of this paper. Numerical simulations demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

© 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 18 **1. Introduction**

Aimed at generating false targets around real target, main-lobe
deceptive electronic counter measure (ECM) techniques are
designed to combat against phase array radars.<sup>1</sup> This ECM
scheme is often enhanced by using digital radio frequency
memory (DRFM) systems. Moreover, for deceiving remote

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

radar stations, power amplifier plays an important role in signal transmission. The high accuracy reconstruction of deceptive jamming (We note here that the notion of deceptive jamming denotes the waveform before matched filter and the notion of false target denotes the target indication after matched filter in this paper.) affects the basic function of tracking radar systems. This makes the cancellation and discrimination of false targets paramountly important. Thus, modern tracking radars have incorporated electronic counter-counter measures (ECCM) techniques to combat against the ECM signals.

The ECCM techniques for tracking radars can be categorized in accordance with tracking procedures. Generally, radar systems conduct target tracking by transmitting an optimal designed signal, receiving targets returns by an array of 35

36

37

38

24

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.04.014

1000-9361 © 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Zhao Y et al. ABORT-like detector to combat active deceptive jamming in a network of LFM radars, Chin J Aeronaut (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.04.014

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zy\_uestc@outlook.com (Y. Zhao).

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127 128

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144 145

147

antenna, processing target detection and parameter estimation, 39 40 and processing targets tracking. Moreover, the network version of radar stations applies information fusion for better tar-41 gets detection, tracking and ECCM performance. Transmitter-42 based techniques include pulse diversity,<sup>2,3</sup> frequency agility, 43 and pulse repetition interval (PRI) jitter. These techniques 44 ensure that the jammer cannot easily anticipate the radar 45 pulses. Besides, the application of low probability of intercep-46 tion signals<sup>4</sup> makes it difficult for jammer to estimate the 47 parameters of the victim radar station. Antenna-based tech-48 49 niques are capable of preventing jamming from entering side-50 lobes of radar through sidelobe blanking and sidelobe 51 canceling. Signal processing-based techniques adaptively can-52 cel the deceptive jamming based on the assumption that jamming signals and echoes are not overlapped in time, 53 frequency, space or transform domains<sup>5–8</sup> after some specific 54 55 processing. Furthermore, adaptive discriminations of decep-56 tive jamming and echo based on the finger print features<sup>9</sup> and subspace structure differences are also reported. Data 57 processing-based techniques<sup>10-12</sup> apply target tracking and 58 reject false targets through their range, angle, velocity and 59 acceleration under the assumption that the deceptive jamming 60 will introduce a mismatch on motion behavior. However, the 61 limitations of ECCM techniques are also obvious. The com-62 63 plex transmitting signals will increase the computation burden and may decrease detection performance. Antenna-based tech-64 65 niques are only feasible for sidelobe jamming suppression. Sig-66 nal processing-based techniques are highly affected by signal to noise ratio (SNR) and jamming to noise ratio (JNR). In addi-67 tion, when the jammer modulates the false targets accurately 68 on both time delay and Doppler frequency, the data 69 processing-based techniques will come to failure. Thus, a net-70 work of radar equipped with ECCM techniques can enhance 71 the performance against deceptive jamming.13,14 Whatever 72 73 techniques are used, the reaction of the radars must be imme-74 diate and adaptive to prevent the ECM.

75 In this paper, we consider the problem of detecting the pres-76 ence of deceptive jamming in the cell under test (CUT), and the 77 aim of this paper is to approach the jamming detection problem as a signal processing-based ECCM technique. To achieve 78 79 this aim, the behavior model of the deceptive jamming emitted 80 by a DRFM jammer is introduced in Section 2, and the fingerprint feature of the spectra based on Volterra serial is also ana-81 lyzed. In Section 3, we present a method of extracting this 82 fingerprint feature. Besides, we build a detector exploiting 83 the fractional Fourier transform (FrFT) to distinguish between 84 85 echo and deceptive jamming. In Ref. 15, the authors proposed a detector based on adaptive beamformer orthogonal rejection 86 test (ABORT). The ABORT detector decides if the observed 87 data contain a signal which belongs to one given subspace or 88 a signal which belongs to an orthogonal subspace. The rejec-89 tion of interfering signals was also considered.<sup>16-19</sup> In those 90 91 papers, the authors solved the detection problem of extended 92 targets embedded in homogeneous and partially extended 93 interferences embedded homogeneous noise. These papers assume that targets and interferers are multidimensional and 94 linearly independent signals. Unfortunately, for the real sce-95 nario, the echo and the deceptive jamming are generally not 96 orthogonal, but their subspaces are different by an angle.<sup>20</sup> 97 Thus, we modify the ABORT detector into our refined model 98 99 to detect the presence of deceptive jamming only. Finally, we discuss a special case by extending our method to radar net-100

work. The numerical results are carried out by Monte Carlo simulation, which are described in Section 4, and we discuss the shortage and perspective of our method in Section 5.

#### 2. Power amplifier distortion model

It is assumed that a phase array radar transmits a coherent linear frequency modulated (LFM) signal for target tracking. The basic structure of DRFM jammer is shown in Fig. 1. Several procedures will introduce a nonlinear distortion. As is discussed in Ref. 20, phase quantifier DRFM jammers will introduce a nonlinear distortion when quantization bits are low. Unfortunately, the advanced DRFM jammer is capable of quantifying intercepted signal in both amplitude and phase. Besides, the quantization bits are generally greater than 6-bits even when the sampling rate is greater than 2 GHz. Thus, it is not accurate for distortion analyses from the analog to digital converter (ADC) point of view. RF means radio frequency and DAC mean digital to analog in Fig. 1.

As an essential part of the DRFM jammer, the power amplifier ensures that deceptive jamming remains a high power for combating against remote radars. Generally, the nonlinear behavior for the power amplifier can be analyzed in physical models using nonlinear coefficient model of the power amplifier.<sup>21,22</sup> For a simple case, we suppose that there is no time delay and false Doppler frequency modulated by the DRFM jammer. The additive noise is set to be zero. The input discrete pulse waveform can then be expressed as

$$x(n) = A \exp\left[-j2\pi (f_0 n + \gamma n^2 + \varphi_0)\right]$$
(1)

where A is the amplitude,  $f_0$  the video frequency of the DRFM output,  $\gamma$  the chirp rate, and  $\varphi_0$  the initial phase.

As is discussed in Ref. 22, considering the memory effect and polynomial structure, the distortion of power amplifier for a narrow band LFM input satisfies the Volterra series model. In order to meet the requirement of accurate modeling and low calculation burden, we consider a Volterra series model with memory depth of 5 and a Volterra order of 3, where the output power spectrum has a likelihood ratio greater than 95% compared to the ADS output through the simulations shown below. And there has little effect on likelihood ratio when a greater memory depth and Volterra order are chosen. The Volterra series model for the power amplifier output can then be interpreted as

$$y(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} h_1(i) x(n-i) + \sum_{i_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{p-1} h_2(i_1,i_2) x(n-i_1) \cdot x'(n-i_2) + \sum_{i_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{p-1} h_3(i_1,i_2,i_3) x(n-i_1) \cdot x(n-i_2) \cdot x'(n-i_3) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} Ah_1(i) \exp[-j2\pi (f_0(n-i) + \gamma(n-i)^2 + \varphi_0)] + \sum_{i_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{p-1} A^2 h_2(i_1,i_2) \exp[-j2\pi (2\gamma(i_2-i_1)n + f_0(i_2-i_1) + (i_2^2 - i_1^2))] + \sum_{i_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{p-1} h_3(i_1,i_2,i_3) x(n-i_1) \cdot x(n-i_2) \cdot x'(n-i_3)$$
(2)

Please cite this article in press as: Zhao Y et al. ABORT-like detector to combat active deceptive jamming in a network of LFM radars, *Chin J Aeronaut* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.04.014

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153956

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7153956

Daneshyari.com