CSAA

1

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/10009361)

³ Distributed cooperative guidance for multiple ⁴ missiles with fixed and switching communication topologies

6 Qilun Zhao^a, Xiwang Dong^a, Zixuan Liang ^{b,*}, Chen Bai^c, Jian Chen^d, 7 Zhang Ren^a

8 a Science and Technology on Aircraft Control Laboratory, School of Automation Science and Electronic Engineering,

9 Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China

- 10 b School of Astronautics, Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China
- 11 ^c China Academy of Launch Vehicle Technology, Beijing 100076, China

 12 ^d College of Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100083, China

13 Received 23 July 2016; revised 6 January 2017; accepted 25 March 2017

16 **KEYWORDS**

14

25

- 18 Consensus;
- 19 Cooperative guidance;
- 20 Directed topology;
- 21 Missile guidance;
22 Multiple missiles: Multiple missiles;
- 23 Switching communication
- 24 topologies

Abstract This study investigates cooperative guidance problems for multiple missiles with fixed and switching directed communication topologies. A two-step guidance strategy is proposed to realize the simultaneous attack. In the first step, a distributed cooperative guidance law is designed using local neighboring information for multiple missiles to achieve consensus on range-to-go and leading angle. The second step begins when the consensus of multiple missiles is realized. During the second step, multiple missiles disconnect from each other and hit the target using the proportional navigation guidance law. First, based on the local neighboring communications, a sufficient condition for multiple missiles to realize simultaneous attack with a fixed communication topology is presented, where the topology is only required to have a directed spanning tree. Then, the results are extended to the case of switching communication topologies. Finally, numerical simulations are provided to validate the theoretical results.

 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction 26

Corresponding author. E-mail address: aliang@buaa.edu.cn (Z. Liang).

Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.

ELSEVIER **Production and hosting by Elsevier**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.06.009>

1000-9361 2017 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

Please cite this article in press as: Zhao Q et al. Distributed cooperative guidance for multiple missiles with fixed and switching communication topologies, Chin J Aeronaut (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2017.06.009>

This is partly because a group of well-organized and low- 31 cost UAVs may have better performance than a single 32

Numerous advanced control methods on one single aircraft 27 have been investigated in the last decade.^{[1,2](#page--1-0)} Recently, cooper-
28 ative control of multi-agent system $3,4$ and multiple unmanned 29 aerial vehicles $(UAVs)^{5-7}$ have received considerable interest. 30 advanced and high-cost UAV. Similarly, multiple missiles also possess the same characteristic. To saturate a target's defenses, survive the threats and increase the kill probability, simultane- ous attack of multiple missiles is proposed. Simultaneous attack means that a group of missiles launched from different positions hit the target simultaneously.

 In the current literature, works regarding simultaneous attack of multiple missiles can be classified into two categories. The first category is individual homing, in which a guidance law is investigated for each missile to reach the target at a des-43 ignated time. $8-13$ Although the above guidance laws can be used to realize simultaneous attack, they suffer from the disad- vantage that a suitable impact time must be preprogrammed manually for each missile before launching. In other words, simultaneous attack cannot be achieved autonomously.

 The second category is cooperative homing (i.e., coopera- tive guidance), in which multiple missiles communicate with each other to synchronize the impact time. Jeon et al.^{[14](#page--1-0)} pro- posed a cooperative guidance law called cooperative propor- tional navigation (CPN). CPN has a time-varying navigation gain that can be adjusted via time-to-go (i.e., the remaining time of impact) estimation. In Ref. [15,](#page--1-0) a cooperative guidance law for controlling both the impact time and impact angle was derived. The cooperative guidance laws in Refs. [14,15](#page--1-0) require that global information on time-to-go estimation is available to each missile, which may lead to a high communication cost and a high computational load. Distributed cooperative guid- ance based on local information exchange has arisen to cope 61 with this problem. Zhao and $Zhou^{16}$ $Zhou^{16}$ $Zhou^{16}$ developed a two-level guidance architecture and obtained a decentralized coopera- tive guidance law. Derived from neighbor-to-neighbor com- munication, cooperative guidance was extended to the cases 65 of maneuvering target intercept, $17,18$ obstacle avoidance, $19,20$ 66 finite time control, $z^{1,22}$ particle swarm optimization^{[23](#page--1-0)} and 67 leader-follower structure.^{[24](#page--1-0)} Wang et al.^{[25](#page--1-0)} normalized the dynamic equations of a multi-missile system into a quasi- double integrator model and proposed a two-stage control strategy.

 For cooperative guidance, communication among multiple missiles plays an important role due to the requirement of obtaining neighboring information. Cooperative guidance laws in Refs. [21,22,25](#page--1-0) are based on undirected communication topologies, which means that the information flow among mul- tiple missiles is assumed to be bidirectional (i.e., undirected). This may consume twice communication and power resources compared with the unidirectional (i.e., directed) ones. Note that both communication and power resources are limited in the battlefield. In this situation, unidirectional communication is more practical than the bidirectional one and it is more con- venient for each missile to obtain information from its nearest neighbors. Thus, it is meaningful to consider cooperative guid- ance using local neighboring directed communications. Fur- thermore, in practical battlefield, the communication between different missiles may be unreliable due to the existence of communication channel failures and new creations among multiple missiles. That is, the communication topology of mis- siles may be switching rather than fixed. Hence, it is necessary to investigate the influence of switching directed topologies on distributed cooperative guidance. Although switching topolo-92 gies were considered by Zhang et al., 26,27 26,27 26,27 their results are based on the time-to-go (i.e., the remaining time of impact) estima-tion. Actually, the time-to-go cannot be measured directly by

any onboard devices.^{[27](#page--1-0)} Moreover, it is difficult to estimate $\frac{95}{2}$ time-go-to accurately, particularly in cooperative guidance 96 problems.^{[13](#page--1-0)} Thus, the difficulty of time-to-go estimation 97 restricts the application and development of cooperative guid- 98 ance laws to some extent. To the best of our knowledge, dis- 99 tributed cooperative guidance problems for multiple missiles 100 with switching directed communication topologies, which are 101 independent of time-to-go estimation, are still open. 102

Inspired by the facts stated above, distributed cooperative 103 guidance problems for multiple missiles are investigated in this 104 study without using the time-to-go estimation. Both the fixed 105 topology and switching topology cases are considered. A 106 two-step guidance strategy for multiple missiles to realize 107 simultaneous attack is presented. Based on the local neighbor- 108 ing communication and feedback linearization approach, a 109 distributed cooperative guidance law (i.e., first step of the 110 strategy) is derived to make the states (i.e., range-to-go and 111 leading angle) of multiple missiles reach consensus. The second 112 step is further adopted when the consensus of states is realized. 113 In the second step, multiple missiles attack the target under 114 proportional navigation (PN) guidance law. Next, sufficient 115 conditions under which multiple missiles can achieve simulta- 116 neous attack with fixed and switching directed communication 117 topologies are presented, respectively. Finally, numerical sim- 118 ulations are given to validate the theoretical results. 119

Compared with the existing works, the main features of the 120 current study are threefold. First, the proposed guidance law is 121 based on local neighboring directed communications, which 122 are only required to have a directed spanning tree. That is, 123 the requirement on communication network is more practical. 124 In Refs. [14,15](#page--1-0), communication topologies are assumed to be 125 fully connected, and global information on time-to-go estima- 126 tion is needed. In Refs. 21, 22, 25, it is assumed that the infor-
127 mation exchange among multiple missiles is undirected. The 128 case of general directed communication topologies is more 129 challenging than that of undirected communication topologies, 130 since the Laplacian matrix is not symmetric any more (see Ref. 131 [28](#page--1-0) for more details). Second, the communication topologies of 132 multiple missiles can be switching, which is the more general 133 case in implementation. In Refs. $14-23$, the communication 134 topologies are fixed. When communication topologies are 135 switching, both the design and analysis become more complex 136 and challenging than those for the fixed case. $29,30$ Finally, the 137 results in this study can be applied to achieving simultaneous 138 attack autonomously. In Refs. $8-13$, suitable impact time has 139 to be manually preprogrammed for each missile. 140

The remainder of this study is organized as follows. In Sec- 141 tion 2, preliminaries and problem formulation are presented. 142 The main results are provided in Section [3](#page--1-0). Section [4](#page--1-0) provides 143 numerical simulation results. Section [5](#page--1-0) concludes the whole 144 $work.$ 145

2. Preliminaries and problem formulation 146

2.1. Preliminaries 147

It is supposed that $N(N \ge 2)$ missiles participate in a simulta-
neous attack. The communication topology of multiple misneous attack. The communication topology of multiple missiles can be expressed by a weighted directed graph 150 $D_N = \{M, E, W_N\}$, where $M = \{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_N\}$ is the mis-
sile set, $E \subseteq \{(M_i, M_i) : M_i, M_i \in M\}$ is the edge set and 152 sile set, $E \subseteq \{(M_i, M_j): M_i, M_j \in M\}$ is the edge set and

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153961>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/7153961>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)