

Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics & Beihang University

Chinese Journal of Aeronautics

cja@buaa.edu.cn www.sciencedirect.com COME AERONAUTICS

A unifying approach in simulating the shot peening process using a 3D random representative volume finite element model

⁶ Hu Dianyin ^{a,b,c}, Gao Ye ^a, Meng Fanchao ^d, Song Jun ^{d,*}, Wang Yanfei ^e, ⁷ Ren Mengxi ^f, Wang Rongqiao ^{a,b,c,*}

⁸ ^a School of Energy and Power Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

⁹ ^bCollaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Aero-Engine, Beijing 100191, China

¹⁰ ^c Beijing Key Laboratory of Aero-Engine Structure and Strength, Beijing 100191, China

¹¹ ^d Mining and Materials Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 0C5, Canada

¹² ^e Experimental School of Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

¹³ ^f School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

Received 15 July 2016; revised 5 September 2016; accepted 12 September 2016

15

17

24

3

KEYWORDS

19 Almen intensity;

- 20 Boundary effect;
- 21 Finite element modeling;
- 22 Residual stress;23 Shot peening

Abstract Using a modified 3D random representative volume (RV) finite element model, the effects of model dimensions (impact region and interval between impact and representative regions), model shape (rectangular, square, or circular), and peening-induced thermal softening on resultant critical quantities (residual stress, Almen intensity, coverage, and arc height) after shot peening are systematically examined. A new quantity, i.e., the interval between impact and representative regions, is introduced and its optimal value is first determined to eliminate any boundary effect on shot peening results. Then, model dimensions are respectively assessed for all model shapes to reflect the actual shot peening process, based on which shape-independent critical shot peening quantities are obtained. Further, it is found that thermal softening of the target material due to shot peening leads to variances of the surface residual stress and arc height, demonstrating the necessity of considering the thermal effect in a constitutive material model of shot peening. Our study clarifies some of the finite element modeling aspects and lays the ground for accurate modeling of the SP process.

© 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding authors at: School of Energy and Power Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China (R. Wang). E-mail addresses: jun.song2@mcgill.ca (J. Song), wangrq@buaa.edu.cn (R. Wang).
Peer review under responsibility of Editorial Committee of CJA.



http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2016.11.005

 $1000-9361 \otimes 2016$ Production and hosting by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Chinese Society of Aeronautics and Astronautics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Hu D et al. A unifying approach in simulating the shot peening process using a 3D random representative volume finite element model, *Chin J Aeronaut* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2016.11.005

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126 127

25 **1. Introduction**

Shot peening (SP) is one effective cold-working surface treat-26 ment employed in numerous engineering applications¹ to 27 improve fatigue strengths of metallic materials. In this process, 28 numerous small round particles are blasted against the surface 29 of a metallic component, where a particle is generally much 30 stiffer than the component being treated and thus acts like a 31 peen-hammer to create an impacting region of sizable localized 32 plastic deformation. SP induces compressive residual stress 33 (CRS) beneath the surface of the treated component² to resist 34 crack nucleation and growth, thus enhancing the fatigue 35 strength.³ The resultant CRS field from SP can then be mea-36 sured via experimental methods such as X-ray diffraction 37 (XRD).⁴ Besides the CRS field, in industries, the effectiveness 38 39 of the SP process is often assessed by two other quantities, i.e., Almen intensity^{5,6} and SP coverage.⁷ For instance, Sabelkin 40 et al.⁸ found that the improvement of the fretting fatigue life 41 of titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V was directly correlated with the 42 increase of Almen intensity. Barrie et al.⁹ reported that surface 43 inclusion cracking could be suppressed under the conditions of 44 45 a high Almen intensity and a low SP coverage, therefore resulting in an improved fatigue life of superalloy Udimet 720. 46 Numerical simulation using the finite-element (FE) method is 47 frequently adopted to study the SP process.^{10–13} FE modeling 48 provides a predictive tool to quickly and quantitatively analyze 49 the deformation states and CRS field in the SP process, with-50 51 out conducting expensive and time-consuming (sometimes 52 even destructive or semi-destructive) experiments. It also 53 allows quick assessment of the roles of different processing parameters involved in the inherently complex SP process. 54

In early FE efforts for modeling the SP process, only lim-55 ited work has been reported to predict Almen intensity and 56 SP coverage due to the inherent complexity of the SP process, 57 58 which involves numerous factors and peening parameters. In 59 addition, the incoming particles neither are uniformly distributed in space nor follow a predetermined shooting 60 sequence.^{14–17} Therefore, it is necessary to account for the ran-61 domness of impacting shots in order to realistically predict a 62 resultant residual stress profile and its relation with the Almen 63 intensity and SP coverage.¹⁸⁻²⁰ An actual SP process normally 64 involves a substantial number of shots $(>10^5)$ that land ran-65 domly on the targeted surface. To this end, recent FE stud-66 ies^{7,21-23} have utilized random 3D representative volume 67 (RV) models. For instance, Miao et al.²¹ proposed a rectangu-68 lar prism RV model, in which all shots, randomly distributed, 69 were directed toward a square impact region. The Almen 70 intensity and SP coverage were then evaluated from the defor-71 72 mation states of an inner portion of the square region. Gariépy et al.⁷ later employed an improved 3D random RV model 73 based on the one proposed by Miao et al.²¹, by involving the 74 Rayleigh damping of the region surrounding the impact region 75 to reduce stress oscillations, and considered samples of differ-76 77 ent thicknesses. In another study by Gangaraj et al.²², a cylindrical random RV model with a circular impact region was 78 79 constructed. In this work, the impact region was not differen-80 tiated from the representative region and the focus was on the development of a coverage profile instead of Almen intensity. 81 Additionally, the thickness of such a cylindrical random RV 82 model was set to be very large, e.g., equal to 6R (R being the 83

radius of the shot), which failed to reflect the actual situation in industries where thinner samples, e.g., a 1.29 mm (\approx 3.2*R* in their case) thick A-type Almen strip, have been more commonly used.²⁴

To ensure reliable evaluation of peening factors such as residual stresses profile, Almen intensity, and SP coverage from multiple-shot 3D random RV FE simulations, it is important to properly partition the simulation cell (e.g., defining the impact and representative regions).^{7,22} Nonetheless, so far the partition was done on an *ad-hoc* basis without a systematic criterion. Additionally, in previous works^{22,25–27}, the constitutive material model was prescribed by isotropic hardening laws without considering the temperature effect, to literally follow a 'cold-working' process. However, Iida and Tosha²⁸ investigated the work-softening effect on shot-peened steel, and observed that excessive shot peening resulted in softening of the surface layer.

Due to so many contradictions between present FE modeling methods and experiments, in the present study, we examined the influences of the dimension and shape of a 3D random RV model on FE simulation of the SP process, and clarified the criterion in partitioning the simulation cell. This paper is organized as follows: firstly, a random multipleimpact 3D RV FE model was constructed. Simulations were then performed to study the SP process with the Johnson-Cook plasticity model employed to prescribe the deformation response of the peened material. Secondly, the resultant peening parameters including residual stress, Almen intensity, and SP coverage, were evaluated, and their dependences on the dimension and shape of the 3D random RV model were systematically examined. Finally, the temperature effect and peening-induced softening were discussed.

2. Random multiple-impact 3D RV FE model

2.1. Model set-up and parameterization

In our study, 3D random RV FE simulations were performed to study the SP of an A-type Almen strip, which has been commonly used in industries²⁴ and has dimensions of 76 mm \times 19 mm \times 1.29 mm. The material is SAE 1070 spring steel in reference to SAE J442 standard.²⁹ The elastic response of the material is assumed to be isotropic while its plastic response is prescribed by the Johnson-Cook plasticity model³⁰ which considers the strain rate and temperature dependence of material behaviors as:

$$\sigma = \left[A + B(\varepsilon)^n\right] \left[1 + C \ln\left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right)\right] \left[1 - \left(\frac{T - T_r}{T_m - T_r}\right)^m\right]$$
(1) 129

where σ is the flow stress, ε is the equivalent plastic strain 130 (PEEQ) and $\varepsilon = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \dot{\varepsilon}^{\text{pl}} : \dot{\varepsilon}^{\text{pl}} dt$, in which $\dot{\varepsilon}^{\text{pl}}$ is the plastic 131 strain rate and t is the loading time, $\dot{\varepsilon}_0$ is the reference strain 132 rate, T is the applied temperature, T_r is the reference tempera-133 ture, and T_m is the melting temperature. A, B, C, n, and m are 134 material constants. The Johnson-Cook parameters²⁷ and 135 material constants such as elasticity modulus E, Poisson's ratio 136 v, and density ρ corresponding to SAE 1070 steel are listed in 137 Table 1. Rigid spherical shots are assumed given their high 138 yield and hardness values compared to those of the target 139 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/7153964

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/7153964

Daneshyari.com